Effect of PEGylation on assembly morphology and cellular uptake of poly ethyleneimine-cholesterol conjugates for delivery of sorafenib tosylate in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Introduction
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth common cancer and the third cause of cancer-related death in the world1,2 which is often diagnosed at later stages, thus the efficiency of the treatment methods including ablation, resection, and transplantation is limited.1,3 Sorafenib tosylate (SFB, Nexavar®) is an anticancer orphan drug approved as a standard monotherapy for HCC. SFB is a dual action inhibitor which targets multiple kinase such as the B-Raf and C-Raf and also vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) that leads to inhibition of tumor cell proliferation and invasion.3-5 However, with respect to the Biopharmaceutical Classification Scheme, SFB belongs to class II compounds which demonstrate a poor solubility (25 ng/mL in deionized water) and high...
permeability from the gastrointestinal lumen. The oral bioavailability is low and variable which may restrict its therapeutic efficacy. Many attempts have been made to increase the drug solubility and bioavailability such as encapsulation in poly-DL-lactide-co-glycolide (PLGA), PLGA/dextran copolymer, solid lipid nanoparticles or liposomes, complex formation with albumin, cyclodextrin and so on.

Among various nano-sized drug delivery systems intended to improve physicochemical (solubility) and pharmacokinetic characteristics of the drug, self-assemblies of amphiphilic polymers contain a hydrophobic inner core that provides the ability to dissolve hydrophobic drugs and a hydrophilic shell which grants the carrier stability in water. Polymeric self-assembled nanoparticles offer several advantages such as high kinetic and thermodynamic stability, suitable size (20–100 nm), narrow size distribution, easily adjustable surface potential, modulated biocompatibility, tissue accumulation and penetration, controlled intracellular trafficking, controlled release, and reduced inherent toxicity. Notably, there are crucial factors in the design of nanoparticles including morphology (size and shape) and surface characteristics that can influence on both pharmacokinetics and cell uptake. Amphotipich polymers have a tendency to self-assemble into a wide variety of structures including micelles, vesicles, nanotubes, nanofibers and lamellae. For particles >100 nm, the highest cellular uptake happens for rod morphology followed by spheres, cylinders, and cubes while particles <100 nm spheres enters the cell to the greatest extent.

Polyethyleneimine (PEI) is one of the most studied cationic polymers widely used for cell transfection. Due to the presence of primary, secondary and tertiary amines in the polymer structure, PEI can be highly protonated and therefore interact with negatively charged cell membrane and can also induce osmolytic rupture and endosomal escape according to "proton sponge" effect. However, PEI shows a variable degree of cytotoxicity depending on molecular weight and structure. Several chemical modifications of PEI has been employed to reduce the toxicity of PEI such as chemical reaction to polyethylene glycol (PEGylation) which also leads to decreased aggregation and protein binding in physiological fluids and prolonged circulation time in blood stream. Hydrophobic modification with cholesterol, an important part of the cell membrane, is another example of PEI modification. It has been observed that even at low modification percentages, cholesterol-containing lipopolymers show a high tendency to self-assembly. Cholesterol modification of PEI facilitates endocytosis and reduces the inherent toxicity of PEI; moreover, the presence of cholesterol leads to enhancement of drug loading capacity and reduction of the drug burst release. An interesting fact about HCC is that cholesterol conjugated polymers transfect the cells through cholesterol uptake pathway, which is also utilized by low-density lipoprotein (LDL). LDL binds to many cells such as vascular endothelial cells, hepatocytes and macrophages. Due to the high growth rate, cancer cells overexpress LDL receptor and obtain a large amount of cholesterol from the blood. Studies have shown that lipopolymers containing a high amount of cholesterol have enhanced tumor distribution in comparison with cholesterol-free carriers. Moreover, the cholesterol-bearing nanoparticles due to the relevance of their aromatic structure to SFB can make a reservoir interacting with the drug through hydrophobic and π-π mechanisms for efficient loading of SFB. Therefore, in the present study, taking the advantages of polycation (i.e. PEI)-cell interaction, PEGylation reaction and SFB solubilization in the core of hydrophobically modified PEI, SFB-incorporated nanoparticles composed of PEI-cholesterol lipopolymers were developed. The effect of polymer PEGylation on physicochemical characteristics such as drug encapsulation, particle size, zeta potential, morphology and critical micelle concentration (CMC) was investigated. Furthermore, cellular uptake and in vitro cytotoxicity against HepG2 cells were compared to the non-PEGylated carrier and the free drug.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Branched PEI 10 kDa (Mw/Mn of 1.4) and Methoxy PEG-COOH (NHS activated, 5 kDa) were purchased from Polysciences, Inc. (Warrington, PA, USA) and JenKem Technology USA (Allen, TX, USA, respectively. SFB was obtained from Hangzhou Hetd. Industry Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China). Cholesteryl chloroformate, N,N- diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), pyrene, and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Dichloromethane (DCM), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and potassium bromide (KBr) were purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany).

