Rejuvenation of facial skin and improvement in the dermal architecture by transplantation of autologous stromal vascular fraction: a clinical study
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Abstract
Introduction: The rejuvenation characteristics of fat tissue grafting has been established for many years. Recently it has been shown that stromal vascular fraction (SVF) of fat tissue contributes to its rejuvenation properties. As the SVF is a minimal processed cell population (based on FDA guidance), therefore it is a suitable cell therapy for skin rejuvenation. This clinical trial was aimed to evaluate the ultrastructural improvement of aging skin in the facial nasolabial region after transplantation of autologous SVF.

Methods: Our study was conducted in 16 patients aged between 38 and 56 years old that were interested in face lifting at first. All of the cases underwent the lipospiration procedure from the abdomen for sampling of fat tissue. Quickly, the SVF was harvested from 100 mL of harvested fat tissue and then transplanted at dose of 2.0×10^7 nucleated cells in each nasolabial fold. The changes in the skin were evaluated using Visioface scanner, skin-scanner DUB, Visioline, and Cutometer with multi probe adopter.

Results: By administration of autologous SVF, the elasticity and density of skin were improved significantly. There were no changes in the epidermis density in scanner results, but we noticed a significant increase in the dermis density and also its thickness with enrichment in the vascular bed of the hypodermis. The score of Visioface scanner showed slight changes in wrinkle scores. The endothelial cells and mesenchymal progenitors from the SVF were found to change the architecture of the skin slightly, but there was not obvious phenotypic changes in the nasolabial grooves.

Conclusion: The current clinical trial showed the modification of dermis region and its microvascular bed, but no changes in the density of the epidermis. Our data represent the rejuvenation process of facial skin by improving the dermal architecture.

© 2016 The Author(s). This work is published by BiolImpacts as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.
summarizes the molecular cues of skin aging. The characteristics of skin aging includes wrinkles, dryness, laxity, thinning, irregular pigmentation, and loss of elasticity that belong to the environment and genetic factors. Histology studies revealed that UV exposure turns skin into a flattened dermo-epidermal interface, with loss of dermal papillae. In this manner, the dermal thickness and vascularity is lowered. This phenomenon leads to the decreased fibroblast activity, and accidentally arranged elastin fibers. Various methods have been used to prevent or treat the symptoms of aging like many creams, lotions and such cosmeceuticals; however, up until now, the results are unsatisfactory. In recent years, cell therapies for rejuvenation have gained much importance. Fibrous collagen is very important for the strength and elasticity of skin, and the amount of this protein is generally decreased with aging. Many studies in the aesthetic field for skin rejuvenation confirm that ADSCs increase the dermal collagen synthesis and even vascularity via production of many cytokines and growth factors. It has been shown that ADSCs secrete growth factors that have an effect on fibroblast and keratinocyte proliferation. Some researchers reported that the ADSCs could be differentiated into epithelial lineage and make their effect on the aged or damaged skin.

On the ground that deriving SVF from the fat tissue is only a minimal manipulation process by closed or semi-closed systems performed either enzymatically or non-enzymatically, it is believed to pose minimum risk of bacterial and/or fungal contamination. The process of SVF extraction can be done within approximately 30 min (vary between 15 to 90 min), which makes this process a very safe and fast procedure for cell therapy. In this study, we evaluated the efficiency and reliability of SVF treatment on the skin rejuvenation and its architecture in a clinical trial.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Between January 2014 and January 2015, we chose 16 candidates for SVF isolation and transplantation. The candidates (1 male and 15 females) were aged between 38 to 56 years. All the candidates shared a common problem: nasolabial grooves and marionette lines.

