CrossMark
click for updates

Publish Free Access

Intraocular drug delivery systems for Diabetic retinopathy: Current and future prospective

Sayed Latif Taheri[ID], **Safoora Poorirani**[ID], **Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi**[*][ID]

Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Isfahan Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

In pharmaceutical research and development, novel drug delivery systems represent a significant advancement aimed at enhancing the efficacy of therapeutic agents through innovative delivery mechanisms. The primary objective of these systems is to transport therapeutic compounds to specific target sites, such as tumors and afflicted tissues, with the dual purpose of mitigating side effects and toxicity associated with the drugs while concurrently augmenting therapeutic effectiveness. Numerous innovative drug delivery strategies have been scrutinized for their applicability in the context of targeted ocular drug delivery. Diverse novel carriers, including but not limited to implants, hydrogels, metal nanoparticles, Nano-liposomes, micelles, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN), emulsions, and biodegradable nanoparticles, have been harnessed to facilitate the controlled release of pharmaceutical agents to the retina and vitreous. These carriers offer distinct advantages, such as enhanced intraocular drug delivery, precise control over drug release kinetics, heightened stability, and superior entrapment efficiency. This comprehensive review seeks to elucidate the current strides made in the realm of carriers and their contemporary applications in treating diabetic retinopathy (DR). Furthermore, it underscores these carriers' pivotal role in achieving efficacious intraocular drug delivery. Additionally, this article explores the various administration routes, potential future advancements, and the multifaceted challenges confronting the domain of novel carriers in treating DR. In conclusion, novel formulations are introduced to surmount the challenges associated with intraocular drug delivery.

Introduction

Novel drug delivery systems represent a contemporary scientific frontier that has catalyzed advancements across various scientific domains, particularly in pharmaceuticals. These systems have effectively surmounted challenges associated with drug delivery, sparking considerable interest among pharmaceutical researchers seeking innovative technologies for precision drug targeting.[1-3] The fundamental objective of this paradigm is to transport therapeutic agents in quantities sufficient to target specific sites, such as tumors and afflicted tissues, while simultaneously mitigating undesirable side effects and toxicity, thus enhancing therapeutic efficacy.[4-6]

Diabetes mellitus, characterized by inadequate insulin production or responsiveness leading to elevated blood glucose levels, is one of the most significant health epidemics of the 21st century.[7] Diabetes can be broadly categorized into type 1 (insulin-dependent) and type 2 (insulin-independent). Diabetic retinopathy (DR) emerges as a prevalent microvascular complication, affecting virtually all type 1 diabetes patients and over 60% of type 2 diabetes individuals during the first two decades of the disease.[8] Clinically, DR manifests in two primary forms: non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). NPDR can be further stratified into mild, moderate, and severe stages, characterized by microaneurysms, hemorrhages, hard exudates (lipid deposits), cotton wool spots, intraretinal microvascular abnormalities, venous beading, and loop formation (Fig. 1).

*Corresponding author: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi, Email: mostafavi@pharm.mui.ac.ir

NPDR can progress to PDR, marked by retinal neovascularization and vitreous hemorrhage.[8] This condition disrupts the blood-retinal barrier (BRB) and increases vascular permeability, leading to leakage and diabetic macular edema (DME).[9] Elevated vascular permeability exacerbates capillary occlusion, causing retinal ischemia and upregulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels.[10] Recently, VEGF inhibitors like pegaptanib sodium, ranibizumab, and bevacizumab have demonstrated their effectiveness in suppressing ocular neovascularization, significantly reducing neovascular activity and vascular permeability in various ocular tissues.[11] Table 1 provides an overview of different drug types for retinopathy treatment.

Bevacizumab, also known as Avastin, stands out as the preferred anti-VEGF antibody fragment for PDR treatment. Although generally well-tolerated, bevacizumab is associated with common side effects such as hypertension, proteinuria, impaired wound healing, and thrombosis, raising concerns.[21] Consequently, intraocular injection is the prevailing method of bevacizumab administration. However, a significant drawback of intravitreal anti-VEGF treatment lies in the need for repetitive injections due to the short half-life of these drugs within the vitreous. This approach incurs challenges and costs, along with potential adverse effects, including intravitreal hemorrhage, endophthalmitis, cataract formation, and retinal detachment.[11,21]

Conventional drug formulations in treatment confront several hurdles, such as short half-lives, low solubility, efficacy at high doses, aggregation, and susceptibility to degradation.[22] A limited repertoire of technologies has been available for addressing intraocular disorders and diseases. While traditional delivery methods, such as subconjunctival injection, intravitreal injection, and topical eye drops, exist, numerous biological and physiological barriers pose formidable challenges that therapeutic payloads must surmount. Clinical studies

Table 1. A summary of the different types of drugs that are currently available in the market for diabetic retinopathy

Drug	Dosage forms	Mechanism	Drug delivery system	References
Triamcinolone acetonide	4 mg/0.1 mL (injection suspension)	Anti-inflammatory effects	intravitreal	12
Fluocinolone acetonide	0.59 mg (implant)	Anti-inflammatory effects	intravitreal implant	13
Dexamethasone	0.7 mg (implant)	Anti-inflammatory effects	Extended-release implant	14
Etanercept	2.5 mg/0.1 mL (Injection Suspension)	Anti-inflammatory effects	intravitreal	15
Infliximab	5 mg/kg (injection suspension)	Anti-inflammatory effects	Intravenous injection	15
Pegaptanib	0.3 mg/0.09 mL (Injection Suspension)	VEGF inhibitors	intravitreal	16
Bevacizumab	1.25 mg/0.05 mL (Injection Suspension)	VEGF inhibitors	intravitreal	17
Ranibizumab	0.5 mg/0.05 mL (Injection Suspension)	VEGF inhibitors	intravitreal	18
Ruboxistaurin	32 mg/day	inhibitor	oral	19, 20
Hyaluronidase	75 IU/0.05 mL saline	Clearance of vitreous hemorrhage	intravitreal	20

VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; PKC β, Protein kinase C β.

Fig. 1. Schematic of diabetic retinopathy.

employing these delivery vehicles have raised concerns regarding safety, including immunogenicity, broad tissue tropism, and genomic insertional mutagenesis.[23] Addressing these limitations necessitates the development of innovative intraocular drug delivery systems grounded in biodegradable carriers that offer heightened effectiveness and durability within the intraocular environment. A diverse array of drug delivery systems, including implants, hydrogels, nanoliposomes, micelles, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs), emulsions, and biodegradable nanoparticles, have been deployed for precise and controlled drug delivery to the retina and vitreous.[24-30] Several review articles covering ocular disorders have been published.[22,31,32]

Within drug delivery and therapeutics, nanocarriers are specialized vehicles for transporting drugs to specific anatomical targets within the body, including sites affected by DR. These nanocarriers can encapsulate drugs within their structures, serving as protective capsules that shield the drug from degradation, metabolism, or elimination, prolonging circulation time and enhancing stability.[33] Many drugs employed in DR treatment exhibit poor solubility or limited bioavailability. Nanoparticles address this issue by enhancing the solubility of hydrophobic drugs, leading to improved drug absorption and distribution. Additionally, nanoparticles offer protection against enzymatic degradation, augmenting drug bioavailability and ensuring a more pronounced therapeutic effect.[34] Notable nanocarrier advantages include targeted drug delivery, controlled and sustained drug release, enhanced drug stability and protection, prolonged drug circulation, and improved solubility and bioavailability, thus facilitating enhanced drug absorption and distribution.

However, nanocarriers have certain limitations, including intricate formulation and manufacturing processes, potential immunogenic responses or toxicities associated with nanoparticle carrier materials, challenges in scaling up production for large-scale clinical applications, and variable clearance from the body contingent upon nanoparticle characteristics and size.[35]

This review represents a comprehensive examination of emerging intraocular drug delivery systems for treating DR, a subject not comprehensively covered in prior reviews.

Tonicity, referring to the osmotic pressure or solute concentration in a solution, emerges as a pivotal factor in drug delivery systems for DR treatment. Maintaining the structural integrity of ocular tissues necessitates a tolerable tonicity range of 0.5% to 2% NaCl solution. Common tonicity modifiers include 1.9% boric acid and sodium acid phosphate buffer.[36,37]

Sterile drug delivery systems are pivotal in mitigating infection risks and ensuring patient safety when addressing DR. Given their direct contact with sensitive ocular tissues; microbial contamination can have severe consequences. Therefore, production processes must be executed within a sterile environment. Sterilization techniques such as filtration, autoclaving, or gamma irradiation may be employed to eliminate existing microbiological contaminants. Furthermore, ensuring the sterility of drug delivery systems until administration necessitates appropriate storage conditions, including regulated temperature and aseptic packaging.[36]

The meticulous engineering of drug delivery systems is imperative to ensure biocompatibility with ocular tissues and to maintain their integrity during ocular administration, thereby optimizing therapeutic efficacy while minimizing side effects. Biodegradable implantable technologies, including hydrogels and polymeric microspheres, hold promise as platforms for long-term drug delivery. Ideally, these systems should undergo gradual biodegradation, yielding non-irritating and non-toxic degradation byproducts, thus obviating surgical removal.[38]

The biodegradation of drug delivery systems significantly impacts their efficacy and safety in treating DR. Long-term drug release, reduced frequency of administration, and avoidance of complications associated with extended implantation are all contingent upon the capacity of a delivery system to biodegrade over time. Biodegradable materials such as polymeric microspheres, nanoparticles, and hydrogels are conventionally employed to encapsulate and release therapeutic agents.[39]

This comprehensive review delves into recent advancements in intraocular drug delivery systems for DR. Drawing from multiple articles, cutting-edge delivery methods for intraocular applications are introduced. The investigation encompasses nanocarriers and implants, each further segmented into subclasses, providing detailed insights. Additionally, current research developments and challenges linked to the use of carriers are addressed, along with specifics about DR treatments and regeneration incorporating carrier-based approaches.

The human eye's anatomy

The human eye is an intricate organ crucial for vision, composed of several interconnected structures endowed with distinct functions. In Fig. 2, the primary components of the human eye are depicted. The cornea, a transparent and dome-shaped tissue, envelops the eye's anterior, facilitating light entry. The iris, which showcases the eye's coloration, governs the pupil's size, regulating the influx of light. The pupil, a malleable circular aperture at the iris's center, permits light passage. Positioned behind the iris, the lens concentrates light onto the retina, with its capacity to alter shape to accommodate near and far vision. The retina, a slender, light-sensitive layer at the eye's posterior, teems with specialized photoreceptor cells that convert light into electrical signals dispatched

Fig. 2. Schematic of the human eye.

to the brain via the optic nerve. The optic nerve, a bundle of nerve fibers, is the conduit for visual information transmission from the retina to the brain. Filling the eye's central cavity, the vitreous humor is a gel-like substance that maintains the ocular structure and supports its internal components; the white outer layer, known as the scale, protects and sustains stain the eye's form.[40]

Challenges in Retinal Drug Delivery for DR The administration of drugs to the retina for DR treatment poses a formidable challenge due to many barriers, including the BRB, Limited Drug Permeability, Rapid Clearance, Ocular Dynamics, and Patient Compliance.[41] The retina's blood vessels are exceptionally selective, forming a formidable barricade called the BRB. This barrier imposes stringent restrictions on the transit of large molecules, including numerous drugs, from the bloodstream into the retina, rendering the delivery of therapeutic agents to the target location challenging. Even if a drug succeeds in traversing the BRB, it may encounter obstacles in permeating the diverse retinal layers to access the intended site of action. The retina's intricate architecture and tight junctions among retinal cells curtail drug permeability. The eye possesses highly efficient mechanisms for expeditiously expelling foreign substances, including drugs. This can curtail the duration and efficacy of drug action prior to its elimination from the eye. The perpetual motion and blinking of the eye pose mechanical hurdles in drug delivery. Tears and blinking can either rinse away or dilute drug formulations,

thus diminishing their concentration and efficacy. In cases where drugs necessitate repeated and prolonged administration, patient adherence becomes a formidable obstacle.[42] Consistent and timely drug administration is imperative for the successful management of DR, with non-compliance serving as a hindrance to treatment outcomes. Surmounting these obstacles continues to be an area of active exploration within the realm of ocular drug delivery. Scientists are investigating many strategies, encompassing the development of innovative drug delivery systems, nanoparticles, and targeted drug carriers, all aimed at augmenting the efficiency and effectiveness of drug delivery to the retina in the context of DR and other ocular maladies.[43]

Intraocular delivery for DR
Hydrogels
The utilization of hydrogels in biomedical applications has been an evolutionary journey commencing in the 1960s when hydrogel first found its application as contact lenses.[44] Hydrogels represent a distinctive class of polymeric materials renowned for their remarkable capacity to absorb and retain substantial quantities of water within their intricate three-dimensional matrix.[45,46] This unique characteristic has paved the way for the effective delivery of biologically active substances through controlled drug release mechanisms.

Significant research efforts have been directed towards developing novel hydrogel structures and chemically

cross-linked networks.[47-49] Among these, polyhydroxy ethyl methacrylate (PHEMA) has emerged as the most compelling polymer.[50]

As the 1970s progressed, a newfound fascination with stimuli-responsive hydrogels emerged. These hydrogels exhibit an inherent ability to undergo physical or chemical transitions in response to specific environmental stimuli, such as changes in pH, temperature, light exposure, and pressure.[51] Thermosensitive hydrogel is one of the most extensively studied and utilized forms of stimuli-responsive hydrogels.[52,53] Thermosensitive hydrogels can be categorized into lower critical solution temperature (LCST) and upper critical solution temperature (UCST) hydrogels. In LCST hydrogels, the system exists as a liquid under critical temperature conditions, whereas in UCST hydrogels, the system assumes a gel state under critical temperature and becomes liquid at temperatures above the critical threshold.[54,55]

Crucially, to circumvent potential immune reactions, the polymers employed in hydrogel formulations must possess specific essential characteristics, including biodegradability, biocompatibility, and non-cytotoxicity.[56] Hydrogels are constructed from cross-linked polymers that can imbibe water, resulting in swelling and the maintenance of an expanded water-rich structure.[57,58]

Another vital category within the realm of stimuli-responsive hydrogels is the pH-responsive hydrogel. These systems feature ionizable pendant groups within the polymer backbone, allowing them to respond to variations in pH levels. Alterations in environmental pH lead to ionization of the pendant groups, creating electrostatic repulsive forces between ionized groups, thus inducing swelling. pH-responsive hydrogels can be further classified into anionic and cationic hydrogels. Anionic hydrogels incorporate carboxylic or sulfonic acid groups that undergo deprotonation and swell as the pH increases, while cationic hydrogels incorporate amine groups that protonate and swell as the pH decreases.[59,60]

In the context of temperature-sensitive hydrogels, changes in temperature trigger either swelling or de-swelling within the system, which can be harnessed for drug delivery purposes. The interplay between hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions within the hydrogel structure plays a pivotal role in this physical response.