Synthesis of cholesterol conjugates

The chemical route for the synthesis of cholesterol-conjugated PEI was summarized in Scheme 1. The lipopolymers were synthesized according to the previous reports. Different molar ratios of cholesterol to PEI, 7.5:1 (F2) and 15.5:1 (F3), were reacted with 250 µL of PEI (10% w/v) in methanol. The reactions were supplemented with 7.5 µL of DIPEA as a proton quencher. Then, 750 µL of cholesteryl chlororomate (12% w/v) in dichloromethane was added drop-wise to the reaction medium and maintained for 9 hours in 50°C while stirring. Afterward, the mixture was dropped slowly into the 3 mL water at 50°C and dispersed by probe sonication. To remove the unreacted cholesterol, the solution was filtered through a 0.22 µm nylon syringe filter and dialyzed (Float-A-Lyzer 6-8 kDa) according to the manufacturer's instruction.
against 2 L of deionized water. The medium was removed and replaced by fresh deionized water for 3 consecutive days. Subsequently, the purified products solutions were lyophilized. The recovered mass of products (yields) was measured gravimetrically by a very sensitive balance. The relative yields were calculated from the ratio of actual to predicted mass of the products for different concentrations of cholesteryl chloroformate.

Infrared spectra were recorded on the FTIR spectrometer (Vertex, Bruker, Germany) to study spectral changes of PEI after cholesterol modification. Samples were prepared by geometric dilution of an identical amount of the lyophilized products with potassium bromide and compression of the mixtures to form discs. Twenty scans were signal averaged with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ in range of 500-4000 cm.$^{-1}$

$^1$H-NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker-300 MHz using CDCl$_3$ as a solvent. Proton integration method was used to calculate the average molar ratio of the conjugated cholesteryl moieties with respect to PEI based on their respective chemical shifts. The following equation was applied to calculate the average number of conjugated cholesterol per polymer chain of PEI:

$$\frac{AUC_{δ3.6} - AUC_{δ2.3} - 3.2}{AUC_{total} - AUC_{δ2.3} - 3.2} \times \frac{nH \text{ cholesterol}}{nH \text{ PEI}}$$

where $n_{H}$ represents the proton number of each polymer.

Pyrene assay
To estimate CMC values of the synthesized lipopolymers, the pyrene assay was performed.$^{13,40}$ Briefly, 25 µL of pyrene solution (4 µg/µL in acetone) was transferred into microtubes and dried overnight under chemistry cabinet. One mL of each lipopolymer dispersion prepared at various concentrations (0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 1 mg/mL) were added and stirred at 800 rpm for 24 hours. To remove any insoluble components, the polymer solutions were centrifuged for 15 min at 15000 rpm. The value of the intensity ratio $I_{339}/I_{334}$ of each sample was plotted against the logarithm of the copolymer concentration and flection point of the plot was applied to determine the CMC of each lipopolymer.