**Isolation and characterization of SVF**

The fat aspiration was performed through undergoing optimized abdominal lipoaspiration using a 3 mm cannula under topical anesthesia. The aspiration site was infiltrated with saline solution containing epinephrine (0.001%). One hundred mL of fat tissue was suctioned using a special lipoaspiration device with a filter. The SVF was isolated from 100 mL aspirated fat tissue that was divided into two sterile falcon tubes (50 mL each) as described previously. Briefly, the tissue was washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), (Miltenyi Biotech, Cologne, Germany) in order to remove the majority of erythrocytes and leukocytes and then was digested with collagenase type I (Worthington Biochemical Corp., Lakewood, USA) at 37°C for 20 min under constant shaking. Collagenase solution was made up just prior to use. Collagenase powder was added to the Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) in a final concentration of 0.2%. Digestion was stopped by washing with PBS for 3 times. Floating and lysed adipocytes were discarded and cells from the SVF were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 500×g. The pellet was resuspended in the HBSS and incubated in an erythrocyte lysis buffer (Sigma-Aldrich Corp, St. Louis, USA) for 10 min at 37°C. This cell suspension was centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min, and cells were counted using trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich Corp, USA). A part of this suspension was subjected to flow cytometry (Partec, Görlitz, Germany) for analyzing cell surface markers.

**Transplantation of SVF**

The obtained SVF was injected into the subcutaneous layer of the skin at the dose of 2×10⁷ total nucleated cells for each side. For injection into the nasolabial grooves, a 1 mL syringe with an 18 gauge blunt needle was used to obtain the diffuse distribution of the SVF. Visioface (D1000 ck, Cologne, Germany) and Visioline (LL 650 CK Germany) photographs of each patient were taken before and at each visit after treatment with a high-resolution digital camera. The skin scanner, DUB-TPA (EOTECH, Marcoussis, France) and multi probe adapter Cutometer (CK Elec-
ronic, Cologne, Germany) were also used to calculate the skin layers’ thickness and elasticity before and after SVF transplantation, respectively. A 2 mm diameter probe of Cutometer was applied in this study that used a constant suction of about 350 mBar for 18 s followed by a 2 s relaxation time. After the suction phase, the vacuum in the probe was automatically switched to 0 mBar. The results were then observed on the clients. When the transplantation procedure was accomplished, clients were followed on day 15, 30, 60, and 180.

**Side effects**
All the patients, who were transplanted with autologous SVF, were surveyed for edema, erythema, ecchymosis, and tenderness during 4 weeks after injection.

**Statistical analysis**
The statistical significance of our data for different factors was assessed running Mann-Whitney test by using SPSS software, and significant differences were determined on \( p \leq 0.05 \).

**Results**

**SVF yield from fat tissue digestion**
The nucleated cell yield was \( 7.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^5 \) cells/mL of aspirated fat tissue by the mentioned method. The viability of nucleated SVF cells isolated from all candidates was measured by trypan blue staining. The viability of cells was about \( 83 \pm 8.4\% \).

**SVF flow cytometric analysis**
The characteristics of SVF were analyzed by flow cytometry. The analysis of surface markers of the freshly isolated SVF showed the expression of endothelial markers CD31, CD34, and CD146. Moreover, there were expressions of mesenchymal markers including CD44, CD73, CD90, and CD105. Of these, CD44 is a receptor for hyaluronic acid that contributes in the dermal thickness. We used the intrinsic markers to evaluate the molecular cues of skin rejuvenation. Hematopoietic stem cell markers like CD49f and CD133 were found in our isolated SVF cell population. There was additionally hematopoietic markers (CD14, CD15, and CD45) and an abundance of natural killer cell marker CD56 (Fig. 2).

**Patients’ outcome**
The patients’ data who received autologous SVF transplantation are summarized in Table 1. Based upon the Visioface data, there were not phenotypic changes on the nasolabial groove (Fig. 3). Also the Mexameter system showed no change in the pigmentation and melanin production after 6 months of SVF transplantation. This evidence was confirmed by colorimetric assay (Table 1). The percent of water evaporation from skin was found to be increased by aging. In this experiment, the water evaporation from skin surface was significantly decreased after SVF treatment. For the elasticity test some factors were considered by our team.

