Synthesis, characterization, and evaluation of pH-sensitive doxorubicin-loaded functionalized graphene oxide in osteosarcoma cells
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Introduction
Osteosarcoma (OS) or primary bone cancer is the most common type of bone cancer in children and young adolescents, only after lymphoma and brain cancer.1,2 Surgery, chemotherapy, or a combination of the two are common strategies for treatment.3,4 Chemotherapeutic agents and their unfavorable side effects have been the subject of studies for decades and have not yet been addressed properly.5,6 Doxorubicin (DOX) is a common chemotherapeutic agent that intercalates with double-strand DNAs of cancer cells and disrupts its replication process. However, at the same time, it negatively affects the normal cells in the heart, liver, kidney, and brain.7,8

Abstract
Introduction: Doxorubicin (DOX) is one of the most common drugs in cancer treatment. However, its partial solubility along with the high incidence of side effects remains a challenge to tackle. To address these issues, we designed a formulation based on graphene oxide (GO) and used it as an anticancer drug delivery system.

Methods: The physical and chemical properties of the formulation were studied using FTIR, SEM, EDX, Mapping, and XRD. Release studies in the in vitro condition were used to evaluate the pH sensitivity of drug release from nanocarriers. Other in vitro studies, including uptake assay, MTT, and apoptosis assay were carried out on the osteosarcoma (cell line. Results: In vitro release studies confirmed that the synthesized formulation provides a better payload release profile in acidic conditions, which is usually the case in the tumor site. On the OS cell line, the cytotoxicity of the DOX-loaded nanocarrier (IC50=0.293 μg/mL) and early apoptosis rate (33.80%) were higher in comparison to free DOX (IC50=0.472 μg/mL, and early apoptosis rate= 8.31%) after 48 hours.

Conclusion: In summary, our results suggest a DOX-loaded graphene oxide carrier as a potential platform for targeting cancer cells.
Graphene oxide (GO) is a single atomic layer of carbon atoms, with epoxy, hydroxyl, and carboxyl functional groups on the surface which provides an exceptional opportunity for linking various biologically active components. Mechanistically, GO nanosheets can be internalized through various routes by mammalian cells, spanning a diverse range from micropinocytosis to microtubules dependent pathways as well as phagocytosis and clathrin-mediated endocytosis. However, in the MG63 OS cell line and other non-phagocytic mammalian cell lines, plasma membrane damage and the production of ROS are the main mechanisms of toxicity by GO and its derivatives. Concerning the outstanding physical, chemical, and optical properties of GO nanomaterial its potential biomedical applications have not been investigated thoroughly.

The solid tumors microenvironment has acidic pH due to the high rate of glycolysis and great lactic acid generation. Targeted therapy could employ this feature of cancer cells to deliver therapeutic agents to the tumor microenvironment. In this study, in order to increase the concentration of DOX in the tumor microenvironment and decrease drug accumulation in healthy tissues, we have designed an acidic pH-sensitive GO-based drug carrier. In our nanocarrier, tris-modified GO was covalently linked to methyl imidazole molecules using ring-opening polymerization (ROP). Due to the large surface area of GO nanoparticles and numerous branches created on its surface by tris, this platform was used for high loading and delivering DOX to the OS cancer cell line. We utilized various characterization techniques such as Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS), energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDX) mapping, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, in different steps of preparing our formulation for confirming its physical and chemical properties. Subsequently, loading and release profiles were calculated, and the efficacy of formulation was tested using biological assays, including quantitative and qualitative uptake assays, Thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay, and apoptosis assay on the MG63 cell line. The importance of this work is that it uses relatively simple and easy steps as well as cheap materials to oxidize, functionalize and activate the biologically inert GO, which has a unique surface area to volume ratio, for the delivery of an active anticancer substance to the tumor site.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Epichlorohydrin (ECH, 99%), 1-methylimidazole (MI, 99%), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) were provided from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA. Solvents and chemical reagents were purchased from Merck, Branchburg, NJ, USA. Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 growth medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS), and trypsin were purchased from Gibco BRL Life Technologies, Grand Island, NE, USA. DOX powder was provided from Sobhan Pharmaceutical Company, Tehran, Iran. MTT was obtained from Bio Basic Inc., Markham, Canada. Quaternized imidazolium (QI) and quaternized imidazolium-functionalized oxirane (QIFO) were synthesized according to the recent literature.