HPLC assay
According to our previous report$^{41}$ with little modification, a chromatographic method was developed for SFB assay using Knauer HPLC system, equipped with P1000 LPG pump and multiple wavelengths UV P2600 detector. Separation of SFB was achieved using a reversed phase column (C18, 1250-4.6mm, Merck, Germany) at room temperature. The mobile phase composed of 80% methanol in deionized water was delivered isocratically at a flow rate of 1.3 mL/min. The HPLC method was validated in terms
of specificity, linearity, accuracy, precision, and limit of quantification for an in vitro assay. (y = 56463x+156306, R² = 0.9999).

**Drug loading**

SFB was loaded in the lipopolymer using solid dispersion method. To investigate the effect of different lipopolymer compositions and concentrations on the drug loading, an adequate amount of lipopolymers (F2, F3, F4, or F5) and SFB were dissolved in methanol at the respective weight ratio of 0.25 and incubated at 45°C for 30 minutes. Methanol was completely removed under vacuum and each sediment was re-dispersed by distilled water at 45°C to obtain the final lipopolymer concentrations of 1, 3 and 10 mg/mL. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 and the dispersions were stirred at 45°C for 48 hours. The excess amount of SFB was removed by filtration through a 0.22 µm nylon filter. To further investigate the effect of temperature and pH on the drug loading, SFB was loaded in F3 lipopolymer as described before and the final polymer concentration was set to 3 mg/mL. The pH was adjusted to 5, 7 or 9 and the mixture was incubated for 48 hours at 30, 45 or 60°C. The amount of loaded SFB was measured by the HPLC assay method after removing the free SFB by filtration according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Drug loading (\%) = \frac{\text{mass of SFB loaded}}{\text{total mass of the loaded polymer}} \times 100.}
\]

**General factorial design optimization**

Various factorial experiments are generally used in analysis and optimization of drug formulations. The effects of different factors (lipopolymer composition, concentration, pH and temperature) were investigated on the SFB loading in the lipopolymers by general factorial design. The composition was expressed in terms of cholesterol to PEI mole ratio (7.5 or 15) and the PEGylation state (PEGylated vs. non-PEGylated). The incubation temperature and pH were investigated in three levels as described in the previous section. The experiment was run in triplicate. Then, the analysis was performed using Design-Expert® software version 6.02 (Stat-Ease, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA).

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

The suspensions of drug loaded lipopolymers, PEGylated (F5) or non-PEGylated (F3), were prepared at the concentration of 3 mg/mL for transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A drop of each specimen was dissolved in 100 µL/well DMSO and measured spectrophotometrically in a microplate reader at λ = 570 nm minus λ = 650 nm. Cell viability was calculated relative to untreated control cells. The cytotoxicity of SFB loaded particles (F3, F5) was compared to free SFB and the lipopolymer.

**Dynamic Light Scattering**

The number-, volume- and intensity-averaged hydrodynamic diameter (Z-average) and polydispersity index (PDI) of the preparations were determined by dynamic light scattering (Zeta sizer 3000HSA, Malvern Instruments, UK). Zeta-potential measurements were performed in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) at 25°C.

**Cellular uptake**

To determine the effect of PEGylation on cellular uptake of the preparations, PEI-cholesterol lipopolymers were labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) according to our previous report. Briefly, 200 µL of 10 mg/mL FITC in DMSO was added to 2 mL of the F3 or F5 at an equivalent molar concentration (respectively concentrations of 1.2 and 2.1 mg/mL) in 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 9.2) and the mixture was incubated for 2 hours while stirring. The excess FITC was removed by dialysis using Float-A-Lyzer 8-10 kDa at 4°C in dark. The degree of conjugation was determined using a standard curve plotted for fluorescein absorbance at 490 nm.

Then, cellular uptake of the FITC-labeled F3 or F5 was determined by flow cytometry (FACS Calibur, Becton Dickinson, USA). Hence, HepG2 cells seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 150 000 cells /mL were treated with 50 µg/mL FITC-labeled F3 and F5 and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. The cells were washed with PBS, detached by scraping and reconstituted in 500 µL of ice-cold PBS by pipetting. Cell-associated fluorescence intensities were determined by the flow cytometer and analyzed by FlowJo software version 7.6.2 for gated live singlet cells.

