

Ebrahimi and Hashemi, *BioImpacts.* 2025;15:30019 doi: 10.34172/bi.30019 https://bi.tbzmed.ac.ir/

CrossMark





# **CRISPR-based gene editing in plants: Focus on reagents and their delivery tools**

### Vida Ebrahimi<sup>®</sup>, Atieh Hashemi<sup>\*®</sup>

Department of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Article Info



Article Type: Review

#### Article History:

Received: 27 Jul. 2023 Revised: 16 Jan. 2024 Accepted: 6 Feb. 2024 ePublished: 15 Jun. 2024

#### Keywords:

CRISPR-Cas9 system, Nanoparticle vectors, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, Ribonucleoprotein complexes, Viral vectors, Electroporation

#### Abstract

*Introduction:* CRISPR-Cas9 technology has revolutionized plant genome editing, providing precise and efficient methods for genetic modification. This study focuses on the advancements and delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 in plant gene editing.

**Methods:** A comprehensive search in scientific databases, including PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar, was conducted to gather information on CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing and its delivery in precise gene modification in plants. **Results:** The evolving landscape of CRISPR nucleases has led to the



development of innovative technologies, enhancing plant research. However, successful editing is contingent on efficient delivery of genome engineering reagents. CRISPR-based gene editing in plants utilizes diverse delivery methods: *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation for bacterial transfer, biolistic transformation for physical gene insertion, electroporation for direct gene entry, expression of developmental regulators for gene expression modulation, and tobacco rattle virus as a viral vector, each offering distinct advantages for precise and efficient genetic modification in plants.

**Conclusion:** CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing stands as a pivotal advancement in plant genetics, offering precise gene manipulation with applications in agriculture and biotechnology. The continuous refinement of reagent delivery tools reinforces CRISPR-Cas9's transformative role in plant genome editing, with significant implications for broader scientific applications.

#### Introduction

The challenge of improving crop productivity stems from the limited genetic variation within elite breeding materials. In the 1950s, attempts to induce new alleles through random mutagenesis using chemicals or irradiation were both ineffective and time-consuming, resulting in the introduction of numerous mutations simultaneously and causing adverse effects.<sup>1</sup> The advent of site-specific nucleases marked a significant advancement, enabling precise DNA break insertions that were previously unattainable. Reorganizations requiring a precise cut are triggered by the repair machinery of cellular DNA, leading to innovations such as base editing and directed transcriptional regulation.<sup>2</sup>

As sequencing technologies rapidly advance, genomic

data from an increasing number of plant species become accessible. Genome editing tools, in turn, offer the promise of accurate gene editing, presenting new opportunities for crop improvement.<sup>3</sup> In 2023, it has been thirteen years since the creation of the first genetically modified plants. These plants were originally developed through a traditional transformation process, facilitated by Agrobacterium. This method has now advanced to incorporate techniques involving zinc finger nucleases and homing endonucleases.<sup>4-6</sup> TALENs (Transcription Activator Like Effector Nucleases) were later successfully introduced for plant genome editing.<sup>7.8</sup> While early sequence-specific nucleases like transcription activator-like effector (TAL effector) nucleases, zinc finger nucleases, and mega-nuclease have demonstrated



\*Corresponding author: Atieh Hashemi, Email: at\_hashemi@sbmu.ac.ir

 $\odot$   $\odot$   $\odot$  2025 The Author(s). This work is published by BioImpacts as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

practicality in plant genome editing, their dependence on complex protein engineering limits their applications. The emergence of guide RNA-based CRISPR-Cas9 has revolutionized gene editing due to its ease of use and versatility, gradually replacing previous platforms.<sup>9</sup>

CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) constitutes a bacterial immune system that employs RNA-guided nucleases to cleave and eliminate invasive DNA sequences from bacteriophages or plasmids. Researchers have capitalized on this natural process to develop the potent gene editing tool known as CRISPR-Cas9. In 2020, less than a decade after the introduction of the crispr-cas9 tool, Dr. Emmanuelle Charpentier and Dr. Jennifer a. Doudna were jointly awarded the Nobel Prize in chemistry (Nobel Prize press release).<sup>10</sup> The power of CRISPR-Cas9 and other CRISPR-Cas complexes lies in their ability to achieve sequence-specific cleavage of nucleic acids after DNA-RNA recognition and binding. This feature allows for the efficient movement of double-strand breaks (DSBs) to any target sequence of interest at a low cost.<sup>11</sup> Presently, two classes of CRISPR are categorized into six types. The defining characteristic of these classes is the nature of the effector and how it breaks the target site. Class 1 systems (type I, III, and IV) form multi-subunit complexes using numerous Cas proteins and crRNAs, while Class 2 CRISPR-Cas systems (type II, V, and VI) employ a single effector module, represented by a large multi-domain protein (Fig. 1).<sup>12,13</sup>

Both Archaea and Bacteria exhibit abundant Class 1 systems, while Class 2 systems are predominantly limited to bacteria.<sup>14</sup> In the natural CRISPR system, a complex composed of Cas9, crRNA, and tracrRNA is capable of cleaving foreign DNA. The cleavage is contingent upon the presence of PAM (Protospacer Adjacent Motif), an adjacent short sequence motif to the target region (Fig. 2).

Within Cas9, two nuclease domains (HNH and RuvC) are located three base pairs upstream of the PAM.<sup>15</sup> The

DSBs induced by CRISPR-Cas9 are repaired through two main DNA repair pathways: homology-directed repair (HDR) and nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ). HDR, an error-prone pathway, can result in random insertions and deletions, while the high-fidelity repair method is suitable for gene replacement or insertion (Fig. 3).<sup>16</sup>

CRISPR genome-editing systems applied across a wide variety of plant species have made substantial progress and continue to rapidly advance.<sup>17</sup> The initial plants edited by CRISPR emerged in 2013,<sup>18,19</sup> and since then, the technique has successfully applied in 24 plant families across 45 genera leading to imparting valuable agricultural traits.<sup>20</sup> Particularly, the recently developed precise CRISPR-Cas technologies have significantly impacted agriculture by enabling specific base changes. Beyond improving crops, this technology has given rise to new plant biotechnologies with the potential to advance protein engineering and gene regulation. These innovations have not only influenced basic biological research but also expanded the possibilities for widespread adoption.<sup>3</sup>

The initial step in CRISPR-based gene editing involves designing and synthesizing guide RNAs that are complementary to the target DNA sequence. These guide RNAs consist of a 20-nucleotide binding sequence recognizing the target, followed by a scaffold sequence interacting with Cas9. Ensuring the specificity of the binding sequence to the target DNA, free from off-target effects, is crucial. Various online tools like CRISPRplant and crispor aid in designing guide RNAs for plant genomes.<sup>21</sup> Once the guide RNA sequence is designed, it can be synthesized using in vitro transcription methods. Commonly employed is the T7 polymerasebased transcription system, utilizing a DNA template containing the T7 promoter and the guide RNA sequence. The synthesized guide RNA can then be purified and annealed to the Cas9 nuclease, facilitating efficient genome editing.22









Fig. 3. CRISPR-based gene editing repair pathways in plants: NHEJ (Ku-dependent) directly ligates DSB ends without templates, while HDR uses homologous sequences. Crucial for optimizing CRISPR tool in plant gene editing.

This review focuses on different classes of gene editing reagents derived from the CRISPR-Cas system, recently added to the CRISPR toolbox. These include: (I) Cas effectors and multiple Cas variants expanding the range of identifiable target regions and increasing tissue precision, (II) base editing to specifically install all 12 possible base pair modifications without double-strand breaks or donor templates, and (III) prime editing, capable of precisely copying guide RNA information to the target site on DNA. Together, these advancements offer numerous applications in genome editing and beyond, encompassing epigenetic modulation, live cell chromatin imaging, targeted gene regulation, and chromatin manipulation.

Despite its effectiveness, the challenge lies in the delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 reagents to plant cells, posing a significant hurdle to the efficacy of these techniques.<sup>15</sup> Plant cells present unique challenges in delivering gene editing components due to factors such as a rigid cell wall, frequent polyploidy, resistant species, and the integration of Cas9 cassettes into host genomes. Alongside CRISPR-Cas reagents, this review explores recent innovations in delivering these reagents to plants, current gaps in knowledge, and future prospects.