Instrumentation

FTIR spectroscopy was used for the characterization of all chemical structures and recorded on a Bruker Tensor 270 spectrometer (Burker, Massachusetts, NE, USA). To measure the zeta-potential and average diameter of nanosheets, the laser-scattering technique was performed at 25°C by Zetasizer Nano ZS90; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, United Kingdom. All samples were spread on an SEM stub and sprinkled with gold and studied with a field emission SEM (FESEM) and EDX to determine the size, surface morphology, and elemental study of nanosheets (FESEM instrument of ZEISS company, Sigma VP; Oberkochen, Germany). To characterize the structure of the crystal phase, XRD measurements using Cu Ka radiation were done X’ Pert Pro, Malvern Pananalytical Ltd, Malvern, United Kingdom.

Preparation of Drug Carrier

Preparation of pure GO
0.6 g of graphite, 25 mL of H$_2$SO$_4$, and 0.6 g of NaNO$_3$ were stirred in an ice bath for 1 h. Afterward, KMnO$_4$ (1.6 g) was solved by stirring at 35 °C for 24 hours to reach a thick solution. Then, 25 mL of de-ionized water was gently added to this mixture to control the reaction temperature (up to 90°C) and stirred for 30 min. At that time, 7 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (30 %) and 75 mL of de-ionized water were added. This mixture was then filtered using a gravity filter and washed alternately with deionized water and 5% HCl solution several times. Finally, the product was freeze-dried to avoid GO aggregation.

Preparation of Tris-GO (TRIS-GO)
For surface functionalization of GO, 3.0 g of TRIS was dissolved in 100 mL dried DMF, and then 1.0 g of GO was added to the solution and stirred for two days at room temperature. Subsequently, the sediment was separated with a 15-min centrifugation step at 5000 x g. Deionized water was used to wash this solution, and then it was dried at room temperature.

Preparation of functionalized GO (FGO)
For activating the hydroxyl groups on the GO surface 0.25 g of GO-TRIS was dissolved in 25 mL of deionized water and its pH was brought to 11 by the addition of NaOH (2 M). An ultrasonic bath was used to disperse for 1 hour followed by using a magnetic stirrer at 50°C for 24 hours. The obtained suspension was added drop by drop to the QIFO solution and stirred again for 24 hours. The resulting mixture was centrifuged at 6000 x g for 15 minutes.
minutes to remove the excess reagents and then washed twice and dried in the vacuum.

**DOX loading**
To load DOX on the drug carrier, 50 mg of FGO was dispersed in 0.5 mg/mL DOX solution and stirred for 24 hours in a dark condition at room temperature. After centrifugation for 5 minutes at 2000 x g, the supernatant absorbance was measured by UV-VIS spectrophotometry at 480 nm. To calculate the concentration of unloaded DOX calibration curve from different concentrations of free DOX was drawn. Drug encapsulation efficiency and drug loading efficiency of DOX were calculated by the following formulas.

\[
\text{Drug Loading efficiency (\% w/w)} = \frac{\text{mg of DOX in the FGO}}{\text{mg of the FGO}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Drug encapsulation efficiency (\% w/w)} = \frac{\text{mg of DOX in the FGO}}{\text{mg of feeded DOX}} \times 100
\]

The obtained precipitate was washed with PBS and vacuum dried for further in vitro studies such as MTT assay and cell uptake assay.

**DOX release**
To evaluate the release rate of DOX from nanocarrier, 4 mg of DOX-FGO was dispersed in 10 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution in various pH solutions (7.4, and 5.2). All of these solutions were shaken in a 37°C incubator for pre-determined interval times. The supernatant absorbance was measured by the UV-vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 480 nm. The DOX concentration was calculated using the calibration curve.