**Cytotoxicity**

The MTT assay was carried out to determine the lipopolymer cytotoxicity and the bioactivity of the SFB loaded particles (F3, F5) based on the published protocol. HepG2 cells were plated into 96-well microtiter plates at a density of 20 000 cells /cm². After 24 hours, the cells were treated in serum-supplemented culture medium for 24 hours. Each treatment was prepared by dilution of phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4) and sterilization by 0.22 µm syringe filter before use in cell culture. The lipopolymer concentrations were varied from 6 to 600 µg/mL. Following treatment, the medium was aspirated and replaced by 100 µL of 1/10 diluted 5 mg/mL MTT stock solution in the culture medium. After 3 hours, the medium was aspirated again and insoluble formazan crystals were dissolved in 100 µL/well DMSO and measured spectrophotometrically in a microplate reader at λ = 570 nm minus λ = 650 nm. Cell viability was calculated relative to untreated control cells. The cytotoxicity of SFB loaded particles (F3, F5) was compared to free SFB and the lipopolymer.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed by Prism software version 6.0 (GraphPad, USA). The significance of each factor was determined by analysis of variance. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD).
Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of PEI-cholesterol

To create hydrophobic moieties being served for interaction with SFB during the loading process, cholesteryl chloroformate was successfully conjugated to the PEI backbone to a variable extent (low, F2; high, F3) via the nucleophilic substitution reaction (Scheme 1). The recovery yield was about 76%–84%.

To confirm the cholesterol modification of PEI, FTIR and the $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy were used. Fig. 1 shows the FTIR spectra of the lipopolymer F3 and cholesteryl chloroformate. The appearance of new carbamate carbonyl bond at 1659 cm$^{-1}$ and disappearance of acyl halide peak at 1776 cm$^{-1}$, confirms the conjugation of PEI to cholesteryl chloroformate. Moreover, the $^1$H-NMR spectrum of the lipopolymer F3 in CDCl$_3$ (Fig. 2) shows multiple broad peaks at 0.7-1.2 ppm that are attributed to the conjugated cholesterols. Also, 2 characteristic peaks of (-CH-COO) and (-CH=CH-) of cholesterol were observed at 4.50 ppm and 5.36 ppm, respectively. As shown in $^1$H-NMR spectrum, by increasing the mass of cholesterol in the lipopolymers, integrated area under the peak at 5.36 ppm was raised with respect to the PEI peaks at 2-3 ppm. The estimated molar ratios and their respective molecular weights calculated by the $^1$H-NMR are presented in Table 1.

Synthesis and characterization of PEGylated PEI-cholesterol

The mPEG grafted PEI-cholesterol lipopolymers were synthesized using carbodiimide reaction through amide bond formation at the constant molar ratio of mPEG to cholesterol of 1: 7.5 (F4 and F5). The total recovery yields following the PEGylation reaction were similarly about 75%–80%.

The chemical structure of PEGylated lipopolymers was investigated again by FTIR and $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 1, the characteristic peak of mPEG (C-O stretching ether bonds) appears at 1109 cm$^{-1}$ for the PEGylated lipopolymer (F5) while the amide bonds of NHS molecule (strong stretching N-C=O bonds) is omitted. The $^1$H-NMR spectrum of F5 in CDCl$_3$ (Fig. 2) also shows multiple peaks at 2.3 - 3.1 ppm that is attributed to the ethylenimine (-N–CH2CH2) protons in PEI and the peak at 3.6 ppm refers to the ethylene glycol (-O-CH2CH2) protons in mPEG chains. The degree of PEGylation was determined by comparing the integral values obtained from the number of the (-O-CH2CH2) protons in mPEG chains and the protons of PEI. This calculation was also used as a basis for the determination of the Mₙ products (Table 1).