Shear-thinning hydrogels represent yet another facet of hydrogel engineering. Shear-thinning is a property wherein the material's viscosity decreases with increased shear forces. Such hydrogels can be readily loaded into syringes, extruded when subjected to shear, and swiftly regain their original form when mechanical forces cease, a phenomenon commonly referred to as self-healing. This property is highly advantageous for maintaining material integrity following injection and facilitating in situ gelation processes, with the benefit of minimizing potential embolization into the systemic circulation.

However, it is worth noting that physical cross-linking often disrupts self-healing properties and fails to exhibit the mechanical integrity seen in in situ cross-linking covalent systems. Researchers have therefore explored alternative cross-linking methodologies to enhance the stability of mechanically deployed hydrogels after injection.[61,62] Shear-thinning hydrogels have been the subject of extensive investigation across various biomedical applications, encompassing drug delivery, tissue regeneration, and intraocular drug delivery.[63-65]

In summary, developing in situ injectable hydrogels, offering precise control over drug release rates and degradation, holds immense promise as a versatile drug carrier for ocular drug delivery.[66] Moreover, preclinical studies have yielded encouraging results in applying hydrogel-based tissue adhesives, vitreous replacements, and intravitreal drug delivery systems. The intraocular administration of hydrogels stands poised to bring about significant advancements in this field, ultimately contributing to the refinement of existing hydrogel technologies and the potential for future clinical approvals. It is imperative to concurrently advance injection systems capable of efficiently and safely handling in situ forming hydrogels in clinical settings.[67] Table 2 and Fig. 3 provide a succinct overview of the various types of hydrogels mentioned herein.

Exemplary instances of hydrogels
Hydrogels with shear sensitivity
In a study by Chegini et al, cross-linked and injectable hydrogels were formulated using tragacanth gum as a base material. This particular hydrogel demonstrated potential applicability for the targeted delivery of therapeutic agents to the posterior segment of the eye in conditions such as DR and macular edema. The formulation involved the utilization of tragacanthic acid (TA), the water-soluble component of tragacanth gum, and three distinct acetate salts.

The evaluations of this study revealed that the hydrogel formulation incorporating TA and sodium acetate (referred to as TA-NaOAc) exhibited biocompatibility, optical transparency, injectability, and adequate structural integrity in its quiescent state post-injection. Importantly, assessments conducted via the MTT assay demonstrated the absence of cytotoxicity toward human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs). Additionally, in vivo Draize tests and histological examinations of the retinas in rabbits and rats did not reveal any signs of allergic reactions or histopathological alterations.

The amalgamation of these in vitro and in vivo findings augurs well for the potential application of TA-NaOAc hydrogel in ocular drug delivery, holding significant promise in this domain.[65]

Temperature-sensitive hydrogels
In the sphere of ocular drug delivery, a diverse array

Table 2. Various types of hydrogels used for retinopathy drug delivery

Hydrogel type	Stimuli-responsive polymer	Drug	Property	Therapeutic outcome	Reference
Temperature-sensitive hydrogels	PLGA-PEG-PLGA	Bevacizumab	sol-to-gel transition with change in temperature	showed the beneficial effects of hydrogels in prolonging the residency of drugs in the vitreous and increasing the drug's efficiency	68
	Poloxamer	Bevacizumab			69
	ESHU	Bevacizumab			70
	PLGA-PEG-PLGA	Dexamethasone acetate			53
	PEG-PCL-PEG	Bevacizumab			71
	PLGA-PEG-PLGA	Insulin			72
	Chitosan	Fluconazole			73
Shear sensitive hydrogels	Tragacanthic acid		Gel-to-sol transition with shear	-	65

PLGA-PEG-PLGA; Poly (lactic acid-co-glycolic acid)-poly (ethylene glycol)-poly (lactic acid-co-glycolic acid)), ESHU; poly (ethylene glycol)-poly-(serinol hexamethylene urethane), PEG-PCL-PEG; poly (ethylene glycol)-poly (ε-caprolactone)-poly (ethylene glycol)

Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the hydrogels used for retinopathy drug delivery.

of thermosensitive hydrogels has been developed, encompassing both natural polymers such as chitosan, alginate, and hyaluronic acid, as well as synthetic polymers like poloxamer, PEG/PLGA block polymers, PEG/PCL block polymers, and poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide).[67,74-77] Among these, poloxamers, recognized as triblock copolymers poly (ethylene glycol-b-propylene glycol-b-ethylene glycol) (PEG-PPG-PEG), have undergone extensive scrutiny due to their unique inverse thermosensitive properties. A significant transformation from a liquid to a gel state in aqueous poloxamer solutions materializes at the physiological temperature of 37 °C. This attribute renders them particularly attractive for applications as injectable agents in controlled-release drug delivery, tissue engineering, and cell therapy.[78]

Recent developments have also witnessed a growing interest in PEG-based hydrogels, augmented by including hydrophobic components such as PLGA and PCL.

Consequently, PEG-based thermosensitive hydrogels have emerged as compelling candidates for exploration as injectable thermosensitive materials. Notably, PEG can be copolymerized alongside PLGA, PLA, and PCL, resulting in copolymers characterized by A-B-A or B-A-B structures.[25,79] In parenteral drug delivery, attributes such as biodegradability and biocompatibility assume paramount significance. Notably, PLGA has witnessed substantial investigation in combination with PEG to create block thermoreversible gelling polymers.[80,81] One remarkable study employed temperature-sensitive injectable hydrogels, specifically PLGA-PEG-PLGA, to facilitate the sustained release of Avastin into the ocular environment. Impressively, the in vitro drug release kinetics extended over two weeks, diverging significantly from the free drug release profile. Additionally, no toxicity or inflammation was discerned within the hydrogel system.[82]

Nanocarriers

Nanocarriers represent a pivotal innovation in drug delivery, offering versatile solutions that can be crafted from a variety of inorganic and organic materials, including biodegradable and non-degradable polymers, metals, lipids, and self-assembling amphiphilic molecules.[83-85] This remarkable development addresses a longstanding challenge in pharmacotherapy, where bioactive substances, while exhibiting therapeutic benefits, often manifest undesirable side effects that restrict their clinical applicability.

One prominent example is chemotherapy, employed in cancer treatment, where drugs indiscriminately target both cancerous and healthy cells, resulting in adverse effects. The scientific community has sought ways to selectively deliver bioactives to specific anatomical sites within the body to optimize therapeutic potential while minimizing these detrimental outcomes. This endeavor has spurred extensive research into nanocarriers for precise drug and gene delivery, enhancing therapeutic efficacy while mitigating side effects. These nanoparticulate delivery systems offer several crucial advantages, including elevated target-to-non-target concentration ratios, prolonged drug residency at the intended site, and enhanced cellular uptake and intracellular stability.[86]

Nanocarriers have recently gained significant attention as a preferred drug delivery system due to their remarkable ability to reduce toxicity and enhance therapeutic effectiveness. These systems are characterized by submicron particle sizes, typically less than 500 nm, which results in a high surface area-to-volume ratio. This unique attribute profoundly influences the properties and bioactivity of encapsulated drugs. Critical attributes of nanocarriers include:

1. Prolonged circulation time in the bloodstream.
2. Precise delivery of drugs to the intended target sites.
3. Reduction in the required drug dosage.
4. Controlled drug release.

Various types of nanocarriers have been developed, such as microemulsions, nanosuspensions, liposomes, micelles, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN), dendrimers, and hydrogels.[87-89] The properties of nanocarriers can be tailored through modifications in their composition, shape, size, and surface characteristics. These modifications encompass PEGylation, functional group introduction, surface charge adjustment, and targeting moieties incorporation.[90] Table 3 provides an overview of the diverse nanocarrier types.

Utilizing nanocarriers yields many benefits, including enhanced drug bioavailability, improved drug permeation to specific retinal areas, prolonged drug residence time, non-invasive drug delivery, and enhanced ocular tolerability. These advancements represent a substantial leap forward in achieving safer, more efficient, and more convenient medication delivery systems.

Exemplary instances of nanocarriers

Polymeric nanoparticles

Polymeric nanoparticles (PNPs) are polymeric materials comprising nanoscale colloidal organic compounds. These PNPs exhibit configurations resembling nanospheres or nanocapsules.[108] Presently, a diverse array of polymers, including chitosan, polycaprolactone, hyaluronic acid, carbopol, eudragit, gelatin, poly butyl polylactic acid, and cyanoacrylate, are harnessed for the fabrication of nanoparticles intended for ocular drug delivery.[109-111]

Chitosan, notable for its non-toxic, biodegradable, and biocompatible nature, emerges as a polymeric mucoadhesive capable of orchestrating drug release and averting abrupt drug discharges. Various investigations have scrutinized the therapeutic potential of chitosan nanoparticles encapsulating Avastin® for DR treatment, achieving regulated drug dispensation and enhanced intraocular drug transport.[112-115]

The antiangiogenic prowess of chitosan is ascribed to multiple mechanisms.[116, 117] It has inhibited tumor invasion and endothelial cell migration. Notably, chitosan has recently demonstrated its capability to mitigate lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced interleukin-8 (IL-8) production in endothelial cells, a phenomenon associated with the pathogenesis of vascular disorders.[111, 118,119] In an endeavor to further augment its efficacy, a chemically modified derivative of chitosan, namely chitosan-N-acetyl-L-cysteine (CNAC), was employed to fabricate nanoparticles for comparative assessment against unaltered chitosan-based nanoparticles in the context of Avastin® delivery via hydrogel.[120,121] CNAC distinguishes itself by the presence of N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC), a feature absent in unmodified chitosan. This addition introduces thiol groups that forge robust disulfide bonds with cysteine-rich domains in mucus glycoproteins, endowing CNAC with superior mucoadhesive attributes relative to chitosan.[120] Given that VEGF comprises cysteine residues, it is conceivable that CNAC may confer additional antiangiogenic activity via binding to VEGF.[121] Indeed, an investigation into N-acetylcysteine's antioxidant and antiangiogenic properties in a rat model of DR affirmed NAC's capacity for antiangiogenic and antioxidant actions.[122]

Nanoliposomes

Nanoliposomes are self-assembling, bilayered, circular particles that share similarities with cell membranes.[75] The synthesis of nanoliposome formulations varies depending on their intended application and functionality, with several methods available. These methods encompass thin-film hydration-sonication, ethanol injection, reverse phase evaporation, supercritical fluid technology, heating, and the Mozafari technique.[123,124]

Liposomes offer numerous advantages, including low toxicity, biodegradability, and non-immunogenicity, rendering them an ideal choice for drug delivery

Table 3. Summary of the different types of nanocarrier used for drug delivery

Nanocarrier type	Materials	Drug	References
Polymeric nanoparticles	Chitosan	Bevacizumab	[91]
	CNAC	Ranibizumab	[92]
	Polycaprolactone and Pluronic® F68	Triamcinolone acetonide	[93]
	PGS	Sunitinib	[94]
	Generation-4 hydroxyl polyamidoamine dendrimer	Triamcinolone acetonide	[95]
	PLGA	Dexamethasone acetate	[96]
	PLGA	bevacizumab	[97]
Nanoliposome	NLC	Triamcinolone	[98]
	DPPC (C40H80NO8P)	Bevacizumab	[99]
	egg phosphatidylcholine	Bevacizumab	[100]
Albumin nanoparticles	HSA	Bevacizumab	[101]
Gold nanoparticles	HAuCl4	Resveratrol	[102]
zincoxide nanoparticles	zinc acetate	Cyperus rotundus leaf extract	[103]
magnetic nanoparticles	iron oxide core and an organic shell exposing carboxylic groups	Octreotide	[104]
Silver nanoparticles	AgNO3	-	[105]
silicate nanoparticles	tetraethoxysilane and Cyclohexane	-	[106]
Fullerene nanoparticles	C60 fullerene (C60)	-	[107]

Chitosan-N-acetyl-L-cysteine (CNAC), Polyglycerol sebacate (PGS), Human serum albumin (HSA), 1, 2-Dipalimitoyl-Sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), nanostructured lipid carrier (NLC; Lipophilic solid lipids used included C16, C18, and a mixture of monoglycerides, diglycerides, and triglycerides, Hydrophilic solid lipids used included Ethylene oxide, propylene oxide copolymer, and surfactant)

systems.[125] Employing liposomes for intravitreal injections enables a controlled and gradual drug release into the vitreous, potentially reducing the frequency of injections.[126] Several studies have investigated the use of liposomes for intravitreal administration.[127] Abrishami et al. conducted a study examining the impact of nanoliposome encapsulation on bevacizumab following intravitreal injection in rabbits. Their findings revealed that intravitreal nanoliposome injections containing bevacizumab were well-tolerated in rabbits for 42 days. Notably, the clearance rate of this drug from the vitreous when delivered through nanoliposomal formulations was significantly slower than its soluble counterpart. The concentration of bevacizumab following intravitreal injection indicated that this delivery system maintained appropriate therapeutic drug levels for up to six weeks, particularly for diabetic neovascularization and potentially other neovascular eye disorders. These results suggest that the use of nanoliposomes has a beneficial impact in extending the presence of bevacizumab in the vitreous.[128]