**Cell culture and MTT assay**
For the measurement of cytotoxicity, an MTT assay was used. The MG-63 cells were cultured in RPMI medium (containing streptomycin and penicillin) with 10% FBS and incubated at 37°C (with 95% humidity and 5% CO₂). To assay cytotoxicity, 10,000 cells were seeded per well in the 96 well plates, and 200 μL of culture medium was added with 10% FBS to each well. The plates were incubated at 5% CO₂ and in a humidified atmosphere for 24 hours at 37°C so that the cells have enough time to stick to the bottom of the wells. After preparing serial dilutions, the cells were treated with indicated concentrations of FGO, free DOX, and DOX-FGO (10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, 0.312 μg/mL). The concentrations of DOX-FGO were based on the content of DOX. A control group without treatment for each of these groups was considered. Each of the wells was washed two times with PBS after 48 hours of incubation. Then, a 180 μL growth medium with 10% FBS and 20 μL MTT solution was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours. After this period, the contents were removed and 150 μL DMSO was added to each well to solve the crystals of formazan. ELISA reader (Awareness Technology, Palm City, FL, USA) at 570 nm was used to determine the absorbance of the formazan. All tests were performed in triplicate. The following formula was used to calculate the cell viability:

\[
\text{Cell viability (\%)} = \frac{\text{The absorbance of each test}}{\text{Mean absorbance of controls}} \times 100
\]

**Cellular uptake assay**
Fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry were implemented to evaluate the qualitative and quantitative cellular uptake of the DOX-FGO. For this purpose, 5×10⁴ MG-63 cells per well were seeded in six-well plates and 24 hours incubated. Then these cells were treated with DOX-FGO at IC₅₀ value (0.293 μg/mL) for 0.5, 1, and 2 hours. Finally, the cells were eluted with PBS and the cellular uptake was assessed and analyzed by FACSCalibur flow cytometer. (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA, USA). The quantitative intracellular uptake test with flow cytometry was repeated for free DOX.

To evaluate cellular uptake by fluorescence microscopy, MG-63 cells were seeded in a slide chamber (4×10⁴ per chamber) and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were treated with DOX-FGO at the IC₅₀ value for 0.5, 1, and 2 hours, then chambers were washed with PBS and red fluorescence of DOX was detected with fluorescence microscopy (Olympus microscope Bh2-RFCA, Tokyo, Japan).

**Apoptosis assay**
To evaluate if cell death is apoptosis 500×10⁴ MG-63 cells per well were seeded into six-well plates in the RPMI and for 24 hours incubated at 37°C. The RPMI medium was replaced with a new medium, and then cells were treated with IC₅₀ values of free DOX, DOX-FGO, and blank FGO. After 48 hours of incubation, the cells were trypsinized and centrifuged to remove all trypsin. Then, the cells were collected and washed with PBS. Subsequently, 1 mL annexin binding buffer for 1 × 10⁶ cells was used to stain the apoptotic cells. A eBioscience Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (eBioscience, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for apoptosis assay, according to the manufacture's protocol. In brief, 100 μL of cell suspension were mixed with 5 μL FITC Annexin V, and 5 μL propidium iodide (PI). After 15 minutes incubation, 400 μL buffer was added to each suspension. Finally, results were analyzed with a FACSCalibur flow cytometer.

**Statistical analyses**
Statistical analysis was performed via GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). Kolmogorov-Smirnov’s normality test was applied for evaluating the normal distribution of data. Independent two samples t test was performed to determine the significant differences between free DOX and their nanoformulations results at different concentrations in 24 and 48 hours. In addition, significant differences are shown as *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ****P < 0.0001.
Results

Preparation and characterization

Surface modification of GO with QI was performed for DOX loading (Fig. 1) as an anticancer drug and used for the treatment of MG-63 cells. The following characterizations were used for analyzing the samples in each step and discussed as well.