CMC determination

Cholesterol modification of PEI leads to an increase in lipophilicity of the polymer and a decrease in water solubility while PEGylation improves aqueous dispersion of the PEGylated lipopolymers. Therefore, due to the addition of hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties to the PEI backbone, the lipopolymers can form various micelle-like structures at concentrations above CMC. To investigate the CMC of lipopolymers, the fluorescence intensity of an increasing lipopolymer concentration was...
determined in presence of a fixed amount of pyrene. The CMC values were determined from the concentration of the polymer that shows an abrupt increase in the pyrene fluorescence intensity. Low CMC is an important factor generally considered for self-assembled nanoparticles that guarantees the in-vivo stability of the particles. As shown in Table 1, CMC values of the lipopolymers were similarly less than 75 µg/mL due to the strong hydrophobic driving force of cholesterol. It has also been demonstrated that with an increase of the degree of cholesterol conjugation, CMC of PEI lipopolymers deceases. On the other hand, PEGylation with increase in the hydrophilic portion of the lipopolymers can increase CMC. Similarly, in the present study, the cholesterol conjugation leads to the lower CMC, whereas, the PEGylation increases the CMC.

**Drug loading**

As expected, the formulation F₃, with the lowest CMC, had the maximum drug loading (13.1% ± 2.65) that is substantial if compared with similar reports using heparin/chitosan (12%), albumin (8.6%⁵) or galactosylated poly lactide-poly amino acid nanoparticles (3.6%).⁶ Considering the SFB loading percent as a response variable, the effect of three factors including the polymer concentration, PEG/cholesterol and cholesterol/PEI mole ratios were statistically analyzed using a quadratic interaction model (P<0.0001). The model R², adjusted-R² and predicted R² were determined 0.987, 0.972 and 0.929, respectively that confirms the suitability of curve fitting. Supplementary file 1 shows that the drug loading varied significantly with respect to PEG to PEI mole ratio (P=0.0005), so that the PEGylation decreased the drug loading to a modest extent, possibly due to the higher CMC values of the PEGylated lipopolymer as explained before. Inversely, increasing the cholesterol/PEI mole ratio (P=0.0558) enhanced the drug loading for the non-PEGylated lipopolymer, but no significant change happened for the PEGylated lipopolymer (P=0.2334). It seems that by increasing the number of conjugated cholesterol, more interactions can happen with SFB that may result in higher drug loading. This effect disappears following PEGylation that might be due to the well-known antifouling property of PEG molecules. Increasing the polymer concentration increased the concentration of loaded SFB (P<0.0001), however, the drug loading percent decreased by the lipopolymer concentration if non-PEGylated (P=0.0007) that can be explained by limited solubility of the lipopolymers prepared at higher degrees of the cholesterol modification. With respect to the proper stability of the drug in a wide range of temperature and pH (data not shown), the drug loading in F₃ formulation was investigated in nine different environmental conditions. The data were successfully fitted in a two-factor interaction model (P=0.0012). Results revealed that unlike temperature that showed no significant effect, the drug loading increased (P=0.0004) with increasing pH in the range of 5 to 9 (Supplementary file 1). This phenomenon is possibly due to deprotonation of PEI amines in more alkaline pH that may increase the lipopolymer CMC and in turn increases the drug loading.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

According to transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image (Fig. 3), F₃ lipopolymer forms rod-like micelles of 300-700 nm in length, whereas PEGylated lipopolymer

---

**Table 1. Calculated CMC, molar ratio and molecular weight of PEI-cholesterol polymer with and without PEGylating the polymer according to ‘1H-NMR’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>PEI/cholesterol/PEG mole ratio</th>
<th>Mₙ by ‘1H-NMR (kDa)</th>
<th>CMC (Mean ± SD, µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>1:7.5:0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>53.77 ± 4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>1:15.5:0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>45.68 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>1:7.5:1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>70.54 ± 3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>1:15.5:2</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>73.43 ± 4.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3.** TEM images of sorafenib tosylate loaded nanoparticles: A (F₃) and B (F₅) at the respective mole ratios of 1:15.5:0 and 1:15.5:2 (PEI/cholesterol/PEG).
Poly ethyleneimine-cholesterol conjugates for delivery of sorafenib tosylate