Albumin nanoparticles

Human serum albumin (HSA) is a protein with a molecular weight of 66 kD that is abundantly found in plasma and is widely employed in the pharmaceutical industry as an excipient for various purposes. It boasts remarkable stability in fluid environments and possesses amphiphilic properties. Consequently, HSA proves to be a suitable choice for formulating numerous therapeutic proteins as an additive, serving to mitigate irreversible adsorption to containers or the occurrence of aggregation phenomena.[129,130] Standard techniques for generating albumin nanoparticles encompass the desolvation method, thermal-induced aggregation, self-assembly, and albumin-bound technology.[131] Owing to its notably high glass transition temperature, HSA can function effectively as a cryoprotectant during freeze-drying processes.[132] Moreover, it plays a pivotal role in producing micro- and nanoparticles like Albunex®,[133] Abraxane®,[134] and bevacizumab-loaded albumin. The latter is created through a desolvation process followed by freeze-drying. Remarkably, these resulting nanoparticles exhibit stability without requiring additional measures, such as cross-linking with glutaraldehyde. This stability is primarily attributed to reinforcing protein-protein interactions between the antibody and albumin. Notably, assessments conducted on ARPE-19 cells revealed these nanoparticles to be non-cytotoxic. Furthermore, when administered as eye drops to laboratory animals, they exhibit a sustained presence on the ocular surface for at least four hours. These collective findings strongly indicate that albumin-based nanoparticles hold significant promise for the ocular delivery of bevacizumab, thereby paving the way for further in vivo evaluations.[135]

Gold nanoparticles

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) are agglomerations of particles varying in size from a few to several hundred nanometers, comprising a central gold core enveloped by a surface coating.[136] Two fundamental approaches are employed in the synthesis of AuNPs, namely chemical synthesis and biological synthesis. Chemical synthesis methods

encompass Turkevich, Brust, seed-mediated growth, and digestive ripening. On the other hand, biological synthesis exploits microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi, as well as plants, algae, and biomolecules.[137]

The resulting morphology of these nanoparticles can vary, encompassing quasi-spherical, spherical, cubic, triangular, pentagonal, rod-shaped, hexagonal, and plate-like structures, although spherical GNPs have been the most frequently documented.[138]

Due to their diminutive dimensions and distinct physicochemical attributes, GNPs have garnered considerable attention in drug delivery, bio-imaging, bio-sensing, and nanomedicine.[139-141] Investigations have indicated that GNPs can impede VEGF-induced endothelial cell migration through modulation of the Akt pathway, also known as protein kinase B (PKB).[142] Generally, GNPs can trigger nanostructural modifications of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2), thereby hindering VEGFR2 activation and suppressing angiogenesis. Further examinations have suggested that GNPs can inhibit both in vitro and in vivo angiogenesis by inducing autophagy.[143,144] The interplay between autophagy and angiogenesis is intricate, as heightened autophagy can either promote or obstruct angiogenesis. Inhibitory autophagy of angiogenesis is often associated with lysosomal dysfunction.[145,146] Key proteins involved in autophagosome formation and autophagic cell death,[147] such as Autophagy-related protein 5 (ATG5) and Beclin1, displayed increased expression upon GNPs administration, underscoring GNPs' potential as a therapeutic nanomedicine for angiogenesis treatment and offering novel insights into ocular angiogenesis therapy.[148] Notably, GNPs have exhibited no detrimental effects on the cellular viability of retinal microvascular endothelial cells, as supported by Jin Hyoung Kim et al. Additionally, intravenous administration of GNPs has not induced any toxicity towards retinal cells, including retinal microvascular cells, retinal neurons, endothelial cells, and astrocytes. Furthermore, when administered at high doses directly into the vitreous cavity, GNPs did not manifest any retinal toxicity. These findings collectively suggest that GNPs may be safely employed in treating various retinopathies without causing harm to the retina or normal retinal vessels.[149]

Magnetic nanoparticles

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) represent nanoscale materials of magnetic elements, such as nickel, iron, manganese, cobalt, gadolinium, chromium, and various chemical compounds. Owing to their nanoscale dimensions, MNPs exhibit superparamagnetic properties. They hold immense potential across diverse applications in their unmodified form. However, selecting functional groups and applying surface coatings are imperative for specific applications. Among MNPs, ferrite nanoparticles have garnered substantial research attention.[150,151]

Various synthetic techniques are employed to attain the desired shape, size, stability, and biocompatibility of MNPs. Standard methods for MNP synthesis include ball milling, thermal decomposition, coprecipitation, microemulsion, hydrothermal, sol-gel processes, and biological approaches.[152]

MNPs hold promise for intraocular drug delivery.[153] Intraocular MNPs have demonstrated rapid and sustained penetration into the retina, explicitly targeting the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) without inducing any tissue damage.[154] Furthermore, MNPs possess significant capabilities in loading and delivering specific molecules. Notably, MNPs have been effective carriers for brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and nerve growth factor (NGF), enhancing their efficacy in preventing retinal oxidative damage.[155]

Amato R et al. conducted a study to assess the feasibility of employing magnetic nanoparticle-octreotide (MNP-OCT) to treat DR. Their evaluation examined MNP-OCT's ability to suppress VEGF-induced pro-angiogenic responses in human retinal endothelial cells (HRECs) and its effectiveness in safeguarding ex-vivo retinal explants from oxidative stress (OS)-induced apoptosis. Furthermore, they investigated the actual localization of MNP-OCT in retinas in vivo following intraocular injection. The results of their experiments in animal models demonstrated that MNPs exhibit no toxicity to ocular tissues. Furthermore, the biocompatibility of MNPs was corroborated in ex-vivo mouse retinal explants, where no apoptotic activity was observed in the presence of MNPs.[104]

Silver nanoparticles

Silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) have emerged as a prominent subject of investigation within the realm of nanoparticles in recent decades. These Ag NPs typically comprise 20 to 15,000 silver atoms and exhibit diameters below the 100 nm threshold.[109] Silver, a naturally occurring element, is characterized by its distinctive white appearance, softness, lustrous sheen, and notable thermal and electrical conductivity.

Various methodologies can be employed to synthesize Ag NPs, encompassing physical, chemical, biological, and mechanical approaches. Among these, chemical processes have gained prominence as a standard and straightforward means of Ag NP fabrication.[156]

Due to their remarkable antimicrobial attributes, Ag NPs find application in many domains, including wound dressings, contraceptive devices, surgical equipment, and bone prostheses. Moreover, Ag NPs are extensively utilized to coat ocular lenses, effectively thwarting microbial activity. Additionally, these nanoparticles have been recognized for their antifungal characteristics, anti-viral efficacy, and anti-inflammatory properties.[157-163]

Gurunathan et al have presented compelling evidence suggesting that Ag NPs function as potent antiangiogenic

agents, effectively suppressing angiogenesis initiated by VEGF via the PI3K/Akt pathway.[164]

Pathological conditions often manifest with heightened permeability in choroidal and retinal vessels, as seen in age-related macular degeneration and diabetes.[165] Sheikpranbabu et al have elucidated that Ag NPs possess the capability to inhibit retinal vascular hyperpermeability induced by advanced glycation end-products-bovine serum albumin (AGE-BSA), a condition characterized by the suppression of intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) expression and the upregulation of tight junction proteins ZO-1 and occludin[166]. Additionally, their study unveiled Ag NPs' potential to counteract permeability induced by VEGF and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) via the deactivation of the Src kinase pathway, thus presenting a promising therapeutic avenue for ocular diseases such as DR.[167]

Kalishwaralal et al have contributed further insights by reporting that Ag NPs exert inhibitory effects on cell survival through the PI3K/Akt-dependent pathway in retinal endothelial cells.[168]

Silicate nanoparticles

Silica nanoparticles (SiNPs) are non-crystalline, spherical particles in various forms and sizes with easily modifiable surface properties to cater to diverse applications. In their nonporous state, SiNPs exhibit abrasive and absorbent characteristics. However, mesoporous SiNPs, characterized by hexagonal pore architectures, hold significant promise within nanomedicine and therapeutic interventions.[124] Several methods exist for synthesizing SiNPs, resulting in a range of sizes from 10 to 500 nm and diverse morphologies and physicochemical attributes. Stober's procedure and microemulsion synthesis are among the widely employed synthesis techniques.[169]

SiNPs find utility in gene therapy and drug delivery, whether used independently or with other treatment modalities.[170-172] A study by Mohammadpour et al posited that the small size of SiNPs allows them to permeate corneal epithelial tight junctions effectively, suggesting their potential efficacy in treating and preventing corneal neovascularization. Further investigations could explore the application of SiNPs in controlling retinal neovascularization associated with vascular disorders and DR.[173]

Jo et al demonstrated that SiNPs hold promise for the treatment of retinal neovascularization without inducing toxicity. SiNPs effectively inhibited VEGF-induced retinal neovascularization and suppressed the activation of extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase (ERK 1/2) by inhibiting VEGFR-2 phosphorylation. Pathological angiogenesis, driven by angiogenic molecules like VEGF, contributes to angiogenesis-related blindness (ARB), making SiNPs and siRNAs potential candidates for preventing vision loss.[174]

Observational research has shown that magnesium silicate nanoparticles exhibit low toxicity. Specifically, Emodin–MgSiO$_3$ effectively impedes the expression of both protein and the VEGF gene. This suggests that magnesium silicate hollow spheres hold promise as safe drug carriers.[175]

Zinc oxide nanoparticles

Zinc oxide (ZnO) is categorized as an n-type semiconducting metal oxide with commendable biocompatibility, safety, and enduring efficacy, rendering it a viable option for addressing various medical conditions. In the context of diabetes mellitus, zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) have garnered significant attention owing to their capability to administer zinc ions, as substantiated by references.[176-178] An array of investigations have unequivocally demonstrated that ZnO NPs exhibit negligible toxicity towards human cells, as corroborated.[179]

ZnO NPs can be synthesized through diverse chemical methodologies encompassing vapor transfer, precipitation, and hydrothermal routes. However, it is noteworthy that the contemporary focus of research lies in the burgeoning domain of biogenic synthesis of ZnO NPs, which entails the utilization of various plant extracts or microorganisms. This biological approach to nanoparticle synthesis offers many advantages when compared to conventional chemical and physical methods, as elaborated.[180]

In a recent study, DR in rats was targeted for treatment using zinc oxide nanoparticles loaded with extracts from Cyperus rotundus (CR-ZnONPs). The experimental findings demonstrated significant improvements in key parameters, including fasting blood sugar (FBS), retina thickness, insulin levels, and HbA1c, all of which returned to average values. These outcomes suggest that CR-ZnONPs possess remarkable anti-inflammatory and anti-diabetic properties.[181]

Fullerene nanoparticles

Fullerenes represent the third naturally occurring allotropic variation of carbon.[181] The pseudo-aromatic structure of C60 molecules, characterized by the delocalization of π-electrons over its carbon core, is primarily determined by the presence of sp$^{2, 5}$-bonds.[182]

Numerous scientific publications have detailed various methodologies for synthesizing fullerenes, which can possess varying degrees of hydroxylation while conforming to the general formula C60. These methods predominantly involve the solubilization of fullerenes in water through solvent exchange utilizing diverse organic solvents such as toluene, ethanol, acetone, and THF, often aided by sonication or mechanical stirring. Furthermore, it has been documented that C60 can be directly solubilized in water through prolonged stirring or sonication.[183]

Fullerene has recently garnered significant attention as an up-and-coming candidate for numerous medical applications.[184] Ever since research commenced on

C60's antioxidant properties, it has been posited that the extensive electron-conjugation system is a unique characteristic of fullerene molecules. Until recently, fullerene was regarded as a groundbreaking "structural" antioxidant, a notion articulated by Krusic, who referred to it as a "radical sponge".[185]

The principal mechanism by which C60 benefits astrocytes is through its capacity to neutralize free radicals and safeguard cellular membranes from oxidative damage. This property has significant implications for astrocyte survival. Strikingly, fullerene also exerts a profound influence on signaling pathways associated with the regulation of apoptosis, offering a novel therapeutic approach for addressing cellular dysfunction in DR.[107]

Concurrently with the progression of DR, there is a noteworthy occurrence of pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidative alterations in retinal cells, including astrocytes and Muller cells. Glial reactivity has been recognized as a pivotal pathogenetic factor in various neural tissue disorders. The anti-inflammatory and antioxidant attributes of fullerene C60 nanoparticles have been empirically substantiated. Nedzvetsky et al investigated the glioprotective efficacy of water-soluble hydrated fullerene C60 (C60HyFn) in a 12-week STZ-diabetes model. Their findings indicated that C60HyFn treatment ameliorated astrocyte reactivity in the STZ-diabetic rat group, as evidenced by reduced S100β and PARP1 overexpression. Additionally, the retinas of STZ-diabetic rats subjected to C60HyFn treatment exhibited diminished TNFα production. These results underscore the glioprotective potential of C60HyFn on retinal cells, suggesting its viability as a prospective nano-strategy for DR therapy.[186]

Intraocular implants

Ocular drug delivery implants have garnered significant attention as a solution to address the inherent limitations associated with conventional eye therapies.[187-190] These implants offer distinct advantages, such as ease of administration, precise drug delivery to ocular tissues, and minimal interference with the normal functioning of the eye.[191] Specifically, intravitreal implants represent a class of drug delivery systems designed for either injection or surgical implantation into the vitreous humor, facilitating sustained drug release to the posterior and intermediate regions of the eye.[192]

Over the past few decades, the medical community has witnessed a burgeoning interest in bioimplants. Bioimplants encompass diverse technologies to enhance the functionality of damaged natural organs. These encompass brain/neural implants,[193] sensory implants,[194] spinal implants,[195] organ stimulation implants,[196] subcutaneous implants,[197] dental implants,[198] cosmetic implants,[199] and convenience implants. Additionally, structural implants such as rods, braces, heart valves, pins, bones, hip prostheses, ear implants, ocular implants, skull implants, and knee replacements have played pivotal roles in improving patients' quality of life. Bioimplants have ushered in a new era of drug delivery systems, enhancing therapeutic outcomes while mitigating side effects through targeted and localized drug administration.[200] This localized approach has improved drug bioavailability at the desired site, reduced dosing frequency, and eliminated systemic side effects. Furthermore, an essential feature of these implants is their ability to be removed in the event of adverse effects.