As seen in Fig. 2A, the FTIR spectrum of Tris-GO has three characteristic peaks. A peak at around 1043 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to C-O stretching bonds. The C=O bond in carboxylic acid at the edge of the GO sheets is observed at around 1718 cm$^{-1}$. The peak at around 2879-2948 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the C-H aliphatic stretching bonds. The O-H stretching band is also observed at 3419 cm$^{-1}$. After modification by the ROP approach with QIFO, the FTIR spectrum did not show significant changes, however, the intensity of the peaks at around 1053 cm$^{-1}$ is increased due to the increasing number of C-O bonds in the polymeric network of QI-functionalized branches. Interestingly, the intensity at around 2858-2923 cm$^{-1}$ is slightly enhanced due to a similar reason. Observed peaks at around 1500 cm$^{-1}$ are mainly due to c=c stretching which is the building block in graphene.

The samples were also analyzed with the XRD technique to show the changes in the crystallinity of the Tris-GO and FGO. As shown in Fig. 2B, the XRD graphs of both samples have a different pattern. Tris-GO has no changes in morphology and structure after modification, thus, it has a crystalline structure with a very sharp peak at 10.52° and a slightly intense peak of 43.37°. After surface modification, the peak at 10.52° disappears and the peak at 43.37° is enhanced. Also, a broad peak at 19.17° is observed.

SEM images were obtained to study the morphology and structure of the nanosheets before and after treatment. As can be seen in Fig. 2C, untreated GO (as our control) has a morphology of crumpled paper; a rather planar surface with a number of ripples, wrinkles, and folds on it, each folded plane has an average thickness of 55 nm. Fig. 2C shows, as expected in the morphologic structure of Tris-GO nanosheets, that no significant changes have occurred. However, after the polymerization reaction on Tris-GO nanosheets, there are some morphological changes in the surface of nanosheets, including an increase in plate wrinkles and also an increase in the surface roughness. Homogeneous corrugated surface of sheet-like structures was observed in all samples which is in accordance with previous studies.

EDX mapping analysis was performed for the determination of element percentage in a semi-quantitative approach and their distribution on the surface of the modified platforms. Fig. 3 depicts the EDX analysis of GO, Tris-GO, and FGO showing logical results. GO has only two detectable elements (carbon and oxygen) by EDS analysis and is about 65% w and 35% w for carbon and oxygen, respectively. After grafting Tris on the surface of GO, it is expected that the nitrogen element also can be detected by EDS analysis. The results show that the weight percentage of carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen is 59.37%, 16.94%, and 23.69%, respectively. (Fig. 1D) The polymeric network of the platform after modification with QI moiety has no new elements other than carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen; therefore, as expected just some changes in the weight percentages are observed. Fig. 3

![Fig. 1. Preparation process of doxorubicin (Dox) loaded functionalized graphene oxide (GO) (A) preparation of TRIS functionalized GO. (B) preparation of activated GO. (C) preparation of Dox-loaded GO nanoparticles.](image-url)
shows the distribution of the elements in each sample. This technique confirmed that surface modification was done successfully and uniformly.

**In vitro DOX loading and release study**

After 24 hours of incubation in dark conditions and several washing processes, the supernatant was collected and its optical density was measured at 480 nm. Using the DOX calibration curve, loading efficiency, and encapsulation efficiency were measured as 9.91% and 99.1%, respectively. For testing the sensitivity of the nanoformulation to pH variation, we performed the *in vitro* release study. Results over 25 days show that DOX release from nanocarrier at pH=5.2 and pH=7.4 at body temperature was 53% and 37%, respectively (Fig. 4). For a better and more detailed understanding of release kinetic and possible involved mechanisms (whether its diffusion, dissolution, or erosion) the release curves were fitted to various models including; zero-order (Fig. 5A,B), Korsmeyer–Peppas model (Fig. 5C), and Higuchi’s model.
(Fig. 5D) and first-order model based on the following equations:

- **Higuchi’s model**: \( \frac{Q_t}{Q_0} = \frac{2(Dt/\pi)^{1/2}}{K_H} \)
- **Korsmeyer–Peppas model**: \( \frac{Q_t}{Q_0} = K_n \cdot t^n \)
- **Zero order**: \( \frac{Q_t}{Q_0} = K_0 \cdot t \)
- **First order**: \( \ln \left( \frac{Q_t}{Q_0} \right) = K_1 \cdot t \)

