Association of tumour mutation burden with prognosis and its clinical significance in stage III gastric cancer
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Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the predominant malignant tumours with high morbidity and lethality worldwide.¹ Its incidence appears to be regional, with high-incidence areas mainly concentrated in eastern Asian countries.² In 2020, there were 479,000 new cases of GC and 374,000 new deaths related to the disease in China, accounting, respectively, for 43.9% and 48.6% of all cases worldwide, which presents a serious threat to human health.³ According to Chinese Union for Gastrointestinal Tumour Surgery data from 2014–2017, the proportion of advanced GC in China is as high as 80.3%.⁴ The mainstay of treatment for GC is surgery, both Chinese and Japanese guidelines for the management of GC recommend D2 radical gastrectomy for advanced GC.⁵,⁶ Presently, treatment has aided in managing the disease, and the 5-year survival rate
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for early-stage GC (stage II or lower) after surgery ranges from 60% to 80%. Conversely, patients with stage III tumours after surgery have a 5-year survival rate of 18%–50%. These small tissue blocks were then digested
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survival time ≥5 years) shown in Table 1. A total of 38 cases were divided into long-term survival group (survival time ≥5 years) and short-term survival group (survival time <3 years) based on the patient survival rates. All of the above 38 samples were detected using FoundationOne CDx, a comprehensive companion diagnostic test for pan-tumours, approved by the Food and Drug Administration.

Relationship between the TMB threshold level and prognosis

Patients with stage III GC were divided into two groups (high and low TMB) using the R package's `survminer` tool with the surv_cutpoint function, which determines the optimal cutpoint for one continuous variable with the maximally selected rank statistics from R package 'maxstat' function. The survival curve of the differential TMB threshold level was analysed using the Kaplan–Meier method.

The Cancer Genome Atlas data

Data acquisition

All data of 173 patients with stage III GC from the Cancer Genome Atlas database (TCGA) were downloaded from XENA (https://xena.ucsc.edu/), and the TMB was calculated using mutation files (.maf files).

Relationship of the TMB threshold level with prognosis and clinicopathology

The general linear model was used to explore the relationship between the TMB and clinical factors. In addition, we compared the prognosis of patients with high and low TMB. The KM survival analysis was used to compare the survival time of patients in the high and low TMB groups. Subsequently, the association between TMB and prognosis was analysed using the multivariate Cox regression model. All the cells of the body could mutate, and mutation signatures related to GC were selected as clinical factors. Mutation signatures 1 and 2 are found in many types of cancers. Mutation signatures 15 and 17 are also found in several cancers, including stomach cancer.

Cell culture

Primary cells were collected using patient tumour tissue samples. Briefly, the tumour tissue samples were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 3 times, then soaked in 10,000 U penicillin and streptomycin for 20 minutes and cut into pieces of 3–4 mm². A fibroblast medium (ScienCell Research Laboratories, San Diego, CA) containing 20% foetal bovine serum (FBS) was added to these small samples for culture to ensure that fibroblasts around the samples were confluent to achieve contact inhibition. These small tissue blocks were then digested by trypsin and thereafter passaged. The primary gastric cancer cells of samples passaged 5–8 times were used in this study. All cell genotypes were consistent with those of the gastric cancer tissue of origin. Finally, GC cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/well) were plated in triplicate in a six-well plate and incubated. Cells harvested by trypsin were counted using an automated cell counter. Cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented

Materials and methods

Clinical data

Sample selection

The tumour tissue of 38 patients with stage III GC was assessed using the next-generation sequencing (NGS) molecular biological technique at the First Medical Centre of Chinese PLA General Hospital from January 2009 to December 2014. Patient information including sex, tumour location, surgical resection modality, pathologic type, postoperative adjuvant therapy and the survival period was collected. The individual characteristics are shown in Table 1. A total of 38 cases were divided into the long-term survival group (survival time ≥5 years) and the short-term survival group (survival time <3 years) based on the patient survival rates.
with 10% FBS and 100 mg/mL of penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine (Gibco) in humidified incubators with a 5% CO2 at 37 °C.