To conduct this review, a literature survey approach was employed by investigating various online databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Cochrane Library, Science Direct, ProQuest, Embase, and Google Scholar, up until July 25th, 2023. Initially, a search was conducted in the Scopus database using the keywords "Genome editing," "CRISPR/Cas," "CRISPR reagents," "CRISPR delivery," and "Plants genome editing." The search was limited to research and review articles, focusing on the historical background of relevant research and articles. Subsequently, a search was performed in the PubMed database using the specified keywords. Filters were applied to restrict the results to research and review articles. Articles specifically concentrating on plant genetics using CRISPR were scrutinized. Further, in the ProQuest database, a search was executed with the phrases "Genome editing," "CRISPR/Cas," "CRISPR reagents," "CRISPR delivery," and "Plants genome editing," reviewing relevant articles, books, and theses. In the Web of Science database, a search was conducted using keyword titles, adding filters related to article type and time. Selected articles were examined, and evaluations by reviewers regarding these articles were reviewed. Within the Science Direct database, articles were investigated using the provided keywords, focusing on original articles and reviews in the field of plant genome editing. The Embase database was utilized for a search with titles related to the specified keywords, and articles were evaluated for accuracy and importance in the field of genome editing. Additionally, in the Cochrane library, systematic references and critiques of genome

concentrating on crutinized. Further, was executed with CPR/Cas<sup>m</sup> "CRISPR concentrating on crutinized. Further, was executed with concentrating on crutinized. Further, concentrating on concentration crutinized. Further, concentration concentration crutinized. Further, concentration crutinized. Further, concentration con

abstract, and relevant keywords, evaluating criticisms and potential critiques of the articles, and choosing credible sources considering the publication date and accuracy of the presented information. This strategy enables us to leverage the latest research and studies in the field of genome editing and CRISPR-Cas technology, facilitating the compilation of a comprehensive review article.

editing tools were accessed. Finally, a comprehensive

evaluation of results, including articles, books, and

references, was conducted using the Google Scholar search engine with the specified keywords. Entry and exit

criteria included selecting suitable articles based on title,

## Classes of CRISPR-Cas derived genome-editing reagents

Currently, three different types of CRISPR technology can be used to edit plant genomes<sup>3,23</sup>: the base editors, the prime editors, and the CRISPR-Cas nucleases. The former requires DSB to induce, while the others do not. Due to the progress that has been made in the expansion of these technologies, the development of new genome editing tools is expected to continue. Due to the rapid development and discovery of new tools for genome editing, it can be challenging to choose the right one for a particular application. This is especially true for researchers who are new to the technology.<sup>24</sup>

## CRISPR-Cas effectors and multiple CRISPR-Cas variants

The simple process of targeted mutagenesis is now routine with the help of the CRISPR-Cas system. This is mainly used to analyze the function of genes, but it can also be utilized to improve crop traits.<sup>25,26</sup> This system is versatile.<sup>1</sup> The Cas9 nuclease is a key component of the CRISPR-Cas system and is responsible for cutting the target DNA. The conventional Cas9 nuclease from Streptococcus pyogenes (spCas9) has been widely used in genome editing applications in plants. However, there are several limitations associated with the use of spCas9, including the large size of the protein, off-target effects, and the potential for unintended mutations. To overcome these limitations, several Cas9 variants have been developed, including the smaller size Cas9 (saCas9) and the doublenicked Cas9 (d-Cas9). The d-Cas9 is a variant of the Cas9 nuclease in which both nuclease domains have been mutated to create a "dead" enzyme that is unable to cut the target DNA. Instead, the d-Cas9 can be programmed to bind to the target DNA without cleaving it, which reduces the potential for off-target effects and unintended mutations. The Cas9 nuclease can be transformed into dCas9 by removing its two domains. Although dCas9 has DNA-binding potential, this process deactivates its cleavage activity. By binding to an effector domain, the protein can guide various enzymatic functions at a targeted genome site.<sup>27,28</sup> The ability to perform sitetargeted modifications, such as gene regulation, is a major advantage of the CRISPR-Cas system.<sup>29,30</sup> It can also be used for various other applications, such as imaging of genomic loci<sup>31</sup> and base editing without DSB induction.<sup>32,33</sup>

Due to the diversity of the natural CRISPR-Cas platforms, they remain largely untapped for biotechnological tools so far.<sup>13,34</sup> Currently, various methods are being used to identify and characterize these systems, such as data mining and bioinformatic prediction. The ability to create a practical genome engineering tool requires that the newly identified CRISPR-Cas systems have activity in mammalian cells. Unfortunately, this is not the case for all types of systems. To find further Class 2 type II systems, with Cas9 as its main protein, and other variants extensive screening was conducted. The first effector, categorized as class 2, that was identified outside of Cas9 was Cas12 (formerly known as Cpf1).35 The Cas12a showed high activity in mammalian cells. Its particular properties, such as its staggered breaks and the requirement for completely different PAMs, made it an incredibly useful tool for genome engineering. Shmakov *et al*<sup>34</sup> identified three new effectors belonging to Class 2. However, two of them, containing C2c1 and C2c3, are alike to Cas12. Instead, the C2c2 is completely irrelevant. Owing to their unique features, the system was then assigned to a new type (Class 2 type VI) termed CRISPR-Cas13a. The presence of HEPN (higher eukaryotes and prokaryotes nucleotidebinding) domains entirely linked to RNase activity, suggested that the Cas13 system is exclusively focused on RNA. This could be a good hypothesis as it means that the system is not only capable of cleaving singlestranded RNA, but it also doesn't utilize double-stranded RNA.<sup>36</sup> After the activation of the target RNA, the other RNAs had been cleaved in an unspecific manner. It is suggested that Cas13 is involved in dormancy induction or programmed cell death. In addition, the high activity of Cas13 orthologue has been proven in eukaryotic cells.<sup>37,38</sup> The researchers found that the unspecific degradation of RNA in prokaryotic systems was not present in eukaryotic cells. This discovery opens up a broad range of new opportunities for the development of targeted RNA therapeutics.39

The CRISPR-Cas9 system's versatility is exemplified by the development of Cas9 variants, addressing limitations associated with the conventional Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (spCas9). Notably, the smaller size Cas9 (saCas9) and double-nicked Cas9 (d-Cas9) offer solutions to issues like off-target effects. This innovation allows for precise gene editing in plants.<sup>40</sup>

#### **Base editing**

Base editing is a powerful and efficient tool for single-base substitution in plants. It can be used in combination with other tools to vastly enhance the scope and efficiencies of genetic editing.<sup>41,42</sup> The two main types of base editors are

the adenine (ABE) and cytidine (CBE) (Fig. 4).

Base editing has several advantages over other gene editing techniques. First, it enables single-nucleotide changes to be made in the genome, which can be crucial in fine-tuning gene expression and protein function. Second, base editing does not require a donor template, which simplifies the editing process and reduces the possibility of introducing unintended mutations. Third, since base editing does not generate double-stranded breaks, there is a reduced risk of off-target effects and chromosomal rearrangements.<sup>43</sup>

For CBE, the combination of the cytidine deaminase and the Cas9 nickase (nCas9) or dCas9 (Fig. 5) creates a narrow window of targeting site for the deamination of the cytosine in the non-targeted strand of DNA. A singlebase substitution occurs by deamination of the original cytosine (C) and uracil (U) formation. This process is carried out through the recognition of U as the thymine, which is a component of the DNA.<sup>32</sup> In mammals, a distinct version of the Lachnospiraceae Cpf1 has been identified as a potential target for single-base substitutions.<sup>44</sup>

Adenine deaminase, which is fused with nCas9 or dCas9, is responsible for the deamination of adenine and converting it into inosine (I). This process results in the recognition of inosine, as guanine. ABE allows base substitutions (A.T to G.C).<sup>45</sup> Based on previous studies, many important traits in plants are conferred through target alleles that have one or several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). It can take breeders several years to introduce these favorable alleles to commercial cultivars. Due to the difficulties in performing gene editing using the CRISPR-Cas-mediated method in plants, base editing has become an applicable technique for inducing nucleotide substitution. The efficiency and simplicity of the ABE and CBE base editors have been broadly used in various organisms for genome editing. These tools are commonly used in plant gene functional annotation and correction.46

#### Prime editing

Prime editing is a further development of the CRISPR-Cas9 system that combines the features of gRNA and a modified Cas9 (nickase) enzyme fused to reverse transcriptase (RT). The RT activity allows the prime editor to write a new DNA sequence into the genome at the target site, rather than relying on the DSB for repair. Prime editing uses a prime gRNA (pegRNA) that contains a template sequence, complementary to the target DNA, and guides the nickase to make a nick in the non-target strand. The RT generates a new DNA sequence that pairs with the opposite strand of the nicked DNA, resulting in a precise edit at the target site.<sup>47</sup> A prime editor (PE) is a type of editing tool that can be created without the need for donor DNA or DSBs. The main component of this process is a combination of the Cas9 nickase and



Point mutation

Point mutation

Fig. 4. Base editors. When either catalytically dead Cas9 (dCas9) or Cas9 nickase (nCas9) is fused to a nucleobase deaminase, guide RNA (gRNA) directs the base editor to the chosen target sequence. Bases are swapped, and cells repair the DNA.