\( Q_0 \) and \( Q_t \) correspond to the original drug concentration and concentration of drug released at time \( t \). \( D \) and \( K \) are diffusion and release coefficients respectively. Table 1 represents the calculated values of correlation coefficient \( (r^2) \) and equation constants based on various kinetic models.\(^{37,38}\)

For our formulated GO-Dox nanocarrier calculated values of correlation coefficient \( (r^2 = 0.9918) \) suggest Korsmeyer–Peppas (Fig. 5C) diffusion as the preferred and dominant mechanism of release in both pH values. In addition, the calculated value of release exponent from Korsmeyer–Peppas equation \( (n) \) in both pH is more than

Table 1. Drug release kinetics, correlation coefficient values, and equation constants of different kinetic models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation coefficient ( (r^2) )</th>
<th>( n ) (Korsmeyer–Peppas constant)</th>
<th>( K ) (Korsmeyer–Peppas constant)</th>
<th>( K_H ) (Higuchi’s constant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GO-Dox</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH= 5.2</td>
<td>0.9646</td>
<td>0.9785</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
<td>0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH= 7.4</td>
<td>0.9731</td>
<td>0.9805</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.869</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0.45 which is indicative of non-Fickian (anomalous) diffusion of drug from nanoparticle surface.

**Intracellular uptake**

For measuring the amount of internalized nanoformulation, quantitative and qualitative uptake tests were performed on MG63 cells. The fluorescence microscopy images (Fig. 6A) showed that a significant amount of formulation was internalized by MG63 cells. As can be seen, the internalization process was completed in the first few hours of exposure to the nanoformulation. Flow cytometry as the quantitative evaluation was performed for free DOX and DOX-nanocarrier. It can be seen that 99.48% of DOX-nanocarrier were internalized by MG63 cells after 2 hours (Fig. 6B). The rate of the free-DOX uptake was 99.90% after 1 hour (Fig. 6C).

**MTT cytotoxicity assay**

MTT assay was performed to compare and evaluate the efficacy of free DOX, nanocarrier, and DOX-nanocarrier in cell growth at 24 and 48 hours timescales (Fig. 7). GO nanosheets did not show a toxic effect on the growth of the MG-63 cells even at high concentrations (10 μg/mL). For evaluating and comparing cytotoxicity of formulation and free drug, cells were treated with different concentrations of the drug and formulations. After 24 and 48 hours values of IC₅₀ were measured for DOX-nanocarrier as 3.733 μg/mL and 0.293 μg/mL respectively. The IC₅₀ of free DOX after 24 and 48 hours were 7.347 μg/mL and 0.472 μg/mL respectively.

**Apoptosis assay by flow cytometry**

Derivatives of GO alongside several GO-based formulations have been shown to be capable of inducing apoptotic pathways in human cancer cells. After treatment with DOX-nanocarrier, free DOX, and bare nanocarrier for 48 h, samples were used to evaluate apoptosis using annexin V/PI and flow cytometry (Fig. 8). Untreated cells were used as the control group (Fig. 8A). As depicted in Fig. 8, similar to the MTT assay the flow cytometry analysis showed that the treatment of the bare nanocarrier for MG-63 OS cell lines is safe in a drug delivery system (Fig. 8B). On the other hand, cells treated with free DOX (Fig. 8C) and DOX-nanocarrier (Fig. 8D) were positive for annexin V/PI. Indeed, the treatment of MG-63 OS cell lines with free DOX and DOX-nanocarrier for 48 hours resulted in 8.31% and 33.80% early apoptotic cells as well as 6.52% and 0.45% late apoptotic cells, respectively.