Implants can be classified into two primary categories: passive and active implants. Passive implants, in turn, can be further divided into biodegradable and non-biodegradable varieties. Biodegradable implants have been crafted using materials like polycaprolactone (PCL), polylactic acid (PLA), and polylactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA).[201,202] Conversely, non-biodegradable implants are typically manufactured from materials such as silicones, polyurethanes, polyacrylates, and polyethylene vinyl acetate.[203-209]

One notable application of implants is sustained-release steroids, which have proven effective in reducing inflammation and managing macular edema associated with DR. These implants provide extended therapeutic effects while minimizing the risk of systemic side effects compared to systemic steroid administration. Nevertheless, it is essential to acknowledge potential drawbacks, including the risk of cataract formation, elevated intraocular pressure as a side effect of steroid use, implant dislocation or migration, and the need for meticulous monitoring. Prolonged use may necessitate the management of steroid-related complications.[210]

Active implants harness two principal mechanisms to control drug release: osmotic pressure gradients and electromechanical drives.[211]

To exemplify the innovation in ocular implants, one can reference the work of Maulvi et al.[211] They devised a novel ocular implant for timolol maleate (TM) delivery, starting with loading ethyl cellulose nanoparticles within hydrogel rings in a multi-step process for controlled drug administration in the treatment of Glaucoma. The initial step involved the synthesis of TM-ethyl cellulose nanoparticles using the double emulsion method. Subsequently, hydrogel implants were crafted through a free radical polymerization process, employing HEMA (hydroxyethyl-methyl acrylate) as monomers and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate as a cross-linker. In the final step, TM-encapsulated ethyl cellulose nanoparticles were dispersed within an acrylate hydrogel. Compared to traditional eye drop therapy, in vivo pharmacokinetic assessments demonstrated an increase in mean residence time (MRT) and area under the curve (AUC) with TM implant contact lenses.[212] The success of this innovative approach has ignited interest in developing similar

implants for treating retinopathy and other ocular diseases.

Exemplary instances of intraocular implants

Various sustained-release corticosteroid delivery systems have undergone scrutiny for therapeutic purposes. The fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant, commercially available as Retisert, is the pioneering device in this domain. This particular implant is the subject of investigation for its efficacy in treating DME and autoimmune retinopathy.[213,214] A more compact device, designed for in-clinic administration under the name Iluvien, is also examined for DME treatment.[215] Another noteworthy option is the biodegradable, extended-release dexamethasone implant known as Ozurdex, which can be introduced in a clinical setting to address macular edema. Ozurdex comprises a biodegradable copolymer encompassing lactic acid and glycolic acid, laden with an adjustable quantity of dexamethasone. Many in vitro and in vivo studies have firmly established its biocompatibility.[216,217] Furthermore, the triamcinolone-eluting intravitreal implant, named I-vation, has also been explored as a therapeutic approach for DME.[218]

Constraints and complexities of intraocular drug delivery systems

Hydrogels

Despite their broad potential applications in ophthalmology, the commercialization of products based on hydrogels has remained relatively limited. In contrast, hydrogel-based soft contact lenses (SCLs) and foldable intraocular lenses (IOLs) have showcased exceptional efficacy. However, the practical deployment of hydrogel-based vitreous substitutes or intravitreal medication delivery systems currently faces substantial challenges. Several factors contribute to this situation. First and foremost, sterilizing hydrogels poses a formidable challenge.[219,220] Conventional thermal sterilization tends to degrade most natural and synthetic polymers, while radiation or chemical sterilization may trigger side reactions that alter the inherent characteristics of the hydrogel. These issues are exacerbated when incorporating proteins or other biopharmaceuticals.[221]

Aseptic processing offers a viable solution for sterilizing hydrogel drug delivery systems. Maintaining aseptic conditions throughout the manufacturing process, encompassing material handling, formulation, and packaging, minimizes the risk of introducing contaminants while preserving the delicate attributes of the hydrogel and any incorporated biopharmaceuticals. Filtration represents another standard method for sterilizing heat-sensitive materials and solutions in hydrogel drug delivery systems. This method passes the product through sterile filters to eliminate microorganisms and particulate matter.[222]

Furthermore, pre-formed hydrogels exhibit a finite shelf life, and the reactivity of in situ cross-linkable polymers may diminish during storage. The shelf life of a hydrogel largely hinges on its composition and the cross-linking methodology employed. Hydrogels featuring stable, covalently cross-linked polymer networks exhibit superior long-term stability to those with physically cross-linked structures, which may be more susceptible to degradation over time. Environmental factors, such as temperature, humidity, and light exposure, can significantly influence hydrogel stability. Commercially available hydrogel formulations are typically engineered to withstand various environmental conditions, and packaging considerations may further contribute to overall stability.[223]

Moreover, the selection and quantity of medications that can be integrated into hydrogels remain constrained.[224] While physical cross-linking may enhance the stability of encapsulated therapeutic proteins, it may simultaneously limit control over gel degradation and drug release.[225] Finally, antibodies released from hydrogels at a slower rate may display instability over several weeks.[226]

Nanocarriers

Ophthalmic drug delivery faces numerous challenges attributed to the eyes' distinctive physiological and anatomical features. These intricate organs present several formidable barriers that must be surmounted to target specific ocular tissues.[227] Additionally, emerging scenarios, such as the initial burst release from nanoparticles, compound the limitations of ocular drug delivery. Furthermore, investigating toxicity in retinal cells remains incomplete, primarily conducted in vitro, leaving essential gaps in the understanding.[228,229] While nanoparticles are generally biocompatible, factors such as their charge, particle size, drug concentration, and duration of exposure significantly influence their potential toxicity to the retina. Typically, the interaction between cationic surfaces of nanoparticles and negatively charged cell membranes serves as the predominant catalyst for toxicity.[230] These limitations are not exclusive to nanoparticles; other types of formulations also face similar obstacles when delivering drugs to the specific tissues within the eye. For instance, traditional eye drops encounter difficulties in achieving targeted delivery to the intended ocular tissues. Additionally, challenges exist for ointments, gels, and intravitreal injections in ensuring effective and sustained drug delivery while minimizing potential side effects.[231]

Intraocular implants: surgical considerations and challenges

The implantation procedure for intraocular implants necessitates a surgical intervention, inherently carrying associated risks. Moreover, post-surgical complications, such as infection, inflammation, elevated intraocular

pressure, and cataract formation, may manifest. In certain instances, the extraction of intraocular implants can be a formidable task, mainly when complications arise, or implant replacement is imperative.[217]

Limitations and complexities in intraocular drug delivery systems

Nanotechnology has brought substantial promise in intraocular drug delivery, encompassing nanocarriers, hydrogels, and implants. Despite promising outcomes in laboratory settings, the practical utilization of these carriers remains encumbered by difficulties. Notably, attempts involving nanoparticles have yielded less sanguine results. The heterogeneity inherent to these carriers, potentially leading to therapeutic instability, is a significant challenge.[232] Furthermore, paramount concerns concerning immunological responses and toxicity must be addressed. In sum, using nanocarriers, hydrogels, and implants in intraocular formulations holds excellent potential, necessitating further extensive investigation to surmount the challenges encountered during experimental application. With persistent exploration and advancement, these carriers can revolutionize the treatment of DR.

Beyond traditional dosage forms, ongoing clinical trials and innovative formulations are under development for DR treatment. Some researchers are exploring sustained-release implants, such as Retisert and Ozurdex, designed to administer medications directly into the eye over an extended period. These implants can reduce the required injection frequency and enhance patient compliance.[213,216]

Researchers are also investigating the potential advantages of combining diverse treatment modalities to address multiple facets of DR. Concurrently; clinical trials are underway to assess the efficacy of combining anti-VEGF therapy with other pharmaceutical agents like Bevacizumab and Triamcinolone, as well as laser therapy or surgical interventions. The aim is to improve visual outcomes and disease progression substantially.[233]

Future research endeavors will tackle the challenges of scaling up and industrial production, ensuring efficient and cost-effective manufacturing of novel drug delivery systems. This may encompass the development of scalable production processes, optimization of manufacturing technologies, and tailored implementation of quality control measures in line with the specific requirements of DR treatments. As novel drug delivery systems for DR continue to evolve, a comprehensive assessment of cost-benefit considerations will be imperative. Researchers will scrutinize the overall impact on healthcare expenditure, patient outcomes, and resource utilization, offering valuable insights to healthcare decision-makers and stakeholders.

In forthcoming research endeavors, there will be a pronounced emphasis on the rigorous conduct of clinical trials aimed at appraising the safety, efficacy, and relative effectiveness of innovative drug delivery methodologies for treating DR. These trials will not solely gauge the therapeutic advantages inherent in the novel delivery systems but will also delve into ancillary aspects such as patient adherence, quality of life enhancements, and the enduring consequences of treatment.

Long-term safety assessments will emerge as an imperative sphere of concentration in prospective research endeavors. This undertaking encompasses the meticulous surveillance of the cumulative ramifications stemming from the employment of pioneering drug delivery systems for DR across protracted timeframes. It comprehensively scrutinizes potential adversities and the safety profile of these therapeutic modalities.

Significant strides in nanotechnology, sustained-release formulations, targeted drug delivery, and gene therapy are propelling groundbreaking innovations within the ambit of DR treatment. As these innovative approaches continue their evolutionary trajectory, the symbiotic partnership between researchers, pharmaceutical entities, regulatory authorities, and healthcare providers is pivotal in propelling the development, acceptance, and efficacious integration of pioneering drug delivery solutions for managing DR.

Methodology for literature search

The systematic exploration of relevant studies was done through comprehensive searches across Web of Science, Scopus, Google Scholar, and PubMed. This exhaustive investigation spanned from 1993 through the conclusion of 2022. The search queries were centered on the following keywords: nanotechnology, DR, nanoparticles, hydrogels, intraocular implants, and drug delivery. These queries were executed without imposing any language or date restrictions. The screened articles encompassed titles and abstracts, focusing on those elucidating the roles of nanoparticles, hydrogels, intraocular implants, and the effects of drug delivery.

Concluding remarks

The advent of novel intraocular delivery systems has the potential to address the limitations inherent in traditional anti-neovascular therapies while simultaneously forging innovative therapeutic avenues. The realization of efficacious treatments is now on the horizon, thanks to the emergence of novel intraocular delivery devices capable of extending intravitreal drug administration intervals, facilitating the introduction of corrective genes into ocular tissues, or eliminating the necessity for direct ocular injections. In the coming years, there is eager anticipation for translating promising preclinical evidence into successful clinical trials that can unequivocally demonstrate safety and efficacy in the context of human patients.

Review Highlights

What is the current knowledge?
√ Various novel drug delivery strategies have been examined for targeted ocular drug delivery.
√ Novel carriers such as implants, hydrogels, and nanocarriers have been employed to deliver drugs in a controlled manner to the retina and vitreous.

What is new here?
√ Current progress and contemporary applications of carriers in treating diabetic retinopathy.
√ Administration routes, prospective future developments, and challenges in the field of novel carriers for treating diabetic retinopathy.

Furthermore, the newfound antiangiogenic attributes associated with selected intraocular delivery methods may pave the way for integrating intriguing composite materials that synergistically combat neovascular eye diseases. Nanocarriers, hydrogels, and implants have all been meticulously crafted from diverse materials possessing a spectrum of physicochemical characteristics. In addition, concerted efforts have been dedicated to refining the structural attributes of nanocarriers, hydrogels, and implants, primarily enhancing their intraocular delivery efficiency. This optimization involves manipulating their internal and external morphologies, enhancing their stability, and modulating their release kinetics.

Moreover, incorporating biologically responsive components into nanocarriers, hydrogels, and implants has enabled the customization of their responsiveness to various stimuli, including magnetic fields, ultrasound, pH fluctuations, and even dual or multi-stimuli responsiveness. This technological advancement has opened doors to highly specialized therapies characterized by precise site-specific drug release and improved therapeutic outcomes. In summary, the realm of novel intraocular delivery harbors tremendous potential to shape the future landscape of ocular antiangiogenic therapy.

Authors' Contribution
Conceptualization: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi
Data curation: Sayed Latif Taheri and Safoora Poorirani.
Investigation: Sayed Latif Taheri and Safoora Poorirani.
Project administration: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi.
Resources: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi
Supervision: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi.
Visualization: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi.
Writing-original draft: Sayed Latif Taheri and Safoora Poorirani.
Writing-review and editing: Sayed Abolfazl Mostafavi.