Particle size analysis

The particle size of the polymeric micelles is generally considered as a function of different factors such as molecular weight of the amphiphilic copolymer, aggregation number, relative proportion of hydrophilic and hydrophobic chains, and the preparation process. Therefore, the effects of two main determinants, i.e. cholesterol modification and PEGylation, on the particle size were investigated. As determined by DLS the particle size showed a completely different behavior if studied before and after the drug loading.

As demonstrated in Table 2 before the drug loading, by increasing the cholesterol ratio the polymer solubility decreases, so the micelle composed of unimer-molecular and multi aggregates collapses and the particles become smaller. Similarly, but in a different manner, conjugation of hydrophobic molecules of PEG reduced the particle size by changing the morphology from rod to spherical shape as shown in Fig. 3. Moreover, the PEGylation increases CMC of the lipopolymers (Table 1), and consequently, the aggregation number and the size may decrease.

The drug loading increased the particle size either by enlargement of the core of micelles or subtle particle aggregation (modest increases of PDI as noticed in Table 2). After the drug loading, the higher ratio of cholesterol modification (F3) resulted in larger micelles (Table 2) due to SFB-lipopolymer interactions and consequently increased hydrophobicity of the polymer chains that facilitates the formation of large polymer aggregates. Indeed, the polymer solubility reduction leads to the higher potential for self-assembly and larger polymer buildup in the same concentration. Possessing primary, secondary and tertiary amines, three pKa values of 5.5, 7.8 and 8.5 are reported for PEI so the aggregation process can be sensitive to pH. By increasing pH from 5.5 to 9.5, the lipopolymers become less protonated and more hydrophobic. This phenomenon would lead to larger polymer aggregates (Table 2).

The DLS size was larger than the TEM size as reported elsewhere for PEGylated micelles. The discrepancy between sizes is mainly because DLS evaluates hydrodynamic size rather than projected area diameter of solid spheres by TEM. Each ethyleneoxide unit of PEG can interrelate with 3-5 water molecules, so the polymer hydrodynamic volume increases 5-10 times more than the estimated molecular weight of the polymer. Moreover, long PEG chains decorating the surface of nanoparticles can enhance the micro-viscosity around these particles and therefore due to underestimation of viscosity in Stokes-Einstein equation, DLS might overestimate the size. While TEM analysis gives structural details of different morphology, DLS determines only the size of spherical or near-spherical nanoparticles. Asymmetrical particles result in inaccurate size determination by DLS since the amount of light they scatter attenuates depending on their orientation. This phenomenon can explain the smaller size of F3 (SFB loaded, non-PEGylated lipopolymer) determined by DLS in comparison with TEM analysis.

Zeta-potential is another physicochemical characteristic of the nanoparticles that determines the particle stability, cellular and in vivo fate of the particles. Comparing zeta-potentials of the aqueous dispersions of non-PEGylated (+44.5 ± 8.9) and PEGylated (+12.4 ± 4.3 mV) lipopolymers shows the charge shielding property of the conjugated PEG chains.