**Discussion**

Investigation of potential carriers for the delivery of chemotherapeutic agents is crucial for the development of next-generation cancer treatments. Here we designed a

---

Fig. 6. Cellular internalization of the DOX/nanocarrier. (A) Fluorescence microscopy images of DOX-nanocarrier uptake by MG-63 cells for 0.5 (a), 1(b), and 2 (c) hours. (B) Cellular uptake percentage of DOX-nanocarrier by MG-63 cells for 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 hours after treatment. (C) Cellular uptake percentage of free-DOX after 1.0-hour treatment.
studied to show that tris-FGO could be a potential platform for the delivery of DOX as a standard chemotherapeutic agent.

The presence and intensity of hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl functional groups confirmed that the graphite is optimally oxidized into GO and according to the literature the degree of oxidation is acceptable to be used in the next step. The abundance of C=C groups (which is the backbone and building block of GO structure) in all samples showed that, during the oxidation of graphite into GO, the main structure is preserved. We normally do not expect to observe a distinct peak after imidazolium-functionalization because the subsequent peaks of N-H and C-N overlap with that of O-H and C=O respectively. The obtained FT-IR results confirmed that GO was successfully synthesized, and functionalized to be loaded with DOX.

GO has two distinctive and characteristic (001) sharp XRD peaks at 2θ=10.52° and a small (100) at 2θ=43.37°. The d-spacing is calculated to be d= 0.845nm. This large d-spacing is attributed to the formation of hydroxyl, epoxy, and carboxyl functional groups during the oxidation of graphite, so the presence of 2θ=10.52° is an indicator of successful GO oxidation from graphite and the shift of XRD peak of graphite at 2θ = 26.5° to 10.52° in GO has been interpreted in the literature as the indicator of successful oxidation of graphite sheets. After imidazolium functionalization the sharp XRD peak at 2θ=10.52° changed to a broad peak at 2θ=19.17° and subsequently, d-spacing is reduced to d= 0.445nm which indicated that the oxygen-containing functional groups have been reduced during imidazolium functionalization.

![Fig. 7. Cell growth inhibition rate by different concentrations of free DOX, and DOX-nanocarrier after (A) 24 and (B) 48 hours of treatment. ns; none significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.](image)