Fig. 5. Mechanisms of Cas9, nCas9 and dCas9. (A) Targeted double-stranded breaks introduced by Cas9. (B) Single-strand breaks introduced by nCas9. (C) Target recognition by dCas9.

the RT. A guide RNA, known as prime-editing guide RNA (pegRNA) can mediate the nicking of a specific site by the nCas9 compartment, which is then served as a template for the RT, directing to the customization of the mutations. PEs can efficiently produce various small insertions and base conversions in human cells. They can also expand the targeting range.<sup>48</sup>

#### Delivery of CRISPR-Cas gene-editing reagents to plants

Besides selecting the right tools, it is also important to deliver the correct CRISPR reagents to the plant cells. In certain systems, such as human cells, the purified mRNA or protein of a Cas system can be delivered to a zygotic cell concurrently. This method can improve the targeting possibility by controlling the concentration of gRNAs and Cas proteins. Although this approach has been shown to work in plants, it still has a long way to go before it can be used in other organisms. The design of a CRISPR construct can affect the outcome of the editing procedure.<sup>49-54</sup> This is why the various elements of the technology must be considered when it comes to optimizing the expression of gRNAs and Cas proteins. Besides these, other factors such as the presence of certain gene regulatory elements (GREs) are also taken into account to ensure that the

process is performed efficiently.

Usually, the reagents for genome editing are delivered to plants through a construct that contains a Cas gene and at least one gRNA. This construct can be used in combination with other plant-specific constructs to experiment. Several delivery tools have been developed for efficient and effective CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing in plants. These methods are summarized in Table 1 and are illustrated in Fig. 6.

#### Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (AMT)

Agrobacterium tumefaciens is a soil bacterium that is widely used for plant genetic transformation.<sup>56</sup> It can naturally infect plants by transferring a small piece of DNA called T-DNA.<sup>57</sup> Researchers have exploited this natural trait by transforming Agrobacterium to carry modified T-DNA sequences that encode desired traits. By infecting plant cells with these modified Agrobacterium strains, the T-DNA is delivered to the target genome and integrated into the plant DNA, leading to the desired genetic modification.<sup>58</sup> AMT-mediated CRISPR-Cas9 editing is a promising method for genetically manipulating plant species to enhance their agronomic traits.<sup>59</sup> This delivery system has been used for gene editing in plants such as Arabidopsis,<sup>60</sup> tobacco,<sup>61</sup> lettuce,<sup>62</sup> and wheat.<sup>63</sup>

Table 1. Summary of CRISPF	R delivery methods in plant genome editing
----------------------------	--

Method	Description	Applications and Advantages	Limitations and Challenges	Ref.
Agrobacterium- Mediated Transformation (AMT)	Agrobacterium tumefaciens delivers CRISPR components through T-DNA transfer, allowing gene editing in various plants.	<ul> <li>- AMT effectively modifies wheat, tomato, tobacco, etc.</li> <li>- CRISPR-Cas9 via AMT enhances grain weight, yields, and provides resistance to powdery mildew.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited cargo capacity.</li> <li>Off-target effects can occur.</li> </ul>	55
Biolistic Transformation	Particles coated with CRISPR components are bombarded into plant cells, introducing DNA without direct cell contact.	<ul> <li>Utilized in maize, wheat, barley, rice, etc.</li> <li>Overcomes limitations of other methods in introducing foreign DNA into plant tissues.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tissue culture is often</li> <li>required for regeneration.</li> <li>Limited efficiency compared</li> <li>to other methods.</li> </ul>	55
Electroporation	Electrical current creates temporary pores in cell membranes, facilitating efficient entry of CRISPR components.	<ul> <li>High efficiency and minimal off-target effects.</li> <li>Used in tobacco and switchgrass for CRISPR-Cas9 delivery.</li> </ul>	- Dependency on electric field application.	55
Expression of Developmental Regulators	WUS and BBM induce new embryogenesis, transforming rejected lines. Ectopic expression of regulators (IPT, STM, BBM, GRF4, GIF1) enhances transformation frequencies.	<ul> <li>Successful transformation of previously rejected lines.</li> <li>Increased genome-edited plant numbers with CRISPR-Cas9 and developmental regulators.</li> </ul>	- Poor performance in DNA delivery and plant regeneration.	55
RNA Viruses and Mobile Guide RNAs	TRV, a positive-strand RNA virus, delivers sgRNAs into Cas9-overexpressing plants through Agrobacterium infiltration. Sonchus yellow net rhabdovirus delivers sgRNA and SpCas9.	<ul> <li>TRV achieves systemic, heritable gene editing.</li> <li>Sonchus yellow net rhabdovirus induces heritable mutations.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low cargo capacity of viruses.</li> <li>TRV can't transmit to progeny.</li> </ul>	55

The AMT was applied to Arabidopsis plants to create stable mutations in the GGAT1 gene using CRISPR/Cas9, resulting in homozygous mutants by the T2 generation. These mutations, which were stable through subsequent generations and exhibited Mendelian segregation, led to specific photorespiration phenotypes and reduced GGAT enzyme activity, demonstrating the effectiveness of AMT in precise genetic modifications.<sup>60</sup> Using AMT in tobacco, the CRISPR/Cas9 system effectively targeted and repaired non-functional GFP genes. This led to restored GFP function, visible as green fluorescence in cell nuclei, demonstrating the system's capability for precise genetic modifications in tobacco via AMT.<sup>61</sup> Using AMT, lettuce cotyledon explants were transformed with constructs targeting the LsNCED4 gene, crucial for seed germination temperature sensitivity. This resulted in kanamycinresistant callus and high-temperature germination in knockout NCED4 lines, serving as a selectable marker for germline editing. Specific mutations were inherited through the germline, indicating that AMT can effectively induce desired genetic changes in lettuce.62 Using AMT, another study introduced CRISPR/Cas9 constructs targeting the TaGW2 gene in wheat. This led to the generation of TaGW2 mutant lines with reduced grain size compared to wild-type wheat plants. These edited lines exhibited stable inheritance of the mutations across generations, demonstrating the efficacy of AMT for precise gene editing in wheat.63 Moreover, in tomatoes, AMT-mediated delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 was used to target the MLO gene, resulting in resistance to powdery mildew.64

The CRISPR-Cas9 editing system has revolutionized plant genetics, and its combination with Agrobacterium-

mediated transformation offers a highly effective method for introducing precise genetic modifications into plant genomes.<sup>65</sup> The ability to customize crop plants to meet specific demands for yield, disease resistance, and environmental stress make this technology highly attractive to plant breeders.<sup>66</sup> Futuristic applications include the development of drought-resistant plants, improving photosynthetic efficiency, and facilitating the growth of crops in harsh environments.<sup>67</sup>

#### **Biolistic Transformation**

One of the critical ways of introducing foreign DNA into a plant's genome is biolistic transformation. Biolistic transformation is a technique for introducing DNA into plant cells or tissues directly through a bombardment of microscopic particles. The particles are coated with the DNA of interest and then accelerated toward the target cells or tissues with a small explosion. Afterward, the DNA can integrate into the plant's genome through processes such as homologous recombination, illegitimate recombination, or through insertion into random sites in the genome. This method has gained popularity due to its ability to introduce foreign DNA into plant tissues without the need for direct contact with the cells, including tissues that are difficult to transfect using traditional techniques such as Agrobacterium-mediated transformation.<sup>68</sup> Biolistic transformation, also known as particle bombardment, can use small gold particles coated with plasmids carrying the CRISPR-Cas9 system to deliver it into the plant cells. This technique has been utilized for gene editing in a variety of plant species, such as maize,<sup>69</sup> wheat,<sup>70</sup> barley,<sup>71</sup> and rice.<sup>72</sup> CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in maize utilizes transformation methods

Ebrahimi and Hashemi



Fig. 6. Exploring the Frontiers of Gene Editing: Diverse CRISPR Reagent Delivery Tools - (1) Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation (AMT): Harnessing Bacterial Mechanisms; (2) Biolistic Transformation: Gene Transfer via Particle Bombardment; (3) Electroporation of RNP (ribonucleoprotein): Direct Entry by Electric Pulses; (4) Expression of Developmental Regulators: Modulating Gene Expression; (5) Tobacco Rattle Virus (TRV) through Agrobacterium Infiltration: Viral Vector Integration.