**Western blotting**

Proteins were extracted using a RIPA buffer (Solarbio, Beijing, China) and separated by conducting sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature of 15 °C to 30 °C for 1 hour. The primary antibodies used were as follows: Notch-1 rabbit mAb (1:1000; ab52627, Abcam) and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) rabbit mAb (1:1000; 10494-1-AP, Proteintech), AKT rabbit mAb (1:1000; 4691, Cell Signalling Technology), phospho-Akt (Thr308) rabbit mAb (1:1000; 13038, Cell Signalling Technology), phospho-Akt (Ser473) rabbit mAb (1:1000; 4060, Cell Signalling Technology); all secondary antibodies (7074, Cell Signalling Technology) were used at a 1:5000 dilution. The results were quantified using the ImageJ Analysis System (BioRad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) software.

**Cell transwell assay**

Tumour cells (2,000 cells/well) were seeded into the upper chamber, and the complete medium (containing

---

**Table 1. Clinical and pathological characteristics, and treatment features of 38 postoperative patients with stage III gastric cancer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Composition ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;60 years</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥60 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gastrectomy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>&lt;5 cm</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥5 cm</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of invasion</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bormann’s classification</td>
<td>type I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>type II + III</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>type IV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO histological classification</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signet ring cell carcinoma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren’s classification</td>
<td>Intestinal type</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffuse type</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed type</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological differentiation</td>
<td>Low/moderately Low differentiation</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately high/moderate/high differentiation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer emboli</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve involvement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer nodules</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM staging</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment plan group</td>
<td>Containing oxaliplatin regimen</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Containing docetaxel regimen</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment cycle group</td>
<td>2-5 Cycles</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥6 Cycles</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20% FBS) was placed into the lower compartment. Later, cells that passed through the upper chamber were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Solarbio) for 10 minutes, washed with PBS 3 times and stained with crystal violet regent (Solarbio) for 5 minutes. The cell counts were determined under a microscope (Nikon).

**Reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)**

Total RNAs were extracted from the cells using the UNIQ-10 Column TRIzol™ Total RNA Isolation Kit (Sango Biotech), and cDNA was synthesised by the MonScript™ RTIII All-in-One Mix with dsDNase (Monad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR for RNA and PCR for genomic DNA was performed using the MonAmp™ ChemoHS qPCR Mix (Monad). The reaction mixtures were incubated at 50°C for 15 minutes, followed by 95 °C for 5 minutes. Next, 35 PCR cycles were performed using the following cycling conditions: 95 °C for 15 seconds, 60 °C for 30 seconds and 72 °C for 1 minute. The gene expression values were normalised to those of GAPDH. All reactions were repeated at least three times and the 2−ΔΔCq method was used to analyze the relative expression.

**Methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assay for cell proliferation**

Cells were seeded in triplicate at a density of 1000 cells/well on 96-well plates and incubated for 1–10 days. Then, MTT was added into each well for a final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL and incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C. After the incubation, all of the medium was removed and 100 µL of DMSO was added to each well. Absorbance was then assayed using the Biotek Synergy H1 microplate reader at OD490, and the growth curve was drawn according to OD490 values by days.

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

The paraffin-embedded tissue sections were processed according to standard procedures for dewaxing and dehydration. The primary antibody was incubated with the sections overnight at 4 °C. A secondary antibody was then applied to the sections and incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes, and the presence of antigens was revealed using dianmobenzidine tetrachloride (DAB; Dako) and counter-stained with nuclear red or haematoxylin (blue). The relative quantities of IHC reaction were accessed by Image-Pro Plus 6.0. Briefly, scores were applied to rate staining intensity in the cancer cells (no staining: 0; weak: 1; moderate: 2; strong: 3) and the percentage of stained cells (<5%; 5–25%; 1; 25–50%; 2; >50–75%; 3; >75%;4)). The final score was equal to the intensity multiplied by the percentage. The staining was stratified into low levels (scores 1–3) or high levels of expression (score ≥ 4).