![Fig. 8: Evaluation of the apoptosis with flow cytometry after 48 hours treatment of MG-63 cells with (A) treated with full media for control, (B) nanocarrier (10 μg/ml), (C) free DOX (0.472 μg/mL), (D) DOX-nanocarrier (0.293 μg/mL). after (A) 24 and (B) 48 hours of treatment. ns; none significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.](image)
Morphological analysis using SEM showed that the images are empty of any big chunks of unoxidized graphite and it has the typical creased texture of a wrinkled paper containing lots of ripples and folding on it, which indicates an acceptable degree of oxidation and exfoliation.\textsuperscript{44} Functionalization of GO sheets normally does not alter the structure or morphology of sheets but upon close inspection, it can be seen that the texture has been shifted from a wrinkled paper to a crushed stone, which can be interpreted as the result of proper oxidation and reduction processes during the synthesis and drug loading.\textsuperscript{45} Observing elemental distribution on samples using EDX confirmed the success of each step and is in accordance with other characterization data. The presence and well-dispersed oxygen atoms on the surface of the nanoparticles confirmed that Graphene sheets have been oxidized optimally C/O ratio of roughly 1.85 shows us an acceptable ratio of oxidation for GO. Most literature introduces an average of 1.5 to 3 as the optimal degree of oxidation.\textsuperscript{46} well-dispersed nitrogen atoms from tris functionalization appeared in the EDX map in Figs. 3B and 3C and confirm the introduction of nitrogen atoms (by 23 present mass) into the composition of GO via tris modification. A roughly ten percent increases in the ratio of oxygen represent the functionalization by QI molecules to the nanocarrier composition.\textsuperscript{47} Which is evident in a close comparison of oxygen maps of sections B and C in Fig. 3. Previous studies showed that the drug loading ratio of GO could reach 200\%\textsuperscript{48} (almost twice as much as other nanoparticles) due to its vast surface area. GO’s surface area is about 2600 m\textsuperscript{2}/g, which is higher than most other nanomaterials.\textsuperscript{49} FGO, in the same way, offers a great surface area to volume ratio, and because of the presence of carboxyl, and hydroxyl functional groups on its surface, which are sites for electrostatic bonding, we expected an excellent drug loading capability. Also, due to the high solubility and disparity of DOX and FGO in PBS and their opposite ionic charges, we could easily link DOX to nanocarrier with covalent bond connections (1:10). High encapsulation efficiency (99.1\%) for DOX proves that FGO is a carrier with exceptional loading capacity. However, as the H\textsuperscript{+} concentration increases in acidic media, these covalent bonds are destroyed, and the release of the DOX from the nanocarrier increases. A study by Vinothini et al showed that DOX was slowly released at pH-5.5 (endosomal pH of cancer cells) and pH-6.8 (neutral pH) from a GO nanoformulation, but a high amount of drug release rate was observed under acidic condition pH-2.8.\textsuperscript{50} According to our results of the release test (Fig. 4), we conclude that drug release in acidic pH (5.2) was higher in comparison to physiological pH (7.4), which consequently can improve that DOX-FGO is a pH-sensitive nanoparticle. pH sensitivity is a reason for high concentrations of DOX in the tumor microenvironment and within tumor cells that have uptaken the nanoparticles. In addition, fitting the release curves based on common release kinetic models and calculating the correlation coefficient showed that the release mechanism is closest to the Korsmeyer–Peppas diffusion model (also known as Power law). This system describes drug release from polymeric systems, porous materials, and nanoparticles in which there are several structural and nano-geometrical interactions between a drug and its carrier. It can be inferred that tris modifications act as small nano-sized mesh on the surface of GO nanoparticles and gives them a polymeric behavior.\textsuperscript{51,52} MTT assay showed the high viability of MG-36 cells treated with FGO even in high concentrations, which made it a safe and biocompatible carrier for the delivery of drugs and biological agents. From the obtained data it can be concluded that after 24 hours cytotoxic effects of DOX-FGO on MG-63 were not significantly different from the free DOX but after treatment for 48 h, the cytotoxic effect of DOX-FGO improved, and its IC\textsubscript{50} decreased. Accordingly, results indicated that cytotoxicity of free DOX and DOX-FGO had a time-dependent characteristic, and IC\textsubscript{50} decreased over time. The high loading capacity of FGO could be a reason for the high concentration of DOX inside the cells which uptake nanoformulation and cause lower viability of these cells. This has been confirmed with the uptake test. The internalization rate showed that a significant amount of nanoformulation was internalized by MG63 cells and appeared in florescent microscopy photographs and flow cytometry uptake results. As can be seen, the internalization process was time-dependent and almost completed after 2 hours of exposure to the nanoformulation (Fig. 6B). In general, based on the size of GO nanosheets, mechanisms of internalization may vary from clathrin-mediated endocytosis for smaller nanosheets to phagocytic uptake for larger ones. Additionally, there is some evidence for passive uptake of GO nanosheets.\textsuperscript{53} These findings could explain why internalization of DOX-FGO takes more time (60.98\% after 1h presented in Fig. 6B). However, free DOX enters the cytoplasm by diffusion and quickly binds to the proteasome’s 20S subunit. This complex can diffuse into the nucleus\textsuperscript{54} and is observed in the cytoplasm and nucleus of cells in 1 h, which is confirmed by the result of free DOX uptake (99.90\% after 1h presented in Fig. 6C). There are pieces of evidence that show that prolonged exposure of cells with DOX, in addition to nuclear apoptosis, could also activate the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway.\textsuperscript{55,56} The accumulation of ROS inside the cells is one of the cellular events caused by DOX, which ultimately leads to p53 phosphorylation and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{57} This evidence could explain the lower IC50 of nanoformulation in camipier with free DOX in a long exposer time (48 hours). The apoptosis results are also in line with MTT findings. The degree of early apoptosis in DOX-FGO treated cells was 33.80\%, higher than the early apoptotic rate of cells treated with free DOX (8.31\%) after 48 hours, which confirms the cells going to apoptosis.
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