References
1. Safari J, Zarnegar Z. Advanced drug delivery systems: Nanotechnology of health design A review. *J Saudi Chem Soc* **2014**; 18: 85-99. doi:10.1016/j.jscs.2012.12.009
2. Shatalebi M, Mostafavi SA, Moghaddas A. Niosome as a drug carrier for topical delivery of N-acetyl glucosamine. *Res Pharm Sci* **2010**; 5: 107.
3. Koo OM, Rubinstein I, Onyuksel H. Role of nanotechnology in targeted drug delivery and imaging: a concise review. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnol Biol Med* **2005**; 1: 193-212. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2005.06.004
4. Farokhzad OC, Langer R. Impact of nanotechnology on drug delivery. *ACS nano* **2009**; 3: 16-20. doi:10.1021/nn900002m
5. Singh M, Hemant K, Ram M, Shivakumar H. Microencapsulation: A promising technique for controlled drug delivery. *Res Pharm Sci* **2010**; 5: 65.
6. Poorirani S, Taheri SL, Mostafavi SA. Scaffolds: a biomaterial engineering in targeted drug delivery for osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* **2023**; 34: 255-67. doi:10.1007/s00198-022-06543-3
7. Standl E, Khunti K, Hansen TB, Schnell O. The global epidemics of diabetes in the 21st century: Current situation and perspectives. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* **2019**; 26: 7-14. doi:10.1177/2047487319881021
8. Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD, *et al.* Retinopathy in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2004**; 27: s84-s7. doi:10.2337/diacare.27.2007.s84
9. Falcão M, Falcão-Reis F, Rocha-Sousa A. Diabetic retinopathy: understanding pathologic angiogenesis and exploring its treatment options. *The Open Circulation and Vascular Journal* **2010**; 3: 30-42. doi:10.2174/1874382601003010030
10. Lai AKW, Lo AC. Animal models of diabetic retinopathy: summary and comparison. *J Diabetes Res* **2013**; 2013: 106594. doi:10.1155/2013/106594
11. Ciulla TA, Amador AG, Zinman B. Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema: pathophysiology, screening, and novel therapies. *Diabetes Care* **2003**; 26: 2653-64. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.9.2653
12. Bandello F, Polito A, Pognuz DR, Monaco P, Dimastrogiovanni A, Paissios J. Triamcinolone as adjunctive treatment to laser panretinal photocoagulation for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol* **2006**; 124: 643-50. doi:10.1001/archopht.124.5.643
13. Jaffe GJ, Martin D, Callanan D, Pearson PA, Levy B, Comstock T, *et al.* Fluocinolone acetonide implant (Retisert) for noninfectious posterior uveitis: thirty-four–week results of a multicenter randomized clinical study. *Ophthalmology* **2006**; 113: 1020-7. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.02.021
14. Kuppermann BD, Blumenkranz MS, Haller JA, Williams GA, Weinberg DV, Chou C, *et al.* Randomized controlled study of an intravitreous dexamethasone drug delivery system in patients with persistent macular edema. *Arch Ophthalmol* **2007**; 125: 309-17. doi:10.1001/archopht.125.3.309
15. Elakkiya M, Selvaraj K, Kuppuswamy G. Current and Emerging Therapies for the Management of Diabetic Retinopathy. *J Appl Pharm Sci* **2017**; 7: 243-51. doi:10.7324/JAPS.2017.70933
16. Gonzalez VH, Giuliari GP, Banda RM, Guel DA. Intravitreal injection of pegaptanib sodium for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol* **2009**; 93: 1474-8. doi:10.1136/bjo.2008.155663
17. Arevalo JF, Lasave AF, Wu L, Maia M, Diaz-Llopis M, Alezzandrini AA, *et al.* Intravitreal bevacizumab for proliferative

diabetic retinopathy. *Retina* **2017**; 37: 334-43. doi:10.1097/IAE.0000000000001181

18. Stewart MW. A review of ranibizumab for the treatment of diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmol Ther* **2017**; 6: 33-47. doi:10.1007/s40123-017-0083-9

19. Deissler HL, Lang GE. The protein kinase C inhibitor: ruboxistaurin. *Retinal Pharmacotherapeutics*. Karger Publishers; **2016**. p. 295-301. doi:10.1159/000431204

20. Schwartz SG, Flynn HW. Pharmacotherapies for diabetic retinopathy: present and future. *Exp Diabetes Res* **2007**; 2007: 52487. doi:10.1155/2007/52487

21. Shord SS, Bressler LR, Tierney LA, Cuellar S, George A. Understanding and managing the possible adverse effects associated with bevacizumab. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm* **2009**; 66: 999-1013. doi:10.2146/ajhp080455

22. Sharma DS, Wadhwa S, Gulati M, Kadukkattil Ramanunny A, Awasthi A, Singh SK, *et al.* Recent advances in intraocular and novel drug delivery systems for the treatment of diabetic retinopathy. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* **2021**; 18: 553-76. doi:10.1080/17425247.2021.1846518

23. Sontyana AG, Mathew AP, Cho K-H, Uthaman S, Park I-K. Biopolymeric in situ hydrogels for tissue engineering and bioimaging applications. *Tissue Eng Regen Med* **2018**; 15: 575-90. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.01.012

24. Mandal A, Bisht R, Rupenthal ID, Mitra AK. Polymeric micelles for ocular drug delivery: from structural frameworks to recent preclinical studies. *J Control Release* **2017**; 248: 96-116. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.01.012

25. He C, Kim SW, Lee DS. In situ gelling stimuli-sensitive block copolymer hydrogels for drug delivery. *J Control Release* **2008**; 127: 189-207. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2008.01.005

26. Mehnert W, Mäder K. Solid lipid nanoparticles: production, characterization and applications. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **2012**; 64: 83-101. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.021

27. Lin C-C, Metters AT. Hydrogels in controlled release formulations: network design and mathematical modeling. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **2006**; 58: 1379-408. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2006.09.004

28. Zhu Y, Sheng Y. Sustained delivery of epalrestat to the retina using PEGylated solid lipid nanoparticles laden contact lens. *Int J Pharm* **2020**; 587: 119688. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119688

29. Yazdani M, Tavakoli O, Khoobi M, Wu YS, Faramarzi MA, Gholibegloo E, *et al.* Beta-carotene/cyclodextrin-based inclusion complex: improved loading, solubility, stability, and cytotoxicity. *J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem* **2021**; 102: 55-64. doi:10.1007/s10847-021-01100-7

30. Meza-Rios A, Navarro-Partida J, Armendariz-Borunda J, Santos A. Therapies based on nanoparticles for eye drug delivery. *Ophthalmol Ther* **2020**; 9: 1-14. doi:10.1007/s40123-020-00257-7

31. Janoria KG, Gunda S, Boddu SH, Mitra AK. Novel approaches to retinal drug delivery. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* **2007**; 4: 371-88. doi:10.1517/17425247.4.4.371

32. Lee SS, Robinson MR. Novel drug delivery systems for retinal diseases. *Ophthalmic Res* **2009**; 41: 124-35. doi:10.1159/000209665

33. Donahue ND, Acar H, Wilhelm S. Concepts of nanoparticle cellular uptake, intracellular trafficking, and kinetics in nanomedicine. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **2019**; 143: 68-96. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2019.04.008

34. Dhiman N, Awasthi R, Sharma B, Kharkwal H, Kulkarni GT. Lipid nanoparticles as carriers for bioactive delivery. *Fron Chem* **2021**; 9: 580118. doi:10.3389/fchem.2021.580118

35. Zorkina Y, Abramova O, Ushakova V, Morozova A, Zubkov E, Valikhov M, *et al.* Nano carrier drug delivery systems for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disorders: Advantages and limitations. *Molecules* **2020**; 25: 5294. doi:10.3390/molecules25225294

36. Nayak K, Choudhari MV, Bagul S, Chavan TA, Misra M. Ocular drug delivery systems. In: *Drug Delivery Devices and Therapeutic Systems*. Elsevier; **2021**. p. 515-66.

37. Agrahari V, Mandal A, Agrahari V, Trinh HM, Joseph M, Ray A, *et al.* A comprehensive insight on ocular pharmacokinetics. *Drug Deliv Transl Res* **2016**; 6: 735-54.

38. Ahmed S, Amin MM, Sayed S. Ocular drug delivery: a comprehensive review. *AAPS PharmSciTech* **2023**; 24: 66.

39. Tsung T-H, Tsai Y-C, Lee H-P, Chen Y-H, Lu D-W. Biodegradable Polymer-Based Drug-Delivery Systems for Ocular Diseases. *Int Jo Mol Sci* **2023**; 24: 12976.

40. Ahmed S, Amin MM, Sayed S. Ocular drug delivery: a comprehensive review. *AAPS PharmSciTech* **2023**; 24: 66. doi:10.1208/s12249-023-02516-9

41. Silva M, Peng T, Zhao X, Li S, Farhan M, Zheng W. Recent trends in drug-delivery systems for the treatment of diabetic retinopathy and associated fibrosis. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **2021**; 173: 439-60. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2021.04.007

42. Piyasena MMPN, Murthy GVS, Yip JL, Gilbert C, Zuurmond M, Peto T, *et al.* Systematic review on barriers and enablers for access to diabetic retinopathy screening services in different income settings. *PloS One* **2019**; 14: e0198979. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0198979

43. Bisht R, Mandal A, Jaiswal JK, Rupenthal ID. Nanocarrier mediated retinal drug delivery: overcoming ocular barriers to treat posterior eye diseases. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology* **2018**; 10: e1473. doi:10.1002/wnan.1473

44. Wichterle O, Lim D. Hydrophilic gels for biological use. *Nature* **1960**; 185: 117. doi:10.1038/185117a0

45. Peppas NA, Khare AR. Preparation, structure and diffusional behavior of hydrogels in controlled release. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **1993**; 11: 1-35. doi:10.1016/0169-409x(93)90025-y

46. Zheng L, Han Y, Ye E, Zhu Q, Loh XJ, Li Z, *et al.* Recent Advances in Ocular Therapy by Hydrogel Biomaterials. *World Scientific Annual Review of Functional Materials* **2023**; 1: 2230002. doi:10.1142/s2810922822300021

47. Arslan M, Sanyal R, Sanyal A. Cyclodextrin embedded covalently crosslinked networks: synthesis and applications of hydrogels with nano-containers. *Polym Chem* **2020**; 11: 615-29. doi:10.1039/c9py01679a

48. Chimene D, Kaunas R, Gaharwar AK. Hydrogel bioink reinforcement for additive manufacturing: a focused review of emerging strategies. *Adv Mater* **2020**; 32: 1902026. doi:10.1002/adma.201902026

49. Xu X, Jerca VV, Hoogenboom R. Bioinspired double network hydrogels: from covalent double network hydrogels via hybrid double network hydrogels to physical double network hydrogelsMater. *Horiz* **2021**; 8: 1173-88. doi:10.1039/d0mh01514h

50. Acet Ö, Aksoy NH, Erdönmez D, Odabaşı M. Determination of some adsorption and kinetic parameters of α-amylase onto Cu+2-PHEMA beads embedded column. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol* **2018**; 46: S538-S45. doi:10.1080/21691401.2018.1501378

51. Qiu Y, Park K. Environment-sensitive hydrogels for drug delivery. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **2001**; 53: 321-39. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.024

52. Tan H, Marra KG. Injectable, biodegradable hydrogels for tissue engineering applications. *Mater* **2010**; 3: 1746-67. doi:10.3390/ma3031746

53. Gao Y, Sun Y, Ren F, Gao S. PLGA–PEG–PLGA hydrogel for ocular drug delivery of dexamethasone acetate. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm* **2010**; 36: 1131-8. doi:10.3109/03639041003680826

54. Huang H, Qi X, Chen Y, Wu Z. Thermo-sensitive hydrogels for delivering biotherapeutic molecules: A review. *Saudi Pharm J* **2019**; 27: 990-9. doi:10.1016/j.jsps.2019.08.001.

55. Zarrintaj P, Jouyandeh M, Ganjali MR, Hadavand BS, Mozafari M, Sheiko SS, *et al.* Thermo-sensitive *polymers in medicine. A review. Eur Polym J* **2019**; 117: 402-23. doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2019.05.024.

56. Oh JK, Lee DI, Park JM. Biopolymer-based microgels/nanogels for drug delivery applications. *Prog Polym Sci* **2009**; 34: 1261-82. doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2009.08.001

57. Maitra J, Shukla VK. Cross-linking in hydrogels-a review. *Am J Polym Sci* **2014**; 4: 25-31. doi:10.5923/j.ajps.20140402.01

58. Ahmed EM. Hydrogel: Preparation, characterization, and applications: A review. *J Adv Res* **2015**; 6: 105-21. doi:10.1016/j.jare.2013.07.006.

59. Koetting MC, Peters JT, Steichen SD, Peppas NA. Stimulus-responsive hydrogels: Theory, modern advances, and applications. *Mater Sci Eng R Rep MAT SCI ENG R* **2015**; 93: 1-49. doi:10.1016/j.mser.2015.04.001.

60. Ullah F, Othman MBH, Javed F, Ahmad Z, Akil HM. Classification, processing and application of hydrogels: A review. *Mater Sci Eng C* **2015**; 57: 414-33. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2015.07.053

61. Rodell CB, MacArthur Jr JW, Dorsey SM, Wade RJ, Wang LL, Woo YJ, *et al.* Shear-thinning supramolecular hydrogels with secondary autonomous covalent crosslinking to modulate viscoelastic properties in vivo. *Adv Funct Mater* **2015**; 25: 636-44. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201403550

62. Lu HD, Soranno DE, Rodell CB, Kim IL, Burdick JA. Secondary photocrosslinking of injectable shear-thinning dock-and-lock hydrogels. *Adv Healthc Mater* **2013**; 2: 1028-36. doi:10.1002/adhm.201200343

63. Wang LL, Sloand JN, Gaffey AC, Venkataraman CM, Wang Z, Trubelja A, *et al.* Injectable, guest–host assembled polyethylenimine hydrogel for siRNA delivery. *Biomacromolecules* **2017**; 18: 77-86. doi:10.1021/acs.biomac. 6b01378.s001

64. Li Y, Fan L, Liu S, Liu W, Zhang H, Zhou T, *et al.* The promotion of bone regeneration through positive regulation of angiogenic–osteogenic coupling using microRNA-26a. *Biomater* **2013**; 34: 5048-58. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.03.052

65. Chegini SP, Varshosaz J, Sadeghi HM, Dehghani A, Minaiyan M. Shear sensitive injectable hydrogels of cross-linked tragacanthic acid for ocular drug delivery: Rheological and biological evaluation. *Int J Biol Macromol* **2020**; 165:2789-804. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.10.164

66. Lynch CR, Kondiah PP, Choonara YE, Du Toit LC, Ally N, Pillay V. Hydrogel biomaterials for application in ocular drug delivery. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* **2020**; 8:228. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.00228

67. Chen Q, Liu C, Gu Q, Qu D, Shi X, Zhang J, *et al.* An injectable thermosensitive hydrogel encapsulating tetramethylpyrazine nanocrystals alleviates angiogenesis and apoptosis in a choroidal neovascularization mouse model. *Appl Mater Today* **2023**; 33: 101867. doi:10.1016/j.apmt.2023.101867

68. Xie B, Jin L, Luo Z, Yu J, Shi S, Zhang Z, *et al.* An injectable thermosensitive polymeric hydrogel for sustained release of Avastin® to treat posterior segment disease. *Int J Pharm* **2015**; 490: 375-83. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2015.05.071

69. Alejandra Quinteros D, Sebastian Lopez E, Lucio Silva Couto J, Angelica Maletto B, Alberto Allemandi D, Daniel Palma S, *et al.* Evaluation of the performance of an ophthalmic thermosensitive hydrogel containing combination of suramin and bevacizumab. *Curr Pharm Des* **2016**; 22: 6587-94.