**Statistical analysis**

The Cox regression was applied to analyse the prognosis factors, and the general linear model was employed to analyse the TMB-related factors. The forest plot was drawn by the ‘forestplot’ function of the R package, the survival curve was plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method and the waterfall plot of the mutation landscape was plotted using Maftools. The TMB cut-off in the low or high group was determined by the ‘survminer’ tool in the R package, with maximally selected rank statistics determined by the ‘maxstat’ function in the R package.

The mutation gene enrichment was conducted by Maftools, and a P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The results are shown as the mean ± SD, and a two-way ANOVA was performed for statistical analysis.

**Results**

The mutated genes in the study cohort and the TCGA data with stage III GC

The gene mutation profiles of 38 patients in the short- and long-term survival groups were drawn by the GenvisR R package (Fig. 1A). A total of 63 tumour-associated mutated genes were found; the top 5 most frequently mutated genes were TP53 (26/38), CCNE1 (9/38), ARID1A (9/38), KRAS (5/38) and PTEN (4/38). The mutation profile of the TCGA cohort showed that the top 5 mutated genes were TTN, TP53, MUC16, LRPI8 and CSMD3 (Figs. 1B, 1C).

**Table 2. RT-PCR primer sequences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hes1</td>
<td>TCAACGACACCGGATAAAC</td>
<td>GCCGCCGACCTATCTTCTTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hey1</td>
<td>GTTCGGCTCTAGGTTCCATGT</td>
<td>GCTGGGCCTCTCAATTATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hey2</td>
<td>AGGGCGGCAAGTGCTGAAATA</td>
<td>AGAGCGTGTGCGTCAAAGTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN1A</td>
<td>TGCTCCTGCAAGACCCTGCT</td>
<td>AAAGTCGAAGTTCCATCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASN</td>
<td>CCGAGACACTGCTGGGCTA</td>
<td>CTTAGCAGACACTGCTGGGCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN1B</td>
<td>AACGTCGCAAGTGCTAACCCTG</td>
<td>CCGCTTAGGGGTTTGTAGTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACY</td>
<td>ATCGTCCAGATTGTCGTCGGG</td>
<td>GACACCAGTTTTCCCCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTS5</td>
<td>ATTACGTCCAGACATAGATCC</td>
<td>CGACACTGTTAGGCGTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>TGACTTCAACACCGGACACCCA</td>
<td>CACCGTGTGTAGGCGTACAAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Mutation pattern of Stage III gastric cancer. (A) Waterfall plot of mutation in our dataset. (B) Waterfall plot of mutation data of TCGA cohort of Stage III gastric cancer. (C) Mutation summary of Stage III gastric cancer.
**Clinical significance of TMB in the study cohort and the TCGA data**

Patients were divided into groups by TMB both in the study cohort and the TCGA cohort. In the study cohort of 38 patients with stage III GC, the TMB level was 0–37 mutations per megabase unit (Muts/Mb). There were 7 patients in the high TMB group (TMB ≥ 10 Muts/Mb), 26 patients in the low TMB group (TMB < 10 Muts/Mb) and 5 patients with uncertain TMB values. In the TCGA cohort of 173 patients with stage III GC, there were 87 patients in the high TMB group and 86 patients in the low TMB group, with a 114:59 male-to-female ratio.

As shown in Figs. 2A–2B, the patients with high TMB had better overall survival (OS) rates than patients with low TMB in both cohorts. The present study also explored the different mutated genes between the high and low TMB groups in the TCGA cohort, and the top 10 mutated genes were identified ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 2C). Furthermore, the immunohistochemistry analysis of tumour-infiltrating CD8+ T-cells in the study cohort provided evidence supporting the role of anti-tumour immunity in the improved prognosis of patients with GC who have a high TMB (Fig. 2D, please refer to Fig. S1 of Supplementary file 1 for specific quantitative results of CD8+ cells).