---

**Fig. 2.** The representative data shows flow cytometric analysis of freshly isolated SVF. Expression of endothelial markers CD31, CD34, CD146; mesenchymal markers CD44, CD73, CD90, CD105; hematopoietic markers CD49f, CD133, CD14, CD15, CD45 and natural killer cell marker CD56.
After the SVF administration, three main features (i.e., the ability of returning to the original position, elastic part of the suction phase, and a portion of the elastic recovery) were compared to the complete curve. It was found that the skin density was increased significantly in all cases (Table 1).

Unlike the epidermis thickness, we observed a significant increase in the dermis thickness and density (Fig. 3) that affected the whole skin density too.

The preliminary data showed that clinical outcomes were generally satisfactory without leaving any serious side effects such as erythema, edema, ecchymosis, tenderness, and cyst formation, suggesting that SVF cells are safe for clinical use.

### Discussion

Our findings in this clinical trial indicate that transplantation of autologous SVF is very promising strategy to improve the architecture of depressed areas in the facial skin, despite the insignificant phenotypic changes of nasolabial grooves (Fig. 3). Maybe, it was due to the low number of transplanted cells into the nasolabial grooves. The different cell types in SVF (Fig. 2) alter the tissue microenvironment via the secretion of soluble factors that contribute considerably to rejuvenation process. To identify the SVF engraftment, Zuk et al. performed PCR experiments. It was found that the injected cells disappeared before one week. Therefore the probable mechanism was a paracrine effect of SVF cytokines that modulated extracellular matrix remodeling, angiogenesis, fibroblast mitosis and antioxidant effect that inhibited the aging process (Fig. 1).

On the other hand, the SVF transplantation must have another function because it is already applied clinically for other purposes such as cell enriched lipotransfer (CAL), wound healing, scar remodeling, and tissue engineering. These cases could not be solely related to the paracrine effect of SVF. For instance, it is known that CD44 is hyaluronic acid receptor that is decreased with aging and causes less density of dermis layer. A population of SVF cells expresses CD44 (Fig. 2) that could act as hyaluronic acid receptor. The increase of dermis density is shown in our experiment (Table 1, Fig. 3). To induce skin rejuvenation, a wide variety of experiments have been conducted to evaluate the safety and efficacy of SVF. In 2009, Shamban surveyed the role of SVF in its derived secretory factors in the treatment of photo-damage skin. The results showed the efficacy of these factors in improving the extrinsic aged skin. These studies were continued and in 2013 Chang et al. performed a quantitative volumetric analysis of progressive hemifacial atrophy using SVF. They reported that the SVF-supplemented fat transplantation was safe and efficient for treating this kind of atrophy and SVF could enhance the fat tissue graft survival in the facial region. To conform the paracrine effect of SVF, an in vitro study in 2014 by Kim et al. revealed that adipose mesenchymal cells-conditioned media down-regulated melanogenic enzymes and therefore inhibited melanocyte proliferation and melanin synthesis, thereby decreasing the pigmentation of skin that contributes to the aging process. However, our direct transplantation of SVF into the nasolabial groove did not show any difference in skin pigmentation (Table 1).