like Agrobacterium-mediated and biolistic delivery, along with protoplast transformation for precise gene editing. Advancements include improved transformation efficiency and the use of transient assays for vector validation. Multiplex gene editing strategies address the challenges of polyploidization, focusing on gene knockouts and editing multiple gene copies for desired traits. Despite maize having fewer published reports than other crops, significant research and practical applications are emerging in the field.<sup>69</sup> The refined CRISPR/Cas9 protocol for wheat genome editing integrates particle bombardment delivery of IVTs (in vitro transcribed) or RNPs (ribonucleoprotein) with a selection-free tissue culture method and mixed-pool screening. This approach, successful in two wheat varieties, enhances efficiency by allowing transient expression of CRISPR/ Cas9, simplifying plant regeneration, and reducing foreign DNA integration and off-target effects, especially with RNP-mediated editing.70 The optimized synthetic Cas9 gene for barley genome editing, delivered through biolistic transformation, achieved significant mutation rates in targeted genes. This method was used for both simplex and multiplex editing, showing high efficiency in inducing mutations, particularly in the HvCKX1 gene. These mutations were successfully inherited in the T1 generation, highlighting the effectiveness of biolistic transformation in CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing in barley.71 Biolistic transformation was utilized for co-delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein and a selectable marker plasmid in rice, targeting the OsPDS1 gene to induce albino phenotypes as successful edit markers. While all delivery platforms achieved targeted mutations, biolistic methods particularly showed high random DNA insertion rates. Of the transformed events, 24.6% were albino and 75.4% were green, and mutations were confirmed to be inheritable to subsequent generations.72

#### Electroporation

Contrary to the obtained successes, agrobacteriummediated transformation and particle bombardment methods face a series of limitations in terms of efficiency and scalability.73 Moreover, they often result in off-target effects, which can be detrimental to plant growth and development.74 Electroporation emerges as an alternative method, utilizing electrical currents to create temporary pores in cell membranes. Based on this method, DNA, RNA, and proteins have been successfully delivered into plant cells. Recent studies have shown that electroporation can also be used to deliver CRISPR components into plant cells, with high efficiency and minimal off-target effects.75 For example, CRISPR-Cas9 system was delivered via electroporation in tobacco LJ911 to edit the Va gene, resulting in transgene-free homozygous edited plants with increased resistance to Potato virus Y (PVY). Moreover,

electroporation was used to introduce the CRISPR/ Cas9 expression vector into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* for genetic transformation of tobacco. Pathology tests confirmed the immunity of the edited tobacco lines to PVY. The research provided valuable genetic resources for the breeding of PVY-resistant tobacco and highlighted the regulatory framework for gene-edited products in different countries, emphasizing the potential agricultural applications of this technology.<sup>40,76</sup>

#### Expression of developmental regulators

CRISPR/Cas9 technology has significantly revolutionized plant biotechnology by enabling the expression of developmental regulators (DRs) that are crucial in directing plant growth, development, and environmental response. Developmental regulators are genes that determine meristem identity and orchestrate growth patterns and developmental pathways. By employing CRISPR, these regulators can be modified to induce desired plant characteristics. Examples include WUSCHEL (WUS) and LEAFY (LFY), essential for plant regeneration and flower development, respectively. CRISPR modifications in these genes can lead to improved tissue culture techniques and altered flowering patterns, which are vital for crop breeding.<sup>77</sup> Furthermore, the ectopic expression of DRs has led to significant morphological and physiological changes in plants.78 These examples showcase the immense potential of CRISPR in manipulating plant growth and development to meet agricultural needs and adapt to changing environmental conditions. The utilization of developmental regulators as a CRISPR delivery method represents a groundbreaking strategy in plant genome editing. This innovative approach addresses challenges associated with traditional methods by enhancing precision and efficiency. Researchers have achieved transgene-free gene editing by transiently expressing CRISPR reagents through regenerating events without employing selection, demonstrating the versatility of this technique.78 Another effective method involves Agrobacterium-mediated delivery, where Cas9 expression cassettes, sgRNA, and growth regulators expressing cassettes are co-delivered into wild-type plants. This not only simplifies the process but also improves the overall efficacy of genome editing.<sup>79</sup> Furthermore, an improved gene-editing efficiency method includes co-delivering developmental regulators with CRISPR components, showcasing advancements in the field.<sup>80</sup> Additionally, non-GM (non-genetically modified) editing approaches, such as non-transgenic delivery and transient expression of developmental regulators and CRISPR/Cas reagents in plant organs, offer a promising avenue for gene editing in crops. These multifaceted approaches collectively highlight the evolving landscape of CRISPRbased plant genome editing, providing researchers with a diverse toolkit to tailor interventions based on specific plant species and desired outcomes.81 As a successful example of a plant modified using WUS through CRISPR/ Cas9 technology, a study focused on the application of CRISPR/Cas9 genome-editing system in Brassica rapa var. rapa (turnip), emphasizing the role of the BrrWUSa gene in improving plant regeneration. The gene, when activated, notably enhanced transformation frequencies in turnip. Additionally, the research successfully edited the BrrTCP4b gene in turnip, leading to increased leaf trichome numbers. This illustrates the potential of CRISPR/Cas9 in advancing plant biotechnology, particularly in species with low transformation efficiency. The findings highlight the significant impact of developmental regulators on plant regeneration and genome editing.77 Another study demonstrates the efficacy of CRISPR-mediated cytosine base editing in Arabidopsis thaliana. It specifically targets the LFY gene, a key factor in flowering. The CRISPR system induced loss-of-function mutations in LFY, leading to observable mutant phenotypes like altered floral structures. This result highlights CRISPR's potential for precise genetic manipulation in plants, particularly for functional studies and trait development in crop research.82

#### RNA viruses and mobile guide RNAs

One of the most promising gene-editing methods that could be used for high-throughput production is by employing the tobacco rattle virus (TRV) which is a positive-strand RNA virus. This method involves delivering the sgRNAs into the plants in which Cas9 is overexpressed, through Agrobacterium infiltration. Fusion of sgRNAs with RNA mobile elements, (such as the Flowering locus T) leads to achieve systemic gene-editing with heritable mutations. Flowering locus T promotes the mobility of reagents in apical meristems. The TRV vector, carrying the modified sgRNAs, is then used by Agrobacterium to infiltrate plants. This method can generate bi-allelic mutations without the presence of virus transmission to the progeny. For example, TRV has been successfully utilized for gene editing in plants like Nicotiana benthamiana and Arabidopsis. It effectively delivered sgRNAs into plants overexpressing Cas9, resulting in targeted mutagenesis at specific genomic locations. Moreover, Sonchus yellow net rhabdovirus, a negative-strand DNA virus, was engineered to contain both sgRNA and SpCas9 sequences and could be delivered to wild plants through Agrobacterium infiltration. Heritable mutations successfully resulted from this method.<sup>83</sup> Unfortunately, the negative effects of using DNA or positive-strand RNA viruses are their low cargo capacity, which prevents the complete CRISPR-Cas9 expression cassettes from being delivered to the plants. However, this approach allows for efficient genome editing across different plant species, showcasing virus as a versatile tool in plant biotechnology and gene function studies.84

#### Plant-based CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing CRISPR/Cas9 applications in beneficial crops

The advancements in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing have revolutionized agriculture, particularly in enhancing crops like rice and soybean. This cutting-edge technology enables the simultaneous editing of multiple targets, creating a diverse cell population with various gene modifications. The precision and efficiency of CRISPR/ Cas9 have opened new possibilities for crop improvement, allowing scientists to tailor plants for desired traits such as increased yield, resistance to pests, and improved nutritional content. As researchers delve deeper into the potential applications of this technology, the future holds promise for addressing global challenges in food security and sustainable agriculture through the development of genetically optimized crops.<sup>40</sup> For example, in apple (Malus domestica), genes DIPM-1, DIPM-2, DIPM-4 were targeted through PEG-mediated protoplast transfection to confer resistance to fire blight disease, while the PDS gene was edited using Agrobacterium-mediated leaf discs transformation to induce albino phenotypes. In banana (Musa spp.), the TFL1 gene was edited for early flowering, and MaGA200x2 for albino phenotypes and semidwarfing size, both through Agrobacterium-mediated transformations. Soybean (Glycine max) saw the editing of GmFT2a via PEG-mediated protoplast transfection for controlling flowering time. Cacao (*Theobroma cacao*) used TcNPR3 edited through Agrobacterium-mediated transient leaf transformation for resistance against Phytophthora tropicalis. Rice (Oryza sativa) utilized OsSWEET13 edited via CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein delivery for bacterial blight resistance. Citrus species like Carrizo Citrange and Grapefruit (Citrus×paradisi) used PDS and CSLOB1 genes, respectively, for inducing albino phenotypes and canker disease resistance through Agrobacterium-mediated transformations. Wheat (Triticum aestivum) targeted TaGW2 through biolistic particle delivery to increase grain size and weight. Sweet Orange (Citrus sinensis) edited DMR6 for Huanglongbing resistance, and Maize (Zea mays) targeted ZmIPK to study inositol phosphate metabolism, both through particle bombardment. Kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa) and Barley (Hordeum vulgare) used PDS and HvPM19 genes for albino phenotype and drought tolerance enhancement, respectively. Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) targeted APETALA3 (AP3) for flowering control. Potato (Solanum tuberosum) and Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) used StALS and multiple genes like BoPDS, CENH3, DcMYB113like, and CiPDS for traits such as herbicide resistance, haploid line induction, anthocyanin biosynthesis, and albino phenotypes through various transformation methods. These examples demonstrate the wide-ranging potential of CRISPR in enhancing desired traits across different plant species (Table 2).