Cellular uptake

The cellular uptake of nanoparticles is affected by various factors such as particle size, surface charge, shape and structural composition. It has been shown that LDL receptor saturation with free cholesterol, LDL and antibody against the LDL receptor inhibits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>PEI/cholesterol/PEG</th>
<th>SFB loaded</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Z average (nm)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>N average (nm)</th>
<th>V average (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>1 : 7.5 : 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>155.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>1 : 15.5 : 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>1 : 7.5 : 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>112.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>1 : 15.5 : 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>1 : 7.5 : 0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>1 : 15.5 : 0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>1 : 15.5 : 0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>106.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>1 : 15.5 : 0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>374.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>1 : 7.5 : 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>1 : 15.5 : 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>185.0</td>
<td>384.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cellular uptake of PEI-cholesterol nanoparticles. This phenomenon suggests that the cellular uptake of linear PEI-cholesterol (LPC) conjugates can occur through LDL receptor-mediated endocytosis mechanism.\textsuperscript{34,67} As shown in Fig. 4, a significantly higher extent of cell-associated fluorescence intensity was achieved in the treated HepG2 cells with the FITC-labeled F\textsubscript{5} (91.7\%) than F\textsubscript{3} (7.6\%) formulations. Considering the first step in cellular uptake is binding to the cell membrane,\textsuperscript{5,68} so the positive zeta-potentials of lipopolymers should play an important role in the cellular uptake,\textsuperscript{69} however our observation emphasizes more on the effect of particle size and morphology than zeta-potential on the cellular uptake so that the spherical particles of smaller sizes (F5) were engulfed more efficiently than larger particles with rod-like shapes (F3) in HepG2 cells, though the zeta-potential of F5 was less positive than F3. This finding has also been reported in other studies that the internalization rate of spherical nanoparticles is higher than non-spherical ones such as rods, cubes and discs.\textsuperscript{65,66} It has been shown that for nanoparticle's invagination and wrapping, the spherical particles require the minimum energy to overcome the elastic bending if compared to other anisotropic shapes.\textsuperscript{65} Specifically, uptake of the rod-like nanoparticles decreases with an increasing aspect ratio due to high dependency of the cellular uptake on the entry angle. The spherical shape is the only homogeneous morphology that cannot be affected from re-orientation issues that can happen for the rod-shape particles.\textsuperscript{65,66,70} Furthermore, PEGylation stabilizes the particles and hinders the aggregate formation, which interferes with the cellular uptake. To elucidate the involvement of the endocytosis mechanisms, repetition of the experiment with various endocytosis inhibitors or specific competitive inhibitors is crucial.

**Cytotoxicity**

MTT assay is a measurement of cell metabolic activity and correlates quite well with cell proliferation. Despite all promising properties of PEIs as a polymeric carrier both in vitro and in vivo, there is a major concern of cytotoxicity due to the highly positive-charge nature which imposes undesired interactions with biological matrices.\textsuperscript{71} It has been shown that PEI conjugation with cholesterol is one of the methods to reduce the cytotoxicity on living cells by reducing the positive charge through sequestering the primary and secondary amines.\textsuperscript{34,67,72} The cytotoxicity of cholesterol-modified lipopolymers (1:15.5, PEI to cholesterol ratio) were determined less than native PEI (M\textsubscript{w}=10 kDa) as reported previously.\textsuperscript{45} PEGylation has also been reported to improve the PEI biocompatibility by shielding the positive surface charges.\textsuperscript{18,73-75} In line with the previous reports\textsuperscript{25,30} and according to the Fig. 5, the cytotoxicity comparison of PEGylated versus non-PEGylated lipopolymers in HepG2 cells incubated for 48 hours showed that the cell viability enhanced very significantly so that F5 did not show

---

**Fig. 4.** Flow cytometry analysis of HepG2 cells after incubation with FITC-labeled F\textsubscript{3} and F\textsubscript{5} nanoparticles prepared at the respective mole ratios of 1:15.5:0 and 1:15.5:2 (PEI/cholesterol/PEG).

**Fig. 5.** MTT assay of the cytotoxicity induced by A) lipopolymers and B) the sorafenib tosylate-loaded lipopolymeric micelles in comparison to free drug in HepG2 cells. F3 and F5: lipopolymers prepared at the respective mole ratios of 1:15.5:0 and 1:15.5:2 (PEI/cholesterol/PEG). The error bars represent SD (n=5).
any cytotoxic effect even at the concentration as high as 600 μg/mL. The higher cytotoxicity of F3 is also an explanation for a substantial difference in cellular uptake between F3 and F5 because the flow cytometric analysis of cellular uptake is based on the ability of particles to enter the viable cells.