A study in 2014 by Klar et al. showed that SVF derived endothelial cell population contained a highly efficient capillary plexus to develop vascular networks. The increase in dermis thickness after SVF administration in our trial confirms these findings. Additionally, Atalay et al. showed that SVF improves the burn wound healing by (a) acceleration of cell proliferation and increase in vascularization, (b) reduction of inflammation, and (c) elevation of fibroblasts activity. Although the rejuvenation process is different from burn wounds, our data showed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Means ± SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After 6 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visioface</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.298±0.181</td>
<td>0.289±0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexameter* melanin</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>204.156±46.04</td>
<td>213±46.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexameter erythema</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>416.95±73.85</td>
<td>422.97±64.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tewa meter**</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.087±10.67</td>
<td>21.51±10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimeter</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.94±8.70</td>
<td>30.25±8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2****</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.678±0.129</td>
<td>0.859±0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5*****</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.680±0.151</td>
<td>0.849±0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7********</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.485±0.147</td>
<td>0.657±0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin density</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.01±0.995</td>
<td>4.27±1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin thickness</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>986.187±228.44</td>
<td>1200.75±208.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermis density</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.90±7.065</td>
<td>7.68±7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermis thickness</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>188.69±186.151</td>
<td>228.125±184.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermis density</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.097±0.83</td>
<td>4.88±1.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermis thickness</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>799.31±140.40</td>
<td>976.50±169.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Levels of Pigmentation; ** Percent of water evaporation from skin surface; *** Ability of returning to the original position; **** Elastic part of the suction phase; ***** Portion of the elastic recovery compared to the complete curve.
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that there was not inflammation after SVF transplantation in the facial skin. Similarly, Cheng et al. confirmed that autologous SVF transplantation enhances angiogenesis after skin grafting and improves the elasticity of skin grafts.24 Our data confirmed these findings in human and we showed the significant changes in the skin elasticity after SVF transplantation (Table 1).

In 2016, Rigotti et al. showed that SVF or ADSCs had a significant effect on skin rejuvenation 3 months after treatment.25 Our study by SVF after 1 to 6 months follow-up confirmed this idea. In a recent study in 2016, Klar et al. showed that the endothelial part of SVF exhibited the ability to form microvascular structures in vitro and support the accelerated blood perfusion in skin substitutes in vivo.6 This study confirmed their previous experiments and also the study conducted by Atalay et al. in 2014.22,23 The skin scanner results of our study (Fig. 3) was in accordance with these findings as we saw that more thickness of dermis layer needed more blood perfusion at the bedside of the hypodermis.

In addition to the clinical relevance and efficacy to use SVF, there is a major concern about safety and GMP preparation of all cell therapy products. SVF is “minimally manipulated”, and it is an advantage in cell therapy, which many believed would allow them to circumvent a large amount of regulatory oversight by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other regulatory agencies around the world like European Medical Agency (EMA).

Some previous studies showed that SVF cell yield from 1 gram of fat tissue is between $2.0 \times 10^4$ to $2.0 \times 10^6$ nucleated cells by different methods of tissue processing.26-28 Therefore, the efficiency of the SVF isolation protocol is of importance. As there are automated closed systems that produce high yield of SVF without any risk of contaminations, it is imaginable to have SVF as a routine part of skin rejuvenation treatment in clinics. Autologous SVF transplantation for skin rejuvenation achieves higher rates of success, costs less, and has an easy-to-access reservoir.

**Fig. 3.** The face skin scan before and after stromal vascular fraction transplantation. Data show the significant increase in the dermis density and Visioface wrinkle scoring shows the 4.8% and 3.3% of the photograph area including the nasolabial groove before and after stromal vascular fraction transplantation, respectively.

---

**Research Highlights**

**What is current knowledge?**

✓ There is a prevailing wisdom about dramatic changes of skin wrinkles after SVF administration.
✓ There were no data about changes in the skin pigmentation after cell therapy.
✓ It has been known that the percent of water evaporation from the skin increases by aging.

**What is new here?**

✓ According to our data obtained from Visioface, there were not phenotypic changes on the nasolabial groove.
✓ After our SVF transplantation experiment, the Mexameter system showed no change in the pigmentation and melanin production even after 6 months.
✓ We showed that the water evaporation from skin surface was significantly decreased after SVF treatment.
✓ Unlike the epidermis thickness, we observed a significant increase in the dermis thickness and density; however, it could affect the whole skin density too.
Conclusion

SVF of adipose tissue represents an attractive cell source, in large part due to the ease of isolation and abundance of endothelial as well as mesenchymal stem cells. Its transplantation under the dermis layer exhibits the ability to thicken the dermal bed and form microvascular structure of aged skin to induce rejuvenation and modify the dermal-epidermal architecture.
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