 Table 2. Diverse applications of CRISPR-based genome editing in plant species

Plant species (Scientific name)	Gene of interest	Transformation method	Aim (target trait)	Ref.
Apple (Malus domestica)	DIPM-1, DIPM-2, DIPM-4	PEG-mediated protoplast transfection	Fire blight disease resistance	85
	PDS	Agrobacterium-mediated leaf discs transformation	Albino phenotypes	86
Banana ( <i>Musa</i> spp.)	TFL1	Agrobacterium-mediated embryogenic cell suspension	Early flowering	87
	MaGA200x2	Agrobacterium-mediated suspension cells transformation	Albino phenotypes, semi-dwarfing size	88
Soybean (Glycine max)	GmFT2a	PEG-mediated protoplast transfection	Flowering time control	89
Cacao (Theobroma cacao)	TcNPR3	Agrobacterium-mediated transient leaf transformation	Phytophthora tropicalis resistance	55
Rice (Oryza sativa)	OsSWEET13	CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex delivery	Bacterial blight resistance	90
Citrus (Carrizo Citrange)	PDS	Agrobacterium-mediated epicotyl transformation	Albino phenotypes	91
Grapefruit (Citrus × paradisi)	CSLOB1	Agrobacterium-mediated epicotyl transformation	Canker disease resistance	92
Wheat (Triticum aestivum)	TaGW2	Biolistic particle delivery	Increasing grain size and weight	93
Sweet Orange (Citrus sinensis)	DMR6	Agrobacterium-mediated epicotyl transformation	Huanglongbing resistance	94
Maize (Zea mays) ZmIPK		Particle bombardment	To study inositol phosphate metabolism	95
Kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa) PDS		Agrobacterium-mediated transformation	Albino phenotype	96
Barley (Hordeum vulgare)	HvPM19	Biolistic transformation	drought tolerance enhancement	97
Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa)	APETALA3 (AP3)	Agrobacterium-mediated leaf disk	Flowering control	98
Potato (Solanum tuberosum)	StALS	PEG-mediated protoplast transfection	Herbicide resistance	99
Tomato ( <i>Solanum</i> lycopersicum)	BoPDS	Agrobacterium-mediated hypocotyl transformation	Albino phenotypes	100
	CENH3	Protoplast transformation and Agro infiltration	Haploid lines induction	101
	DcMYB113-like	Agrobacterium-mediated transformation	Anthocyanin biosynthesis	102
	CiPDS	Agrobacterium-mediated leaf sections and protoplast transfection	Albino phenotype	103

#### Challenges facing CRISPR/Cas9 delivery in plants

In the realm of plant genetics, CRISPR/Cas9 technology has introduced groundbreaking possibilities, yet it faces significant challenges, particularly in the context of various delivery methods. Agrobacteriummediated transformation utilizes the natural ability of Agrobacterium to transfer DNA into plant cells. However, its efficiency can be limited by the species and tissue type of the plant, and there's a risk of random DNA integration, which might disrupt essential genes or regulatory regions in the plant genome.<sup>104</sup> Biolistic transformation, known for its physical gene insertion, uses high-velocity microprojectiles to deliver DNA into cells. While versatile across various species, challenges include potential damage to the target cells and the random integration of the transgene, leading to variable expression levels.<sup>68</sup> Expression of developmental regulators requires precise control over gene expression timing and level. The challenge is achieving this control without disrupting normal plant development and ensuring stable gene expression.<sup>105</sup> Overcoming these challenges is crucial for the optimal utilization of CRISPR/Cas9 in plant genetics, ensuring the integrity of targeted plants and enhancing gene delivery methods' efficiency and precision.<sup>106</sup>

In overcoming these challenges, innovative delivery

methods like electroporation have been explored, showing promise in enhancing efficiency. Moreover, by utilizing this method, researchers aim to achieve greater precision in gene delivery and minimize off-target effects.<sup>107</sup> Additionally, the integration of viral vectors and guide RNAs presents a versatile strategy, contributing to the scalability and rapid production of genetically modified plants, thus paving the way for transformative breakthroughs in agricultural biotechnology.<sup>108</sup> These cutting-edge approaches capitalize on advancements in genetic engineering, offering a more precise and streamlined means of introducing genetic materials.

#### Future prospects and limitations

CRISPR/Cas9 technology has significantly advanced plant genome editing, offering new possibilities for crop improvement. However, it faces challenges such as plant regeneration inefficiencies and resistance to genetic transformation processes, which hinder its full integration into agriculture.<sup>109,110</sup> To address these issues, researchers are exploring developmental regulators, especially growthregulation factors. Merging these insights with CRISPR-Cas9's precision, they aim to boost plant regeneration efficiency. This interdisciplinary approach could greatly increase genome-edited plants, expanding CRISPR/ Cas9's use in agriculture. Integrating developmental regulators with CRISPR/Cas9 is not only a solution to current challenges but also marks a shift towards a deeper understanding of plant biology. Continuous research on plant genomes and refining genome-editing techniques will likely transform agriculture, positioning CRISPR/Cas9 as a key innovator. This effort foresees a future where genetically modified crops are crucial for global food security and sustainability.<sup>110</sup>

#### Conclusion

CRISPR-Cas9 technology has significantly transformed plant genetics by providing a precise and efficient way to modify genes. This method is favored over traditional techniques due to its accuracy, ease of use, and versatility, enabling advancements in crop yield, disease resistance, and stress tolerance. However, challenges exist, including difficulties in delivering CRISPR-Cas9 reagents to plant cells and the risk of off-target effects, which can result in unintended genetic modifications. Future developments in CRISPR-Cas9 for plant genetics should concentrate on improving reagent delivery and overcoming plant regeneration and genetic transformation obstacles. By integrating developmental regulators, CRISPR-Cas9 can have broader applications in agriculture, potentially revolutionizing crop development and aiding in global food security and sustainable agriculture.

In summary, CRISPR-Cas9 is a pivotal innovation in plant genetics. Addressing its limitations and leveraging its capabilities will likely lead to significant contributions in agriculture and plant biotechnology, enhancing global food security and sustainability.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

#### **Authors' Contribution**

Conceptualization: Vida Ebrahimi and Atieh Hashemi Formal analysis: Vida Ebrahimi and Atieh Hashemi Investigation: Vida Ebrahimi and Atieh Hashemi Methodology: Vida Ebrahimi and Atieh Hashemi Project administration: Atieh Hashemi Supervision: Atieh Hashemi Validation: Vida Ebrahimi and Atieh Hashemi Visualization: Vida Ebrahimi and Atieh Hashemi Writing-original draft: Vida Ebrahimi Writing-review & editing: Atieh Hashemi

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

#### Data Availability Statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### **Ethical Statement**

There is no involvement of human or animal in this study.

#### Research Highlights

#### What is the current knowledge?

- Meganucleases, TAL effectors, and CRISPR nuclease revolutionize plant genome editing, offering precise methods for genetic modification.
- Comprehensive search in PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar to gather information on CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing in precise gene modification, particularly in plants.
- Extensively studied for accurate gene modification in various organisms, with a focus on plants.
- CRISPR-Cas9 enables precise gene manipulation with promising applications in agriculture and biotechnology.

#### What is new here?

- Emphasis on CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing's momentum and applications across diverse scientific disciplines.
- In-depth exploration of various reagent delivery tools for CRISPR-mediated gene editing in plants.
- Recognition of the importance of efficient gene editing reagent delivery and the impact of rapid CRISPR nuclease evolution.