In the TCGA cohort, TMB levels were significantly associated with age ($P = 0.008$), signature 1 ($P < 0.001$) and signature 17 ($P = 0.045$) but not with the T-stage, N-stage, mutation signature 2 or mutation signature 15 (Fig. 3A).

The multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that age ($P = 0.01$) but not TMB ($P = 0.09$) was an independent prognosis factor (Fig. 3B).

**Oncogenic signalling pathway enrichment**

For further analysis of the molecular character of stage III GC, the authors of the present study plotted the driver genes, including FERMT2 and WDR55, using Maftools to detect cancer driver genes according to positional clustering (Fig. 4A). Several oncogenic signalling pathways were enriched (Fig. 4B), including RTK-RAS, Notch and WNT. A detailed account of the RTK-RAS signalling pathways' enriched genes is shown in Fig. 4C.

**Growth and migration ability of GC cells in the different groups**

The expression of the Notch-1 and RTK-RAS pathway-related proteins was detected using WB. The results demonstrated that the expression of the Notch-1 pathway-related proteins (Notch-1, Hes1 and Hey1) was lower in
the high (P1-3) than in the low (P4-6) TMB group; this finding was confirmed via immunohistochemistry (Fig. 5A). Moreover, RTK-RAS pathway activation was also lower in the high compared to the low TMB group (Fig. 5B). The relevant target genes’ expressions of Notch-1 and RTK-RAS pathways were also similar to the expression of the Notch-1 and RTK-RAS pathway-related proteins (Figs. 5C, D). Proteins such as CDKN1A and CDKN1B are involved in the regulation of the cell cycle and may be associated with the dysregulation of cancer cell proliferation and apoptosis.

Both the proliferation rate of GC cells (Fig. 5E) and their migration ability were lower in the high TMB group compared with the low TMB group (Fig. 5F). The above results revealed that GC cell damage was lower in the high than in the low TMB group. Nevertheless, the present data already indicates that interfering with the expression of Notch-1 and the KRAS pathway can affect various phenotypes in TMB-low cells. However, further evidence is required to fully understand the exact mechanisms involved.

**Discussion**

Stage III GC differs from early-stage disease; in patients in the early stage of GC, emphasis is placed on tumour resection rather than on systemic chemotherapy. Given that most cases are diagnosed at a late stage, it is important to identify the detailed molecular characteristics of stage III GC, and TMB is currently being investigated as a GC biomarker. In this study, the mutation landscape was plotted both in the study dataset and the TCGA set. The authors explored the clinical significance of TMB in stage III GC. The study demonstrated that TMB could serve as a prognostic biomarker, although it was not an independent prognostic factor.

The limitations of the present analysis are as follows: (1) a small sample size and (2) extreme heterogeneity among patients with GC, especially in stage III of the disease. Although the present study did not discover any ground-breaking rule introduced by the mutation of a single gene, it was found that genes with high-frequency mutations were significantly enriched in pathways, including the RTK-RAS and Notch signalling pathways.
Additionally, the results indicated that inhibiting the RTK-RAS signalling pathway can impede proliferation and induce the apoptosis of cancer cells. Previous studies confirmed that the inhibition of upstream regulatory molecules of the Notch signalling pathway can achieve the purpose of impeding GC cell invasion, proliferation and migration. The present results were consistent with existing studies and showed that most of the mutated genes in stage III GC were enriched in these two signalling pathways, thereby underscoring them as the main signalling pathways involved in the evolutionary deterioration of GC. In the high TMB group, Notch and RTK-RAS pathway activation was lower than in the low TMB group (Figs. 5A–5D). The proliferation and migration ability of GC cells were lower in the high than in the low TMB group (Figs. 5E, 5F). The results suggest that no definite single molecular marker with a significant difference is present at the gene level in patients with stage III GC; however, pathway prediction may be more valuable than a single molecular marker.