70. Rauck BM, Friberg TR, Mendez CAM, Park D, Shah V, Bilonick RA, *et al.* Biocompatible reverse thermal gel sustains the release of intravitreal bevacizumab in vivo. *Investig Ophthalmol. Vis Sci* **2014**; 55: 469-76. doi:10.1167/iovs.13-13120

71. Han Q, Wang Y, Li X, Peng R, Li A, Qian Z, *et al.* Effects of bevacizumab loaded PEG-PCL-PEG hydrogel intracameral application on intraocular pressure after glaucoma filtration surgery. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.* **2015**; 26: 225. doi:10.1007/s10856-015-5556-6

72. Rong X, Ji Y, Zhu X, Yang J, Qian D, Mo X, *et al.* Neuroprotective effect of insulin-loaded chitosan nanoparticles/PLGA-PEG-PLGA hydrogel on diabetic retinopathy in rats. *Int J Nanomedicine* **2019**; 14: 45. doi:10.2147/IJN.S184574

73. Mut AM, Vlaia L, Coneac G, Olariu I, Vlaia V, Stănciulescu C, *et al.* Chitosan/HPMC-based hydrogels containing essential oils for topical delivery of fluconazole: Preliminary studies. *Farmacia* **2018**; 66: 248-56

74. Abdollahi A, Malek-Khatabi A, Razavi MS, Sheikhi M, Abbaspour K, Rezagholi Z, et al. The recent advancement in the chitosan-based thermosensitive hydrogel for tissue regeneration. *J Drug Deliv Technol* **2023**; 86:104627. doi: 10.1016/j.jddst.2023.104627

75. Jin X, Fu Q, Gu Z, Zhang Z, Lv H. Injectable corilagin/low molecular weight chitosan/PLGA-PEG-PLGA thermosensitive hydrogels for localized cancer therapy and promoting drug infiltration by modulation of tumor microenvironment. *Int J Pharm* **2020**; 589:119772.

76. Makvandi P, Ali GW, Della Sala F, Abdel-Fattah WI, Borzacchiello A. Biosynthesis and characterization of antibacterial thermosensitive hydrogels based on corn silk extract, hyaluronic acid and nanosilver for potential wound healing. *Carbohydr Polym* **2019**; 223: 115023.

77. Deng H, Dong A, Song J, Chen X. Injectable thermosensitive hydrogel systems based on functional PEG/PCL block polymer for local drug delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release* **2019**; 297: 60-70.

78. Chung CK, García-Couce J, Campos Y, Kralisch D, Bierau K, Chan A, et al. Doxorubicin loaded poloxamer thermosensitive hydrogels: chemical, pharmacological and biological evaluation. *Molecules* **2020**; 25(9): 2219.

79. Cui S, Yu L, Ding J. Thermogelling of amphiphilic block copolymers in water: ABA type versus AB or BAB type. *Macromolecules* **2019**; 52(10): 3697-715.

80. Rahmani F, Atabaki R, Behrouzi S, Mohamadpour F, Kamali H. The recent advancement in the PLGA-based thermo-sensitive hydrogel for smart drug delivery. *Int J Pharm* **2022**; 631: 122484. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2022.122484

81. Xu K, An N, Zhang H, Zhang Q, Zhang K, Hu X, et al. Sustained-release of PDGF from PLGA microsphere embedded thermo-sensitive hydrogel promoting wound healing by inhibiting autophagy. *J Drug Deliv Sci Technol* **2020**; 55:101405.

82. Marques SM, Kumar L. PKPD of PLGA-PEG-PLGA Copolymeric Micelles. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Nanoparticulate Drug Delivery Systems. Springer; **2022**. p. 273-92.

83. Xi Y, Wang W, Ma L, Xu N, Shi C, Xu G, *et al.* Alendronate modified mPEG-PLGA nano-micelle drug delivery system loaded with astragaloside has anti-osteoporotic effect in rats. *Drug Deliv* **2022**; 29: 2386-402. doi:10.1080/10717544.2022.2086942

84. Torchilin VP. Targeted pharmaceutical nanocarriers for cancer therapy and imaging. *AAPS J* **2007**; 9: E128-E47. doi:10.1208/aapsj0902015

85. Torchilin VP. Nanocarriers. *Pharm Res* **2007**; 24: 2333-4. doi:10.1007/s11095-006-9132-0

86. Wadhwa S, Paliwal R, Paliwal SR, Vyas S. Nanocarriers in ocular drug delivery: an update review. *Curr Pharm Des* **2009**; 15: 2724-50. doi:10.2174/138161209788923886

87. Salehipour M, Rezaei S, Rezaei M, Yazdani M, Mogharabi-Manzari M. Opportunities and Challenges in Biomedical Applications of Metal–Organic Frameworks. *J Inorg Organomet Polym Mater* **2021**; 1: 1-20. doi:10.1007/s10904-021-02118-7

88. Sun T, Zhang YS, Pang B, Hyun DC, Yang M, Xia Y. Engineered nanoparticles for drug delivery in cancer therapy. *Angew Chem Int Ed* **2014**; 53: 12320-64. doi:10.1002/anie.201403036

89. Bhasarkar JB, Bal DK. Nanomaterial-based advanced oxidation processes for degradation of waste pollutants. *Handbook of Nanomaterials for Wastewater Treatment*. Elsevier; **2021**. p. 811-31. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-821496-1.00003-9

90. Kimura H, Ogura Y. Biodegradable polymers for ocular drug delivery. *Ophthalmologica* **2001**; 215: 143-55. doi:10.1159/000050849

91. Lu Y, Zhou N, Huang X, Cheng J-W, Li F-Q, Wei R-L, *et al.* Effect of intravitreal injection of bevacizumab-chitosan nanoparticles on retina of diabetic rats. *Int J Ophthalmol* **2014**; 7: 1. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9071.2010. 02370.x

92. Elsaid N, Jackson TL, Elsaid Z, Alqathama A, Somavarapu S. PLGA microparticles entrapping chitosan-based nanoparticles for the ocular delivery of ranibizumab. *Mol Pharmaceutics* **2016**; 13: 2923-40. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.6b00335

93. Mahaling B, Srinivasarao DA, Raghu G, Kasam RK, Reddy GB, Katti DS. A non-invasive nanoparticle mediated delivery of triamcinolone acetonide ameliorates diabetic retinopathy in rats.

Nanoscale **2018**; 10: 16485-98. doi:10.1039/C8NR00058A

94. Chegini SP, Varshosaz J, Sadeghi HM, Dehghani A, Minayian M. Poly (glycerol sebacate) nanoparticles for ocular delivery of sunitinib: physicochemical, cytotoxic and allergic studies. *IET Nanobiotechnol* **2019**; 13: 974-82. doi:10.1049/iet-nbt.2019.0002

95. Cho H, Kambhampati SP, Lai MJ, Zhou L, Lee G, Xie Y, *et al.* Dendrimer-Triamcinolone Acetonide Reduces Neuroinflammation, Pathological Angiogenesis, and Neuroretinal Dysfunction in Ischemic Retinopathy. *Adv Ther.* 2021; 4: 2000181. doi:10.1002/adtp.202000181

96. Xu J, Wang Y, Li Y, Yang X, Zhang P, Hou H, *et al.* Inhibitory efficacy of intravitreal dexamethasone acetate-loaded PLGA nanoparticles on choroidal neovascularization in a laser-induced rat model. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther* **2007**; 23: 527-40. doi:10.1089/jop.2007.0002

97. Du S, Wang H, Jiang F, Wang Y. Diabetic Retinopathy Analysis—Effects of Nanoparticle-Based Triamcinolone. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* **2020**; 20: 6111-5. doi:10.1166/jnn.2020.18569

98. Du S, Wang H, Jiang F, Wang Y. Diabetic Retinopathy Analysis Effects of Nanoparticle-Based Triamcinolone. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* **2020**; 20: 6111-5. doi: 10.1166/jnn.2020.18569

99. Malakouti-Nejad M, Bardania H, Aliakbari F, Baradaran-Rafii A, Elahi E, Monti D, *et al.* Formulation of nanoliposome-encapsulated bevacizumab (Avastin): Statistical optimization for enhanced drug encapsulation and properties evaluation. *Int J Pharm* **2020**; 590: 119895. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119895

100. Abrishami M, Ganavati SZ, Soroush D, Rouhbakhsh M, Jaafari MR, Malaekeh-Nikouei B. Preparation, characterization, and in vivo evaluation of nanoliposomes-encapsulated bevacizumab (avastin) for intravitreal administration. *Retina* **2009**; 29: 699-703. doi:10.1097/iae.0b013e3181a2f42a

101. Varshochian R, Riazi-Esfahani M, Jeddi-Tehrani M, Mahmoudi AR, Aghazadeh S, Mahbod M, *et al.* Albuminated PLGA nanoparticles containing bevacizumab intended for ocular neovascularization treatment. *J Biomed Mater Res A* **2015**; 103: 3148-56. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.35446

102. Dong Y, Wan G, Yan P, Qian C, Li F, Peng G. Fabrication of resveratrol coated gold nanoparticles and investigation of their effect on diabetic retinopathy in streptozotocin induced diabetic rats. *J Photochem Photobiol B Biol* **2019**; 195: 51-7. doi:10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2019.04.012

103. Zhang L, Chu W, Zheng L, Li J, Ren Y, Xue L, *et al.* Zinc oxide nanoparticles from Cyperus rotundus attenuates diabetic retinopathy by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome activation in STZ-induced diabetic rats. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol* **2020**; 34; e22583. doi:10.1002/jbt.22583

104. Amato R, Giannaccini M, Dal Monte M, Cammalleri M, Pini A, Raffa V, *et al.* Association of the Somatostatin Analog Octreotide With Magnetic Nanoparticles for Intraocular Delivery: A Possible Approach for the Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* **2020**; 8: 144. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.00144. eCollection 2020

105. Islam MA, Jacob MV, Antunes E. A critical review on silver nanoparticles: From synthesis and applications to its mitigation through low-cost adsorption by biochar. *J Environ Manage* **2021**; 281: 111918. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111918

106. Mohammadpour M, Jabbarvand M, Delrish E, Khoshzaban A. Antiangiogenic effect of silicate nanoparticles on corneal neo-vascularisation induced by vascular endothelial growth factor. *J Medical Hypotheses Ideas* **2014**; 8: 14-20. doi:10.1016/j.jmhi.2013.06.003

107. Nedzvetsky V, Prischepe I, Agca G, Tykhomyrov A, Kyrychenko S. C60 fullerene nanoparticles prevent diabetic retinopathy complications. *Bulletin of Lviv University Biological series.* **2016**; 171-6.

108. Kumari A, Yadav SK, Yadav SC. Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles based drug delivery systems. *Colloids Surf B* **2010**; 75: 1-18. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.09.001

109. Nekolova J, Kremlacek J, Lukavsky J, Sikl R, Sin M, Langrova J,

110. Jiang H, Xu Z. Hyaluronic acid-based nanoparticles to deliver drugs to the ocular posterior segment. *Drug Deliv* **2023**; 30: 2204206. doi:10.1080/10717544.2023.2204206

111. Taheri SL, Rezazadeh M, Hassanzadeh F, Akbari V, Dehghani A, Talebi A, *et al.* Preparation, physicochemical, and retinal anti-angiogenic evaluation of poloxamer hydrogel containing dexamethasone/avastin-loaded chitosan-N-acetyl-L-cysteine nanoparticles. *Int J Biol Macromol* **2022**; 220: 1605-18. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.09.101

112. Paolicelli P, de la Fuente M, Sánchez A, Seijo B, Alonso MJ. Chitosan nanoparticles for drug delivery to the eye. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* **2009**; 6: 239-53. doi:10.1517/17425240902762818

113. De Campos AM, Diebold Y, Carvalho EL, Sánchez A, Alonso MJ. Chitosan nanoparticles as new ocular drug delivery systems: in vitro stability, in vivo fate, and cellular toxicity. *Pharm Res* **2004**; 21: 803-10. doi:10.1023/b: pham.0000026432.75781.cb

114. Nagarwal RC, Singh PN, Kant S, Maiti P, Pandit JK. Chitosan nanoparticles of 5-fluorouracil for ophthalmic delivery: characterization, in-vitro and in-vivo study. *Chem Pharm Bull* **2011**; 59: 272-8. doi:10.1248/cpb.59.272

115. Prashanth KH, Tharanathan R. Depolymerized products of chitosan as potent inhibitors of tumor-induced angiogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* **2005**; 1722: 22-9. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2004.11.009

116. Xu Y, Wen Z, Xu Z. Chitosan nanoparticles inhibit the growth of human hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts through an antiangiogenic mechanism. *Anticancer Res* **2009**; 29: 5103-9.

117. Okamoto H, Umeda S, Obazawa M, Minami M, Noda T, Mizota A, *et al.* Complement factor H polymorphisms in Japanese population with age-related macular degeneration. *Mol Vis* **2006**; 12: 156-8. doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2008.08.095

118. Kim M-M, Kim S-K. Chitooligosaccharides inhibit activation and expression of matrix metalloproteinase-2 in human dermal fibroblasts. *FEBS Lett* **2006**; 580: 2661-6. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2006.04.015

119. Liu H-t, Huang P, Ma P, Liu Q-s, Yu C, Du Y-g. Chitosan oligosaccharides suppress LPS-induced IL-8 expression in human umbilical vein endothelial cells through blockade of p38 and Akt protein kinases. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* **2011**; 32: 478. doi:10.1038/aps.2011.10

120. Bernkop-Schnürch A, Hornof M, Guggi D. Thiolated chitosans. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **2004**; 57: 9-17. doi:10.1016/s0939-6411(03)00147-4

121. Aluigi MG, De SF, D'Agostini F, Albini A, Fassina G. Antiapoptotic and antigenotoxic effects of N-acetylcysteine in human cells of endothelial origin. *Anticancer Res* **2000**; 20: 3183-7.