Potential for transformative advancements in plant genetics and related fields due to accurate genome modification.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences in Tehran, Iran [grant number: IR.SBMU.PHARMACY. REC.1402.212].

#### References

- Puchta H. Applying CRISPR/Cas for genome engineering in plants: the best is yet to come. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2017; 36: 1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.11.011.
- Kumlehn J, Pietralla J, Hensel G, Pacher M, Puchta H. The CRISPR/Cas revolution continues: from efficient gene editing for crop breeding to plant synthetic biology. *J Integr Plant Biol* 2018; 60: 1127-53. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12734.
- Zhu H, Li C, Gao C. Applications of CRISPR-Cas in agriculture and plant biotechnology. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2020; 21: 661-77. doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-00288-9.
- Gao H, Smith J, Yang M, Jones S, Djukanovic V, Nicholson MG, et al. Heritable targeted mutagenesis in maize using a designed endonuclease. *Plant J* 2010; 61: 176-87. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04041.x.
- Osakabe K, Osakabe Y, Toki S. Site-directed mutagenesis in *Arabidopsis* using custom-designed zinc finger nucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010; 107: 12034-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000234107.
- Stupar RM. Into the wild: the soybean genome meets its undomesticated relative. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010; 107: 21947-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1016809108.
- Cermak T, Doyle EL, Christian M, Wang L, Zhang Y, Schmidt C, et al. Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39: e82. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr218.
- Li T, Liu B, Spalding MH, Weeks DP, Yang B. High-efficiency TALEN-based gene editing produces disease-resistant rice. *Nat Biotechnol* 2012; 30: 390-2. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2199.
- 9. Hashemi A. CRISPR-Cas system as a genome engineering platform: applications in biomedicine and biotechnology. *Curr Gene Ther* **2018**; 18: 115-24. doi: 10.2174/1566523218666180221 110627.
- 10. Mascarenhas S, Dharmapalan B, Murthy MS. Nobel Prizes 2020.

Science Reporter; 2020.

- 11. Ebrahimi V, Hashemi A. The fabulous impact of CRISPR method in sickle cell disease treatment. *Trends Pept Protein Sci* **2021**; 6: 1-8. doi: 10.22037/tpps.v6i.34202.
- Koonin EV, Makarova KS, Zhang F. Diversity, classification and evolution of CRISPR-Cas systems. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2017; 37: 67-78. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2017.05.008.
- Shmakov S, Smargon A, Scott D, Cox D, Pyzocha N, Yan W, et al. Diversity and evolution of class 2 CRISPR-Cas systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2017; 15: 169-82. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.184.
- Burstein D, Harrington LB, Strutt SC, Probst AJ, Anantharaman K, Thomas BC, et al. New CRISPR-Cas systems from uncultivated microbes. *Nature* 2017; 542: 237-41. doi: 10.1038/nature21059.
- Hashemi A. CRISPR-Cas9/CRISPRi tools for cell factory construction in *E. coli. World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2020; 36: 96. doi: 10.1007/s11274-020-02872-9.
- Voytas DF, Gao C. Precision genome engineering and agriculture: opportunities and regulatory challenges. *PLoS Biol* 2014; 12: e1001877. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001877.
- 17. Adli M. The CRISPR tool kit for genome editing and beyond. *Nat Commun* **2018**; 9: 1911. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04252-2.
- Li JF, Norville JE, Aach J, McCormack M, Zhang D, Bush J, et al. Multiplex and homologous recombination-mediated genome editing in *Arabidopsis* and *Nicotiana benthamiana* using guide RNA and Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013; 31: 688-91. doi: 10.1038/ nbt.2654.
- Shan Q, Wang Y, Li J, Zhang Y, Chen K, Liang Z, et al. Targeted genome modification of crop plants using a CRISPR-Cas system. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013; 31: 686-8. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2650.
- Zhang Y, Pribil M, Palmgren M, Gao C. A CRISPR way for accelerating improvement of food crops. *Nat Food* 2020; 1: 200-5. doi: 10.1038/s43016-020-0051-8.
- Gerashchenkov GA, Rozhnova NA, Kuluev BR, Kiryanova OY, Gumerova GR, Knyazev AV, et al. Design of guide RNA for CRISPR/Cas plant genome editing. *Mol Biol* 2020; 54: 24-42. doi: 10.1134/S0026893320010069.
- Morse NJ, Wagner JM, Reed KB, Gopal MR, Lauffer LH, Alper HS. T7 polymerase expression of guide RNAs in vivo allows exportable CRISPR-Cas9 editing in multiple yeast hosts. ACS Synth Biol 2018; 7: 1075-84. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.7b00461.
- Gao C. Genome engineering for crop improvement and future agriculture. *Cell* 2021; 184: 1621-35. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.005.
- Hassan MM, Zhang Y, Yuan G, De K, Chen JG, Muchero W, et al. Construct design for CRISPR/Cas-based genome editing in plants. *Trends Plant Sci* 2021; 26: 1133-52. doi: 10.1016/j. tplants.2021.06.015.
- Pacher M, Puchta H. From classical mutagenesis to nuclease-based breeding - directing natural DNA repair for a natural end-product. *Plant J* 2017; 90: 819-33. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13469.
- Scheben A, Wolter F, Batley J, Puchta H, Edwards D. Towards CRISPR/Cas crops - bringing together genomics and genome editing. *New Phytol* 2017; 216: 682-98. doi: 10.1111/nph.14702.
- Gilbert LA, Larson MH, Morsut L, Liu Z, Brar GA, Torres SE, et al. CRISPR-mediated modular RNA-guided regulation of transcription in eukaryotes. *Cell* 2013; 154: 442-51. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2013.06.044.
- Konermann S, Brigham MD, Trevino AE, Joung J, Abudayyeh OO, Barcena C, et al. Genome-scale transcriptional activation by an engineered CRISPR-Cas9 complex. *Nature* 2015; 517: 583-8. doi: 10.1038/nature14136.
- Piatek A, Ali Z, Baazim H, Li L, Abulfaraj A, Al-Shareef S, et al. RNA-guided transcriptional regulation in planta via synthetic dCas9-based transcription factors. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2015; 13: 578-89. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12284.
- Tang X, Lowder LG, Zhang T, Malzahn AA, Zheng X, Voytas DF, et al. A CRISPR-Cpf1 system for efficient genome editing and transcriptional repression in plants. *Nat Plants* 2017; 3: 17018. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.18.
- 31. Dreissig S, Schiml S, Schindele P, Weiss O, Rutten T, Schubert V,

et al. Live-cell CRISPR imaging in plants reveals dynamic telomere movements. *Plant J* **2017**; 91: 565-73. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13601.