SFB inhibits tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis via targeting numerous serine/threonine and tyrosine kinases (RAF1, BRAF, VEGFR 1, 2, 3, PDGFR, KIT, FLT3, FGFR1, and RET) in multiple oncogenic signaling pathways. Coriat et al showed that SFB enhanced the production of O$_2^-$, NO and H$_2$O$_2$ in HepG2 cells. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) can cause oxidative damages to lipids, proteins and DNA and finally to cell death. Fig. 5 illustrates the cytotoxicity comparison among the drug-loaded F3 and F5 lipopolymers and free SFB (IC$_{50}$ of 5-10 μM). More cytotoxicity was found for the SFB-loaded F5 than F3 that can be explained by more cellular uptake in HepG2 cells as described in the previous section. Apart from F3 which shows the polymer-related cytotoxicity, a significant decline in cell viability was recognized for F5 at the drug concentrations above 10 μM. So, unlike the unloaded polymer (F5) that didn't bring about significant cytotoxicity, SFB-loaded particles resulted in a concentration-dependent toxicity, indicating the drug release happens to exert the pharmacological action. Nevertheless, determination of the drug release kinetic model such as reciprocal powered time model, Weibull or Wagner's log probability has not been studied.

The cytotoxicity of free SFB was more pronounced than the loaded lipopolymeric micelles (F3 and F5). This result is in good agreement with the previous studies. Xiao et al revealed that SFB incorporated liposomes have lower cytotoxicity in comparison with SFB solution. In another study, the docetaxel-loaded cholesterol polymeric micelles show less cytotoxic effect than the free drug. This observation might correspond to either favorable partitioning of free lipophilic drugs in the cell membrane or the drug release property of nanoparticles that results in a sustained but lower cell exposure to effective drug concentration if compared with free drug. It should also be considered that high cytotoxicity of the potent drugs if not targeted to the tumor tissue is not favorable. Indeed, prolonged circulation of free cytotoxic agents may harm healthy normal as well as cancerous cells which lead to serious side effects. This problem could be solved by using well-designed nanoparticles that accumulate preferentially in tumors by either passive or active targeting. Here in the present study, the PEGylated nanoparticles showing enough small sizes are presumed to extravasate from the blood vessel and accumulate in the tumor. Moreover, as shown in another study the PEGylation through charge shielding mechanism and formation of hydrated steric barrier reduces opsonization of the nanoparticles and thus enhances blood circulation.

### Research Highlights

#### What is the current knowledge?
- Cholesterol containing lipopolymers self-assemble into nanoparticle of different morphologies even at low degree of modification.
- High degree of cholesterol modification provides a large hydrophobic core for an improved drug loading, but it decreases the aqueous dispersibility.
- PEGylation through the charge shielding mechanism lowers the general cytotoxicity associated with lipopolymers.

#### What is new here?
- PEGylation changes the size and morphology of poly ethyleneimine-cholesterol micellar nanoparticles and increases the cellular uptake.
- The cholesterol-containing hydrophobic cores allows low CMC and a remarkable capacity for loading and delivery of SFB tosylate to HCC cell line.

### Conclusion

In the present study, series of PEGylated and classic PEI-cholesterol lipopolymeric micelles were successfully developed for delivery of SFB in HepG2 cells. The cholesterol-containing hydrophobic core of the micelles allows us to obtain low enough CMC values and a remarkable capacity for SFB loading. However, non-PEGylated PEI-cholesterol nanoparticles show high positive charges and general cytotoxicity. The PEGylation reaction through the charge shielding mechanism lowers the general cytotoxicity of the synthesized lipopolymers. On the other hand, the particle shape changes from large rods to small spheres that promotes to a great extent both the cellular uptake and specific SFB-related cytotoxicity. Our results confirm that the positive-charge shielded, small and spherical particles prepared from PEGylated PEI-cholesterol may have a great potential for delivery of chemotherapeutic agents such as SFB to HCC that also requires more researches on cellular internalization mechanism and in vivo fate of the particles.
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