122. Zhu Y, Zhang X-L, Zhu B-F, Ding Y-N. Effect of antioxidant N-acetylcysteine on diabetic retinopathy and expression of VEGF and ICAM-1 from retinal blood vessels of diabetic rats. *Mol Biol Rep* **2012**; 39: 3727-35. doi:10.1007/s11033-011-1148-9

123. Bhattacharjee A, Das PJ, Adhikari P, Marbaniang D, Pal P, Ray S, *et al.* Novel drug delivery systems for ocular therapy: With special reference to liposomal ocular delivery. *Eur J Ophthalmol* **2019**; 29: 113-26. doi:10.1177/1120672118769776

124. Aguilar-Pérez K, Avilés-Castrillo J, Medina DI, Parra-Saldivar R, Iqbal H. Insight into nanoliposomes as smart nanocarriers for greening the twenty-first century biomedical settings. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* **2020**; 8: 1441. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.579536

125. Taheri SL, Varshosaz J. Enhancement of the anti-microbial activity of Mentha spicata essential oil on storage by glycerosomes. *Food Sci Biotechnol* **2023**; 32: 2145-2152. doi:10.1007/s10068-023-01301-5

126. Al-Amin M, Mastrotto F, Subrizi A, Sen M, Turunen T, Arango-Gonzalez B, *et al.* Tailoring surface properties of liposomes for dexamethasone intraocular administration. *J Control Release* **2023**;

The intraocular implant and visual rehabilitation improve the quality of life of elderly patients with geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* **2023**; 261: 263-72. doi:10.1007/s00417-022-05803-6

354: 323-36. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2023.01.027

127. Christensen G, Urimi D, Lorenzo-Soler L, Schipper N, Paquet-Durand F. Ocular permeability, intraocular biodistribution of lipid nanocapsule formulation intended for retinal drug delivery. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **2023**; 187: 175-83. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2023.04.012

128. Bouyer E, Mekhloufi G, Rosilio V, Grossiord J-L, Agnely F. Proteins, polysaccharides, and their complexes used as stabilizers for emulsions: alternatives to synthetic surfactants in the pharmaceutical field? *Int. J. Pharm* **2012**; 436: 359-78. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.06.052

129. Matsushita S, Isima Y, Chuang VTG, Watanabe H, Tanase S, Maruyama T, *et al.* Functional analysis of recombinant human serum albumin domains for pharmaceutical applications. *Pharm Res* **2004**; 21: 1924-32. doi:10.1023/b: pham.0000045248.03337.0e

130. Hassanin I, Elzoghby A. Albumin-based nanoparticles: a promising strategy to overcome cancer drug resistance. *Cancer Drug Resist* **2020**; 3: 930-46. doi:10.20517/cdr.2020.68

131. Hawe A, Friess W. Physicohemical characterization of the freezing behavior of mannitol-human serum albumin formulations. *AAPS PharmSciTech* **2006**; 7: E85-E94. doi:10.1208/pt070494

132. Christiansen C, Kryvi H, Sontum P, Skotland T. Physical and biochemical characterization of Albunex, a new ultrasound contrast agent consisting of air-filled albumin microspheres suspended in a solution of human albumin. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* **1994**; 19: 307-20.

133. Ibrahim NK, Desai N, Legha S, Soon-Shiong P, Theriault RL, Rivera E, *et al.* Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of ABI-007, a Cremophor-free, protein-stabilized, nanoparticle formulation of paclitaxel. *Clin Cancer Res* **2002**; 8: 1038-44.

134. de Redín IL, Boiero C, Martínez-Ohárriz MC, Agüeros M, Ramos R, Peñuelas I, *et al.* Human serum albumin nanoparticles for ocular delivery of bevacizumab. *Int J Pharm* **2018**; 541: 214-23. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.02.003

135. Gopinath SC. *Nanoparticles in Analytical and Medical Devices*. Elsevier; **2020**.

136. Amina SJ, Guo B. A review on the synthesis and functionalization of gold nanoparticles as a drug delivery vehicle. *Int J Nanomedicine* **2020**; 15: 9823. doi:10.2147/IJN.S279094

137. Santhoshkumar J, Rajeshkumar S, Kumar SV. Phyto-assisted synthesis, characterization and applications of gold nanoparticles–A review. *Biochem Biophys Rep* **2017**; 11: 46-57. doi:10.1016/j.bbrep.2017.06.004

138. Li N, Zhao P, Astruc D. Anisotropic gold nanoparticles: synthesis, properties, applications, and toxicity. *Angew Chem Int Ed* **2014**; 53: 1756-89. doi:10.1002/anie.201300441

139. Daraee H, Eatemadi A, Abbasi E, Fekri Aval S, Kouhi M, Akbarzadeh A. Application of gold nanoparticles in biomedical and drug delivery. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol* **2016**; 44: 410-22. doi:10.3109/21691401.2014.955107

140. Eissa NG, Eldehna WM, Abdelazim EB, Eissa RA, Mohamed HH, Diab NH, *et al.* Morphologic Design of Nanogold Carriers for a Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitor: Effect on Ocular Retention and Intraocular Pressure. *Int J Pharm* **2023**; 642: 123161. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2023.123161

141. Pan Y, Wu Q, Qin L, Cai J, Du B. Gold nanoparticles inhibit VEGF165-induced migration and tube formation of endothelial cells via the Akt pathway. *Biomed Res Int* **2014**; 2014: 418624. doi:10.1155/2014/418624

142. Pan Y, Ding H, Qin L, Zhao X, Cai J, Du B. Gold nanoparticles induce nanostructural reorganization of VEGFR2 to repress angiogenesis. *J Biomed Nanotech* **2013**; 9: 1746-56. doi:10.1166/jbn.2013.1678

143. Shen N, Zhang R, Zhang H-R, Luo H-Y, Shen W, Gao X, *et al.* Inhibition of retinal angiogenesis by gold nanoparticles via inducing autophagy. *Int J Ophthalmol* **2018**; 11: 1269. doi:10.18240/ijo.2018.08.04

144. Du J, Teng R-J, Guan T, Eis A, Kaul S, Konduri GG, *et al.* Role of autophagy in angiogenesis in aortic endothelial cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol* **2012**; 302: C383-C91. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00164.2011

145. Duan J, Yu Y, Yu Y, Li Y, Huang P, Zhou X, *et al.* Silica nanoparticles enhance autophagic activity, disturb endothelial cell homeostasis and impair angiogenesis. *Part Fibre Toxicol* **2014**; 11: 1-13. doi:10.1186/s12989-014-0050-8

146. Wu Q, Jin R, Feng T, Liu L, Yang L, Tao Y, *et al.* Iron oxide nanoparticles and induced autophagy in human monocytes. *Int J Nanomedicine* **2017**; 12: 3993. doi:10.2147/IJN.S135189

147. Mizushima N, Levine B, Cuervo AM, Klionsky DJ. Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-digestion. *Nature* **2008**; 451: 1069-75. doi:10.1038/nature06639

148. Kim JH, Kim MH, Jo DH, Yu YS, Lee TG, Kim JH. The inhibition of retinal neovascularization by gold nanoparticles via suppression of VEGFR-2 activation. *Biomaterials* **2011**; 32: 1865-71. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.11.030

149. Buzea C, Pacheco II, Robbie K. Nanomaterials and nanoparticles: sources and toxicity. *Biointerphases* **2007**; 2: MR17-MR71. doi:10.1116/1.2815690

150. Rikken RS, Nolte RJ, Maan JC, van Hest JC, Wilson DA, Christianen PC. Manipulation of micro-and nanostructure motion with magnetic fields. *Soft Matter* **2014**; 10: 1295-308. doi:10.1039/C3SM52294F

151. Ali A, Shah T, Ullah R, Zhou P, Guo M, Ovais M, *et al.* Review on recent progress in magnetic nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization, and diverse applications. *Front Chem* **2021**; 9: 629054. doi:10.3389/fchem.2021.629054

152. Giannaccini M, Calatayud MP, Poggetti A, Corbianco S, Novelli M, Paoli M, *et al.* Magnetic nanoparticles for efficient delivery of growth factors: stimulation of peripheral nerve regeneration. *Adv Healthc Mater* **2017**; 6: 1601429. doi:10.1002/adhm.201601429

153. Giannaccini M, Giannini M, Calatayud MP, Goya GF, Cuschieri A, Dente L, *et al.* Magnetic nanoparticles as intraocular drug delivery system to target retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE). *Int J Mol Sci* **2014**; 15: 1590-605. doi:10.3390/ijms15011590

154. Raju HB, Hu Y, Vedula A, Dubovy SR, Goldberg JL. Evaluation of magnetic micro-and nanoparticle toxicity to ocular tissues. *PLoS One* **2011**; 6: e17452. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017452

155. Oves M, Aslam M, Rauf MA, Qayyum S, Qari HA, Khan MS, *et al.* Antimicrobial and anticancer activities of silver nanoparticles synthesized from the root hair extract of Phoenix dactylifera. *Mater Sci Eng C* **2018**; 89: 429-43. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2018.03.035

156. Kowshik M, Ashtaputre S, Kharrazi S, Vogel W, Urban J, Kulkarni SK, *et al.* Extracellular synthesis of silver nanoparticles by a silver-tolerant yeast strain MKY3. *Nanotechnology* **2002**; 14: 95. doi:10.1088/0957-4484/14/1/321

157. Cho K-H, Park J-E, Osaka T, Park S-G. The study of antimicrobial activity and preservative effects of nanosilver ingredient. *Electrochim Acta* **2005**; 51: 956-60. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2005.04.071

158. Mukherjee P, Ahmad A, Mandal D, Senapati S, Sainkar SR, Khan MI, *et al.* Fungus-mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles and their immobilization in the mycelial matrix: a novel biological approach to nanoparticle synthesis. *Nano Lett* **2001**; 1: 515-9. doi:10.1021/nl0155274

159. Durán N, Marcato PD, Alves OL, De Souza GI, Esposito E. Mechanistic aspects of biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles by several Fusarium oxysporum strains. *J Nanobiotechnology* **2005**; 3: 1-7. doi:10.1186/1477-3155-3-8

160. Alt V, Bechert T, Steinrücke P, Wagener M, Seidel P, Dingeldein E, *et al.* An in vitro assessment of the antibacterial properties and cytotoxicity of nanoparticulate silver bone cement. *Biomaterials* **2004**; 25: 4383-91. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2003.10.078.

161. Kim K-J, Sung WS, Suh BK, Moon S-K, Choi J-S, Kim JG, *et al.* Antifungal activity and mode of action of silver nano-particles on Candida albicans. *Biometals* **2009**; 22: 235-42. doi:10.1007/s10534-008-9159-2

162. Nadworny PL, Wang J, Tredget EE, Burrell RE. Anti-inflammatory activity of nanocrystalline silver in a porcine contact dermatitis model. *Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med* **2008**; 4: 241-51. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2008.04.006

163. Chen Y, Su F, Su B. Application of silver nanoparticles in the treatment of central serous chorioretinopathy. *Mater Express* **2023**; 13: 274-82. doi:10.3390/molecules28124701

164. Gurunathan S, Lee K-J, Kalishwaralal K, Sheikpranbabu S, Vaidyanathan R, Eom SH. Antiangiogenic properties of silver nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* **2009**; 30: 6341-50. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.08.008

165. Erickson KK, Sundstrom JM, Antonetti DA. Vascular permeability in ocular disease and the role of tight junctions. *Angiogenesis* **2007**; 10: 103. doi:10.1007/s10456-007-9067-z

166. Sheikpranbabu S, Kalishwaralal K, Lee K-j, Vaidyanathan R, Eom SH, Gurunathan S. The inhibition of advanced glycation end-products-induced retinal vascular permeability by silver nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* **2010**; 31: 2260-71. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.11.076

167. Sheikpranbabu S, Kalishwaralal K, Venkataraman D, Eom SH, Park J, Gurunathan S. Silver nanoparticles inhibit VEGF-and IL-1β-induced vascular permeability via Src dependent pathway in porcine retinal endothelial cells. *J Nanobiotechnology* **2009**; 7: 8. doi:10.1186/1477-3155-7-8

168. Kalishwaralal K, Banumathi E, Pandian SRK, Deepak V, Muniyandi J, Eom SH, *et al.* Silver nanoparticles inhibit VEGF induced cell proliferation and migration in bovine retinal endothelial cells. *Colloids Surf B* **2009**; 73: 51-7. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.04.025

169. Abbasi M, Ghoran SH, Niakan MH, Jamali K, Moeini Z, Jangjou A, *et al.* Mesoporous silica nanoparticle: Heralding a brighter future in cancer nanomedicine. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater* **2021**; 110967. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2021.110967

170. Selvarajan V, Obuobi S, Ee PLR. Silica Nanoparticles—A Versatile Tool for the Treatment of Bacterial Infections. *Front Chem* **2020**; 8: 602. doi:10.3389/fchem.2020.00602

171. Sekhon BS, Kamboj SR. Inorganic nanomedicine—part 2. *Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med* **2010**; 6: 612-8. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2010.04.003

172. Couleaud P, Morosini V, Frochot C, Richeter S, Raehm L, Durand J-O. Silica-based nanoparticles for photodynamic therapy applications. *Nanoscale* **2010**; 2: 1083-95. doi:10.1039/c0nr00096e

173. Hong S-S, Lee MS, Park SS, Lee G-D. Synthesis of nanosized TiO2/SiO2 particles in the microemulsion and their photocatalytic activity on the decomposition of p-nitrophenol. *Catal Today* **2003**; 87: 99-105. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2003.10.012

174. Jo DH, Kim JH, Yu YS, Lee TG, Kim JH. Antiangiogenic effect of silicate nanoparticle on retinal neovascularization induced by vascular endothelial growth factor. *Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med* **2012**; 8: 784-91. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2011.09.003

175. Ren H, Zhu C, Li Z, Yang W, Song E. Emodin-loaded magnesium silicate hollow nanocarriers for anti-angiogenesis treatment through inhibiting VEGF. *Int J Mol Sci* **2014**; 15: 16936-48. doi:10.3390/ijms150916936