- Komor AC, Kim YB, Packer MS, Zuris JA, Liu DR. Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. *Nature* 2016; 533: 420-4. doi: 10.1038/nature17946.
- 33. Zong Y, Wang Y, Li C, Zhang R, Chen K, Ran Y, et al. Precise base editing in rice, wheat and maize with a Cas9-cytidine deaminase fusion. *Nat Biotechnol* 2017; 35: 438-40. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3811.
- Shmakov S, Abudayyeh OO, Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Gootenberg JS, Semenova E, et al. Discovery and functional characterization of diverse class 2 CRISPR-Cas systems. *Mol Cell* 2015; 60: 385-97. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.10.008.
- Schunder E, Rydzewski K, Grunow R, Heuner K. First indication for a functional CRISPR/Cas system in *Francisella tularensis*. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2013; 303: 51-60. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2012.11.004.
- Abudayyeh OO, Gootenberg JS, Konermann S, Joung J, Slaymaker IM, Cox DB, et al. C2c2 is a single-component programmable RNA-guided RNA-targeting CRISPR effector. *Science* 2016; 353: aaf5573. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf5573.
- Abudayyeh OO, Gootenberg JS, Essletzbichler P, Han S, Joung J, Belanto JJ, et al. RNA targeting with CRISPR-Cas13. *Nature* 2017; 550: 280-4. doi: 10.1038/nature24049.
- Cox DBT, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, Franklin B, Kellner MJ, Joung J, et al. RNA editing with CRISPR-Cas13. *Science* 2017; 358: 1019-27. doi: 10.1126/science.aaq0180.
- Wolter F, Puchta H. The CRISPR/Cas revolution reaches the RNA world: Cas13, a new Swiss Army knife for plant biologists. *Plant J* 2018; 94: 767-75. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13899.
- Gan WC, Ling APK. CRISPR/Cas9 in plant biotechnology: applications and challenges. *BioTechnologia (Pozn)* 2022; 103: 81-93. doi: 10.5114/bta.2022.113919.
- Ishizu T, Higo S, Masumura Y, Kohama Y, Shiba M, Higo T, et al. Targeted genome replacement via homology-directed repair in non-dividing cardiomyocytes. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 9363. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-017-09716-x.
- 42. Veillet F, Perrot L, Chauvin L, Kermarrec MP, Guyon-Debast A, Chauvin JE, et al. Transgene-free genome editing in tomato and potato plants using *Agrobacterium*-mediated delivery of a CRISPR/Cas9 cytidine base editor. *Int J Mol Sci* **2019**; 20: 402. doi: 10.3390/ijms20020402.
- Porto EM, Komor AC, Slaymaker IM, Yeo GW. Base editing: advances and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2020; 19: 839-59. doi: 10.1038/s41573-020-0084-6.
- 44. Li X, Wang Y, Liu Y, Yang B, Wang X, Wei J, et al. Base editing with a Cpf1-cytidine deaminase fusion. *Nat Biotechnol* **2018**; 36: 324-7. doi: 10.1038/nbt.4102.
- 45. Nishida K, Arazoe T, Yachie N, Banno S, Kakimoto M, Tabata M, et al. Targeted nucleotide editing using hybrid prokaryotic and vertebrate adaptive immune systems. *Science* 2016; 353. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf8729.
- Bharat SS, Li S, Li J, Yan L, Xia L. Base editing in plants: current status and challenges. *Crop J* 2020; 8: 384-95. doi: 10.1016/j. cj.2019.10.002.
- Marzec M, Brąszewska-Zalewska A, Hensel G. Prime editing: a new way for genome editing. *Trends Cell Biol* 2020; 30: 257-9. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2020.01.004.
- Anzalone AV, Randolph PB, Davis JR, Sousa AA, Koblan LW, Levy JM, et al. Search-and-replace genome editing without doublestrand breaks or donor DNA. *Nature* 2019; 576: 149-57. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1711-4.
- Johnson RA, Gurevich V, Filler S, Samach A, Levy AA. Comparative assessments of CRISPR-Cas nucleases' cleavage efficiency in planta. *Plant Mol Biol* 2015; 87: 143-56. doi: 10.1007/ s11103-014-0266-x.
- Long L, Guo DD, Gao W, Yang WW, Hou LP, Ma XN, et al. Optimization of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in cotton by improved sgRNA expression. *Plant Methods* 2018; 14: 85. doi: 10.1186/s13007-018-0353-0.
- 51. Mikami M, Toki S, Endo M. Parameters affecting frequency of

CRISPR/Cas9 mediated targeted mutagenesis in rice. *Plant Cell Rep* **2015**; 34: 1807-15. doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1826-5.

- Mikami M, Toki S, Endo M. Comparison of CRISPR/Cas9 expression constructs for efficient targeted mutagenesis in rice. *Plant Mol Biol* 2015; 88: 561-72. doi: 10.1007/s11103-015-0342-x.
- Ng H, Dean N. Dramatic improvement of CRISPR/Cas9 editing in *Candida albicans* by increased single guide RNA expression. *mSphere* 2017; 2: e00385-16. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00385-16.
- 54. Yamamoto A, Ishida T, Yoshimura M, Kimura Y, Sawa S. Developing heritable mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using a modified CRISPR/Cas9 toolkit comprising PAM-altered Cas9 variants and gRNAs. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2019; 60: 2255-62. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcz118.
- Fister AS, Landherr L, Maximova SN, Guiltinan MJ. Transient expression of CRISPR/Cas9 machinery targeting TcNPR3 enhances defense response in *Theobroma cacao. Front Plant Sci* 2018; 9: 268. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00268.
- Escobar MA, Dandekar AM. Agrobacterium tumefaciens as an agent of disease. Trends Plant Sci 2003; 8: 380-6. doi: 10.1016/ s1360-1385(03)00162-6.
- Danilo B, Perrot L, Mara K, Botton E, Nogué F, Mazier M. Efficient and transgene-free gene targeting using *Agrobacterium*-mediated delivery of the CRISPR/Cas9 system in tomato. *Plant Cell Rep* 2019; 38: 459-62. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02373-6.
- Agrawal S, Rami E. A review: *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transformation to increase plant productivity. *J Phytopharmacol* 2022; 11: 111-7. doi: 10.31254/phyto.2022.11211.
- Rao MJ, Wang L. CRISPR/Cas9 technology for improving agronomic traits and future prospective in agriculture. *Planta* 2021; 254: 68. doi: 10.1007/s00425-021-03716-y.
- Liang Y, Zeng X, Peng X, Hou X. Arabidopsis glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase 1 (Ler) mutants generated by CRISPR/Cas9 and their characteristics. Transgenic Res 2018; 27: 61-74. doi: 10.1007/ s11248-017-0052-z.
- Jiang W, Zhou H, Bi H, Fromm M, Yang B, Weeks DP. Demonstration of CRISPR/Cas9/sgRNA-mediated targeted gene modification in *Arabidopsis*, tobacco, sorghum and rice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; 41: e188. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt780.
- 62. Bertier LD, Ron M, Huo H, Bradford KJ, Britt AB, Michelmore RW. High-resolution analysis of the efficiency, heritability, and editing outcomes of CRISPR/Cas9-induced modifications of NCED4 in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). *G3 (Bethesda)* 2018; 8: 1513-21. doi: 10.1534/g3.117.300396.
- Zhang S, Zhang R, Song G, Gao J, Li W, Han X, et al. Targeted mutagenesis using the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated CRISPR-Cas9 system in common wheat. *BMC Plant Biol* 2018; 18: 302. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1496-x.
- Arazoe T. CRISPR-based pathogenic fungal genome editing for control of infection and disease. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 2021; 179: 161-96. doi: 10.1016/bs.pmbts.2020.12.016.
- Yin K, Gao C, Qiu JL. Progress and prospects in plant genome editing. Nat Plants 2017; 3: 17107. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.107.
- 66. Ahmar S, Gill RA, Jung KH, Faheem A, Qasim MU, Mubeen M, et al. Conventional and molecular techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding in crop plants: recent advances and future outlook. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; 21: 2590. doi: 10.3390/ijms21072590.
- Munaweera TIK, Jayawardana NU, Rajaratnam R, Dissanayake N. Modern plant biotechnology as a strategy in addressing climate change and attaining food security. *Agric Food Secur* 2022; 11: 26. doi: 10.1186/s40066-022-00369-2.
- Miller K, Eggenberger AL, Lee K, Liu F, Kang M, Drent M, et al. An improved biolistic delivery and analysis method for evaluation of DNA and CRISPR-Cas delivery efficacy in plant tissue. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 7695. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86549-9.
- Agarwal A, Yadava P, Kumar K, Singh I, Kaul T, Pattanayak A, et al. Insights into maize genome editing via CRISPR/Cas9. *Physiol Mol Biol Plants* 2018; 24: 175-83. doi: 10.1007/s12298-017-0502-3.
- 70. Liang Z, Chen K, Zhang Y, Liu J, Yin K, Qiu JL, et al. Genome editing of bread wheat using biolistic delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 in

vitro transcripts or ribonucleoproteins. *Nat Protoc* **2018**; 13: 413-30. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2017.145.