In addition, TMB was also found to be associated with survival prognosis. The tumour mutation burden is defined as the total number of substitutions, insertions, deletions and mutations per megabase occurring in the exonic coding region of genes assessed in tumour tissue. Tumour cells mutate to produce new proteins that are recognised by the autoimmune system as 'nonself antigens' and, as a result, activate T-cells and trigger antitumour immune responses. Therefore, the higher the TMB of tumour cells, the more easily they can be recognised by the immune system and the more strongly the antitumour immune response will be, giving T-cells a greater chance to detect and eliminate cancer cells. Thus, it follows that high TMB can theoretically serve as a biomarker for antitumour immunotherapy. There is increasing evidence that TMB and clinical benefits are correlated and that a higher TMB is associated with a better prognosis. A study showed that TMB was more effective than PDL1 in predicting the treatment efficacy of toripalimab in advanced GC, in which the OS was significantly higher in the high (≥12 Muts/Mb) than in the low (<12 Muts/Mb) TMB group (14.9 months vs 4.0 months). Another study reported that the median OS rates of patients with metastatic breast cancer were significantly different in the low and high TMB groups (44.9 months vs 85.8 months, respectively). The results
of the present study were consistent with the results of the above studies indicating that patients in the low TMB group had better OS rates than those in the high TMB group. However, the Cox regression showed that TMB was not an independent prognostic factor. According to previous research, TMB can be used to predict the prognosis of various types of cancer, but its impact on different cancers is controversial. A meta-analysis found that gastric patients in the high TMB group showed significantly longer OS than in the low TMB group, particularly in Asian patients; however in the non-Asian subgroup, the survival benefit was observed to be not statistically significant. Li et al. found that the combination of TMB and a positive postoperative tumour marker had significantly better predictive value than the traditional predictive marker; furthermore,
TMB can provide information for the prognosis of gastric cancer and different TMB cutoffs, indicating TMB as being a prognostic biomarker. Our research findings indicate that the prognostic value of TMB should be evaluated together with different cutoff values and/or other clinical pathological features or biomarkers, which may be superior to evaluating TMB on its own.

Cho et al examined TMB in 330 patients with GC, 11% of which had high TMB (≥10.5 Muts/Mb), patients with the Lauren intestinal type of GC and early TNM were more likely to have high TMB, however, there was no correlation with HER-2, EGFR, FGFR-2, MET and the expressions of other genes. At present, there is no unified standard for establishing a TMB threshold. The standards of different detection platforms vary, and factors such as a lack of support from clinical trial data have resulted in TMB not yet being widely employed to guide clinical treatment. It is believed that as TMB continues to gain momentum as a biomarker of immunotherapy response and standardised assays emerge to enable clinical application, guiding therapy using TMB testing will become increasingly important in precision medicine in the future. However, in stage III GC, a highly heterogeneous tumour, a combination of biomarkers may be more effective than any single such marker. Clinical trials using a combination of TMB and other biomarkers should thus be conducted in the future.

In summary, the TMB level and pathway prediction have directional significance in stage III GC, and relevant research can provide important guiding value for clinical treatment. Tumour mutation burden is closely associated with the prognosis of stage III GC, and further prospective multicentre research is needed for future validation of the prognostic value of TMB in GC.

Conclusion
This single-centre cohort study found that patients with stage III GC in the high TMB group had better OS rates than those in the low TMB group, which indicated the need to conduct prospective multicentre research for the future validation of the prognostic value of TMB in GC. This study also redefined the enriched signalling pathways of stage III GC and found that the activation levels of these pathways varied between the high and low TMB groups. These findings provide new directions for the follow-up study of stage III GC.
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