176. Mishra PK, Mishra H, Ekielski A, Talegaonkar S, Vaidya B. Zinc oxide nanoparticles: a promising nanomaterial for biomedical applications. *Drug Discov Today* **2017**; 22: 1825-34. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2017.08.006

177. San Tang K. The current and future perspectives of zinc oxide nanoparticles in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Life Sci.* **2019**; 239: 117011. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2019.117011

178. Rajesh K, Umar A, Kumar G. Antimicrobial properties of ZnO nanomaterials. A review. *Ceramics International* **2017**; 43: 3940-61. doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.12.062

179. Colon G, Ward BC, Webster TJ. Increased osteoblast and decreased Staphylococcus epidermidis functions on nanophase ZnO and TiO2. *J Biomed Mater Res A* **2006**; 78: 595-604. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.30789

180. Singh A, Singh Ná, Afzal S, Singh T, Hussain I. Zinc oxide nanoparticles: a review of their biological synthesis, antimicrobial activity, uptake, translocation and biotransformation in plants. *J Mater Sci* **2018**; 53: 185-201. doi:10.1007/s10853-017-1544-1

181. Kroto HW, Heath JR, O'Brien SC, Curl RF, Smalley RE. C 60: buckminsterfullerene. *Nature* **1985**; 318: 162-3.

182. Baati T, Bourasset F, Gharbi N, Njim L, Abderrabba M, Kerkeni A, *et al.* The prolongation of the lifespan of rats by repeated oral administration of [60] fullerene. *Biomaterials* **2012**; 33: 4936-46. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.03.036

183. Astefanei A, Núñez O, Galceran MT. Characterisation and determination of fullerenes: a critical review. *Anal Chim Acta* **2015**; 882: 1-21. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2015.03.025

184. Kerna N, Flores J, Pruitt K, Nwokorie U, Holets H. The Application of Fullerene Derivatives in Medicine and Specific Endocrinological Conditions. *EC Endocrinology and Metabolic Research* **2020**; 5: 56-66. doi:10.31080/ecemr.2020.05.00229

185. Krusic P, Wasserman E, Keizer P, Morton J, Preston K. Radical reactions of C60. *Science* **1991**; 254: 1183-5. doi:10.1126/science.254.5035.1183

186. Nedzvetsky V, Sukharenko E, Baydas G, Andrievsky G. Water-soluble C60 fullerene ameliorates astroglial reactivity and TNFa production in retina of diabetic rats. *Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems* **2019**; 10: 513-9.

187. Alvarez-Lorenzo C, Hiratani H, Concheiro A. Contact lenses for drug delivery. *Am J Drug Deliv Ther* **2006**; 4: 131-51. doi:10.2165/00137696-200604030-00002

188. McAvoy K, Jones D, Thakur RRS. Synthesis and characterisation of photocrosslinked poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate implants for sustained ocular drug delivery. *Pharm Res* **2018**; 35: 1-17. doi:10.1007/s11095-017-2298-9

189. Sapino S, Chirio D, Peira E, Abellán Rubio E, Brunella V, Jadhav SA, *et al.* Ocular drug delivery: a special focus on the thermosensitive approach. *Nanomaterials* **2019**; 9: 884. doi:10.3390/nano9060884

190. Kim HM, Woo SJ. Ocular Drug Delivery to the Retina: Current Innovations and Future Perspectives. *Pharmaceutics* **2021**; 13: 108. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13010108

191. González-Chomón C, Concheiro A, Alvarez-Lorenzo C. Soft contact lenses for controlled ocular delivery: 50 years in the making. *Ther Deliv.* **2013**; 4: 1141-61. doi:10.4155/tde.13.81

192. Dugel PU, Bandello F, Loewenstein A. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant in the treatment of diabetic macular edema. *Clin Ophthalmol* **2015**; 9: 1321. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S79948

193. Takmakov P, Ruda K, Phillips KS, Isayeva IS, Krauthamer V, Welle CG. Rapid evaluation of the durability of cortical neural implants using accelerated aging with reactive oxygen species. *J Neural Eng* **2015**; 12: 026003. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/12/2/026003

194. Huang Y, Van Dessel J, Martens W, Lambrichts I, Zhong W-J, Ma G-W, *et al.* Sensory innervation around immediately vs. delayed loaded implants: a pilot study. *Int J Oral Sci* **2015**; 7: 49-55. doi:10.1038/ijos.2014.53

195. Bagga C, Erbe EM, Murphy JP, Freid JM, Pomrink GJ. Bioactive spinal implants and method of manufacture thereof. Google Patents; **2014**.

196. Oka Y, Ye M, Zuker CS. Thirst driving and suppressing signals encoded by distinct neural populations in the brain. *Nature* **2015**; 520: 349-52. doi:10.1038/nature14108

197. Guo R, Merkel A, Sterling J, Davidson J, Guelcher S. Substrate modulus of 3D-printed scaffolds regulates the regenerative response in subcutaneous implants through the macrophage phenotype and Wnt signaling. *Biomaterials* **2015**; 73: 85-95. doi:doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.09.005

198. Klein MO, Schiegnitz E, Al-Nawas B. Systematic review on success of narrow-diameter dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* **2014**; 43: 29. doi:10.11607/jomi.2014suppl.g1.3

199. Yahyavi-Firouz-Abadi N, Menias CO, Bhalla S, Siegel C, Gayer G, Katz DS. Imaging of cosmetic plastic procedures and implants in the body and their potential complications. *Am J Roentgenol* **2015**; 204: 707-15. doi:10.2214/AJR.14.13516

200. Prakasam M, Locs J, Salma-Ancane K, Loca D, Largeteau A, Berzina-Cimdina L. Biodegradable materials and metallic implants—a review. *J Funct Biomater* **2017**; 8: 44. doi:10.3390/jfb8040044

201. Fialho SL, Silva Cunha Ad. Manufacturing techniques of biodegradable implants intended for intraocular application. *Drug*

Deliv **2005**; 12: 109-16. doi:10.1080/10717540590921432

202. Durgun ME, Güngör S, Özsoy Y. Micelles: promising ocular drug carriers for anterior and posterior segment diseases. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther* **2020**; 36: 323-41. doi:10.1089/jop.2019.0109

203. Stewart SA, Domínguez-Robles J, Donnelly RF, Larrañeta E. Implantable polymeric drug delivery devices: classification, manufacture, materials, and clinical applications. *Polymers* **2018**; 10: 1379. doi:10.3390/polym10121379

204. Tamaddon L, Dorkoosh SMF, Karkhaneh R, Riazi-Esfahani M, Rafiee-Tehrani M. A novel design of a biodegradable intravitreal implant for the sustained release of clindamycin. *Res Pharm Sci* **2012**; 7: 308.

205. Shastri VP. Non-degradable biocompatible polymers in medicine: past, present and future. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* **2003**; 4: 331-7. doi:10.2174/1389201033489694

206. Colaris MJ, de Boer M, van der Hulst RR, Tervaert JWC. Two hundreds cases of ASIA syndrome following silicone implants: a comparative study of 30 years and a review of current literature. *Immunol Res* **2017**; 65: 120-8. doi:10.1007/s12026-016-8821-y

207. Tamaddon L, Mostafavi SA, Karkhane R, Riazi-Esfahani M, Dorkoosh FA, Rafiee-Tehrani M. Design and development of intraocular polymeric implant systems for long-term controlled-release of clindamycin phosphate for toxoplasmic retinochoroiditis. *Adv Biomed Res* **2015**; 4: 32. doi:10.4103/2277-9175.150426

208. Tamaddon L, Mostafavi A, Riazi-Esfahani M, Karkhane R, Aghazadeh S, Rafiee-Tehrani M, *et al.* Development, characterizations and biocompatibility evaluations of intravitreal lipid implants. *Jundishapur J Nat Pharm Prod* **2014**; 9(2). doi:10.17795/jjnpp-16414

209. Tamaddon L, Mostafavi SA, Karkhane R, Riazi-Esfahani M, Dorkoosh FA, Rafiee-Tehrani M. Thermoanalytical characterization of clindamycin-loaded intravitreal implants prepared by hot melt extrusion. *Adv Biomed Res* **2015**; 4: 147. doi:10.4103/2277-9175.161563

210. Abdulla D, Ali Y, Menezo V, Taylor SR. The use of sustained release intravitreal steroid implants in non-infectious uveitis affecting the posterior segment of the eye. *Ophthalmology and Therapy* **2022**; 11: 479-87. doi:10.1007/s40123-022-00456-4

211. Maulvi FA, Lakdawala DH, Shaikh AA, Desai AR, Choksi HH, Vaidya RJ, *et al.* In vitro and in vivo evaluation of novel implantation technology in hydrogel contact lenses for controlled drug delivery. *J Control Release.* **2016**; 226: 47-56. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2016.02.012

212. Alegre E, Sammamed M, Fernandez-Landazuri S, Zubiri L, Gonzalez A. Circulating biomarkers in malignant melanoma. *Adv Clin Chem* **2015**; 69: 47-89. doi:10.1016/bs.acc.2014.12.002

213. Idrees S, Williams Z, DiLoreto Jr D. Autoimmune retinopathy treated with fluocinolone acetate intravitreal implant (Retisert). *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **2020**; 61: 314

214. Jaffe GJ, Martin D, Callanan D, Pearson PA, Levy B, Comstock T. Fluocinolone Acetonide Uveitis Study Group. Fluocinolone acetonide implant (Retisert) for noninfectious posterior uveitis: thirty-four-week results of a multicenter randomized clinical study. *Ophthalmology* **2006**; 113: 1020-7. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.02.021

215. Kane FE, Burdan J, Cutino A, Green KE. Iluvien™: a new sustained delivery technology for posterior eye disease. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* **2008**; 5: 1039-46.

216. Medeiros MD, Postorino M, Navarro R, Garcia-Arumí J, Mateo C, Corcóstegui B. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant for treatment of patients with persistent diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmologica* **2014**; 231: 141-6. doi:10.1159/000356413

217. Chan A, Leung L-S, Blumenkranz MS. Critical appraisal of the clinical utility of the dexamethasone intravitreal implant (Ozurdex®) for the treatment of macular edema related to branch retinal vein occlusion or central retinal vein occlusion. *Clin Ophthalmol (Auckland, NZ)* **2011**; 5: 1043. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S13775

218. Dugel P, Cantrill H, Eliott D, Mahmoud T, Avery R, Varner S. Clinical safety and preliminary efficacy of an intravitreal triamcinolone implant (I-vation™ TA) in DME. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **2007**; 48: 1413-. doi:10.1001/archophthalmol.2009.234

219. Kanjickal D, Lopina S, Evancho-Chapman MM, Schmidt S, Donovan D. Effects of sterilization on poly (ethylene glycol) hydrogels. *J Biomed Mater Res A* **2008**; 87: 608-17. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.31811

220. Karajanagi SS, Yoganathan R, Mammucari R, Park H, Cox J, Zeitels SM, *et al.* Application of a dense gas technique for sterilizing soft biomaterials. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **2011**; 108: 1716-25. doi:10.1002/bit.23105

221. Ebinuma VdCS, de Oliveira F, Veríssimo NV, Pereira JFB, Pessoa A. Sterilization in pharmaceutical biotechnology. In: *Pharmaceutical Biotechnology*. CRC Press; **2021**. p. 133-45.

222. Galante R, Pinto TJ, Colaço R, Serro AP. Sterilization of hydrogels for biomedical applications: A review. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials* **2018**; 106: 2472-92. doi:10.1002/jbm.b.34048

223. Zhang K, Feng Q, Fang Z, Gu L, Bian L. Structurally dynamic hydrogels for biomedical applications: pursuing a fine balance between macroscopic stability and microscopic dynamics. *Chem Rev* **2021**; 121: 11149-93. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00071

224. Hoare TR, Kohane DS. Hydrogels in drug delivery: Progress and challenges. *Polymer* **2008**; 49: 1993-2007. doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2008.01.027

225. Lin C-C, Anseth KS. PEG hydrogels for the controlled release of biomolecules in regenerative medicine. *Pharm. Res.* **2009**; 26: 631-43. doi:10.1007/s11095-008-9801-2

226. Wang W, Singh S, Zeng DL, King K, Nema S. Antibody structure, instability, and formulation. *J Pharm Sci* **2007**; 96: 1-26. doi:10.1002/jps.20727

227. Sridhar MS. Anatomy of cornea and ocular surface. *Indian J Ophthalmol* **2018**; 66: 190. doi:10.4103/ijo.IJO_646_17

228. Sánchez-López E, Espina M, Doktorovova S, Souto E, García M. Lipid nanoparticles (SLN, NLC): Overcoming the anatomical and physiological barriers of the eye–Part I–Barriers and determining factors in ocular delivery. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **2017**; 110: 70-5. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2016.10.013

229. Sánchez-López E, Espina M, Doktorovova S, Souto E, García M. Lipid nanoparticles (SLN, NLC): Overcoming the anatomical and physiological barriers of the eye–Part II-Ocular drug-loaded lipid nanoparticles. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **2017**; 110: 58-69. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2016.10.013

230. Ghasemiyeh P, Mohammadi-Samani S. Solid lipid nanoparticles and nanostructured lipid carriers as novel drug delivery systems: applications, advantages and disadvantages. *Res Pharm Sci* **2018**; 13: 288. doi:10.4103/1735-5362.235156

231. Del Amo EM, Rimpelä A-K, Heikkinen E, Kari OK, Ramsay E, Lajunen T, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic aspects of retinal drug delivery. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research* **2017**; 57: 134-85. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2016.12.001

232. Ragelle H, Danhier F, Préat V, Langer R, Anderson DG. Nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems: a commercial and regulatory outlook as the field matures. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* **2017**; 14: 851-64. doi:10.1080/17425247.2016.1244187

233. Lim JW, Lee HK, Shin MC. Comparison of intravitreal bevacizumab alone or combined with triamcinolone versus triamcinolone in diabetic macular edema: a randomized clinical trial. *Ophthalmologica* **2012**; 227: 100-6. doi:10.1159/000331935