- Gasparis S, Kała M, Przyborowski M, Łyżnik LA, Orczyk W, Nadolska-Orczyk A. A simple and efficient CRISPR/Cas9 platform for induction of single and multiple, heritable mutations in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant Methods* 2018; 14: 111. doi: 10.1186/ s13007-018-0382-8.
- Banakar R, Eggenberger AL, Lee K, Wright DA, Murugan K, Zarecor S, et al. High-frequency random DNA insertions upon codelivery of CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein and selectable marker plasmid in rice. *Sci Rep* 2019; 9: 19902. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55681-y.
- Ozyigit, II, Yucebilgili Kurtoglu K. Particle bombardment technology and its applications in plants. *Mol Biol Rep* 2020; 47: 9831-47. doi: 10.1007/s11033-020-06001-5.
- Mohammed S, Samad AA, Rahmat Z. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of rice: constraints and possible solutions. *Rice Sci* 2019; 26: 133-46. doi: 10.1016/j.rsci.2019.04.001.
- Morshedi Rad D, Alsadat Rad M, Razavi Bazaz S, Kashaninejad N, Jin D, Ebrahimi Warkiani M. A comprehensive review on intracellular delivery. *Adv Mater* 2021; 33: e2005363. doi: 10.1002/ adma.202005363.
- Ruyi R, Qiang Z, Futai N, Qiu J, Xiuqing W, Jicheng W. Breeding for PVY resistance in tobacco LJ911 using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. *Crop Breed Appl Biotechnol* 2021; 21: e31682116. doi: 10.1590/1984-70332021v21n1a6.
- 77. Liu Y, Zhang L, Li C, Yang Y, Duan Y, Yang Y, et al. Establishment of Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation and application of CRISPR/Cas9 genome-editing system to Brassica rapa var. rapa. Plant Methods 2022; 18: 98. doi: 10.1186/s13007-022-00931-w.
- Laforest LC, Nadakuduti SS. Advances in delivery mechanisms of CRISPR gene-editing reagents in plants. *Front Genome Ed* 2022; 4: 830178. doi: 10.3389/fgeed.2022.830178.
- Nadakuduti SS, Enciso-Rodríguez F. Advances in genome editing with CRISPR systems and transformation technologies for plant DNA manipulation. *Front Plant Sci* 2020; 11: 637159. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2020.637159.
- Prestwich BD, Cardi T, Bakhsh A, Nicolia A, Bhati KK. Novel delivery methods for CRISPR-based plant genome editing. In: Ricroch A, D Eriksson, D Miladinović, J Sweet, K Van Laere, E Woźniak-Gientka, eds. A Roadmap for Plant Genome Editing. Cham: Springer; 2024. p. 41-67. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-46150-7\_3.
- Gong Z, Cheng M, Botella JR. Non-GM genome editing approaches in crops. *Front Genome Ed* 2021; 3: 817279. doi: 10.3389/fgeed.2021.817279.
- 82. Choi M, Yun JY, Kim JH, Kim JS, Kim ST. The efficacy of CRISPRmediated cytosine base editing with the RPS5a promoter in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Sci Rep* **2021**; 11: 8087. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-87669-y.
- Ellison EE, Nagalakshmi U, Gamo ME, Huang PJ, Dinesh-Kumar S, Voytas DF. Multiplexed heritable gene editing using RNA viruses and mobile single guide RNAs. *Nat Plants* 2020; 6: 620-4. doi: 10.1038/s41477-020-0670-y.
- Ali Z, Eid A, Ali S, Mahfouz MM. Pea early-browning virusmediated genome editing via the CRISPR/Cas9 system in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and *Arabidopsis*. *Virus Res* 2018; 244: 333-7. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2017.10.009.
- Malnoy M, Viola R, Jung MH, Koo OJ, Kim S, Kim JS, et al. DNA-free genetically edited grapevine and apple protoplast using CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Front Plant Sci* 2016; 7: 1904. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01904.
- Nishitani C, Hirai N, Komori S, Wada M, Okada K, Osakabe K, et al. Efficient genome editing in apple using a CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6: 31481. doi: 10.1038/srep31481.
- Naim F, Dugdale B, Kleidon J, Brinin A, Shand K, Waterhouse P, et al. Gene editing the phytoene desaturase alleles of *Cavendish* banana using CRISPR/Cas9. Transgenic Res 2018; 27: 451-60. doi:

10.1007/s11248-018-0083-0.

- Shao X, Wu S, Dou T, Zhu H, Hu C, Huo H, et al. Using CRISPR/ Cas9 genome editing system to create MaGA200x2 gene-modified semi-dwarf banana. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2020; 18: 17-9. doi: 10.1111/ pbi.13216.
- Subburaj S, Zanatta CB, Nunn JAL, Hoepers AM, Nodari RO, Agapito-Tenfen SZ. A DNA-free editing platform for genetic screens in soybean via CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein delivery. *Front Plant Sci* 2022; 13: 939997. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.939997.
- Oliva R, Ji C, Atienza-Grande G, Huguet-Tapia JC, Perez-Quintero A, Li T, et al. Broad-spectrum resistance to bacterial blight in rice using genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol* **2019**; 37: 1344-50. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0267-z.
- Zhang F, LeBlanc C, Irish VF, Jacob Y. Rapid and efficient CRISPR/ Cas9 gene editing in *Citrus* using the YAO promoter. *Plant Cell Rep* 2017; 36: 1883-7. doi: 10.1007/s00299-017-2202-4.
- 92. Jia H, Zhang Y, Orbović V, Xu J, White FF, Jones JB, et al. Genome editing of the disease susceptibility gene CsLOB1 in citrus confers resistance to citrus canker. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2017; 15: 817-23. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12677.
- Borisjuk N, Kishchenko O, Eliby S, Schramm C, Anderson P, Jatayev S, et al. Genetic modification for wheat improvement: from transgenesis to genome editing. *Biomed Res Int* 2019; 2019: 6216304. doi: 10.1155/2019/6216304.
- 94. Zhang S, Shi Q, Duan Y, Hall D, Gupta G, Stover E. Regulation of citrus DMR6 via RNA interference and CRISPR/Cas9mediated gene editing to improve Huanglongbing tolerance. In: International Congress of Plant Pathology (ICPP) 2018: Plant Health in a Global Economy. APSNET; 2018.
- Shi J, Wang H, Wu Y, Hazebroek J, Meeley RB, Ertl DS. The maize low-phytic acid mutant lpa2 is caused by mutation in an inositol phosphate kinase gene. *Plant Physiol* 2003; 131: 507-15. doi: 10.1104/pp.014258.
- Wang X, Tu M, Wang D, Liu J, Li Y, Li Z, et al. CRISPR/Cas9mediated efficient targeted mutagenesis in grape in the first generation. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2018; 16: 844-55. doi: 10.1111/ pbi.12832.
- Karunarathne S, Walker E, Sharma D, Li C, Han Y. Genetic resources and precise gene editing for targeted improvement of barley abiotic stress tolerance. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 2023; 24: 1069-92. doi: 10.1631/jzus.B2200552.
- Martín-Pizarro C, Triviño JC, Posé D. Functional analysis of the TM6 MADS-box gene in the octoploid strawberry by CRISPR/ Cas9-directed mutagenesis. J Exp Bot 2019; 70: 885-95. doi:

10.1093/jxb/ery400.

- Nahirñak V, Almasia NI, González MN, Massa GA, Décima Oneto CA, Feingold SE, et al. State of the art of genetic engineering in potato: from the first report to its future potential. *Front Plant Sci* 2021; 12: 768233. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.768233.
- 100. Li R, Li R, Li X, Fu D, Zhu B, Tian H, et al. Multiplexed CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated metabolic engineering of γ-aminobutyric acid levels in Solanum lycopersicum. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2018; 16: 415-27. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12781.
- Martinelli F, Uratsu SL, Reagan RL, Chen Y, Tricoli D, Fiehn O, et al. Gene regulation in parthenocarpic tomato fruit. *J Exp Bot* 2009; 60: 3873-90. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp227.
- 102. Klap C, Yeshayahou E, Bolger AM, Arazi T, Gupta SK, Shabtai S, et al. Tomato facultative parthenocarpy results from SIAGAMOUS-LIKE 6 loss of function. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2017; 15: 634-47. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12662.
- 103. Moneruzzaman KM, Hossain AB, Sani W, Saifuddin M, Alenazi M. Effect of harvesting and storage conditions on the post-harvest quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) cv. Roma VF. Aust J Crop Sci 2009; 3(2): 113-21.
- 104. Mangena P, Mokwala PW, Nikolova RV. Challenges of in vitro and in vivo Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation in soybean. In: Kasai M, ed. Soybean-The Basis of Yield, Biomass and Productivity. IntechOpen; 2017. p. 75-94. doi: 10.5772/66708.
- 105. Biłas R, Szafran K, Hnatuszko-Konka K, Kononowicz AK. Cisregulatory elements used to control gene expression in plants. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **2016**; 127: 269-87. doi: 10.1007/ s11240-016-1057-7.
- 106. Ali Z, Abul-Faraj A, Piatek M, Mahfouz MM. Activity and specificity of TRV-mediated gene editing in plants. *Plant Signal Behav* 2015; 10: e1044191. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2015.1044191.
- 107. Wada N, Ueta R, Osakabe Y, Osakabe K. Precision genome editing in plants: state-of-the-art in CRISPR/Cas9-based genome engineering. *BMC Plant Biol* **2020**; 20: 234. doi: 10.1186/s12870-020-02385-5.
- Liu Q, Yang F, Zhang J, Liu H, Rahman S, Islam S, et al. Application of CRISPR/Cas9 in crop quality improvement. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021; 22. doi: 10.3390/ijms22084206.
- 109. Son S, Park SR. Challenges facing CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing in plants. Front Plant Sci 2022; 13: 902413. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2022.902413.
- 110. Li T, Yang Y, Qi H, Cui W, Zhang L, Fu X, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 therapeutics: progress and prospects. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2023; 8: 36. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01309-7.